

DARRELL G. OBER : NO 1:CV-01-0084

Plaintiff :

PAUL EVANKO, MARK :

CAMPBELL, THOMAS COURY

JOSEPH WESTCOTT, :

HAWTHORNE CONLEY,

JOANNA REYNOLDS AND

SYNDI GUIDO,

(JUDGE CALDWELL)

CIVIL ACTION LAW

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants

PLAINTIFF'S ADDENDUM TO EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT- DEPOSITION TESTIMONY

- 1.) Deposition of Paul Evanko
- 2.) Deposition of Ralph Kush
- 3.) Deposition of Michael Soohy
- 4.) Deposition of Darrell G. Ober (Day 1)
- 5.) Deposition of Darrell G. Ober (Day 2)
- 6.) Deposition of Thomas Coury (Day 1)
- 7.) Deposition of Thomas Coury (Day 2)
- 8.) Deposition of Joseph Westcott
- 9.) Deposition of Marie Marshall

- 10.) Deposition of Thomas Williams
- 11.) Deposition of Mark Campbell
- 12.) Deposition of Larry Riley
- 13.) Deposition of Francis Koselnak
- 14.) Deposition of Walter Margeson
- 15.) Deposition of Mark Grab
- 16.) Deposition of Mary Bungo
- 17.) Deposition of William McAlreavy
- 18.) Deposition of John Pudliner
- 19.) Deposition of John (Rick) Brown
- 20.) Deposition of Mark Carr
- 21.) Deposition of Robert Werts
- 22.) Deposition of Robert Hickes
- 23.) Deposition of Hawthorne Conley
- 24.) Deposition of R. Dane Merryman

25.) Deposition of Charles Skurkis

Respectfully Submitted,

Don Bailey PAID# 23786

4311 N. 6th/Street

Harrisburg, PA 17110

(717) 221-9500

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT

OF PENNSYLVANIA

DARRELL G. OBER, *

Plaintiff * Case No.

vs. * 1CV-01-0084

PAUL EVANKO, MARK * (JUDGE CALDWELL)

CAMPBELL, THOMAS *

COURY, JOSEPH *

WESCOTT, and *

HAWTHORNE CONLEY, *

Defendants *

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

PAUL EVANKO

March 27, 2002



Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

ΟF

PAUL EVANKO, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff herein, pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before me, the undersigned, Jennifer Billstein, a Court Reporter and Commissioner of Deeds in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at the Technology Center, Bureau of Tech Services, 2629 Market Place, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, on Wednesday, March 27, 2002 beginning at 9:10 a.m.

[
		3
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	DON A. BAILEY, ESQUIRE	
4	4311 North 6th Street	
5	Harrisburg, PA 17110	
6	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF	
7		
8	SYNDI L. GUIDO, ESQUIRE	
9	Deputy General Counsel	
10	Governor's Office of General Counsel	
11	333 Market Street	
12	17th Floor	
13	Harrisburg, PA 17101	
14	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS	
15		
16	BARBARA L. CHRISTIE, ESQUIRE	
17	Chief Counsel	
18	PA State Police	
19	1800 Elmerton Avenue	
20	Harrisburg, PA 17110	
21	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS	
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
APPEARANCES (CONT'D)
1
2
   JOANNA REYNOLDS, ESQUIRE
3
   Assistant Counsel
4
   PA State Police
5
   1800 Elmerton Avenue
6
   Harrisburg, PA 17110
7
        COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS
8
9
   ALSO PRESENT: ANDREW J. OSTROWSKI,
10
                   ESQUIRE
11
                   VIDEOGRAPHER FROM PR
12
                   REPORTING
13
                   MICHAEL SOLOMON,
14
                   VIDEOGRAPHER FROM
15
                   SARGENT'S COURT
16
                   REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		5
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	8 - 10
4	WITNESS: PAUL EVANKO	
5	EXAMINATION	
6	by Attorney Bailey	10 - 321
7	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	
8	CERTIFICATE	3 2 3
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Γ.			6
1		EXHIBIT PAGE	
2			
3		PAGE	
4	NUMBER	<u>DESCRIPTION</u> <u>IDENTIFIED</u>	
5	One	10/19/99 Letter to	
6		Director from	
7		Captain Ober 29	
8	Owr	Commissioner's Notes 116	
9	Three		
10	Four	3/25/92 document	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		•
Γ		7
1		OBJECTION PAGE
2		
3	ATTORNEY	PAGE
4	Christie	48, 143
5	Guido	106, 239
6	Bailey	116
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1	

8 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: 3 Mr. Evanko, would you 4 please raise your right hand 5 and state your name for the 6 record? 7 MR. EVANKO: 8 Paul Evanko. 9 PAUL EVANKO, CALLED AND SWORN TO 10 TESTIFY 1.1. VIDEOGRAPHER: 12 Thank you. Mr. Bailey, 13 could we have a sound check 14 around the room? 15 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 16 Yes. My name is Don 17 Bailey. I represent Darrell 18 G. Ober, who is the Plaintiff 19 in this matter. My address is 20 4311 North Second --- North 21 Sixth Street, Harrisburg, 22 Pennsylvania 17110. My phone 23 number is (717) 221-9500. 24 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 25

Syndi Guido, Governor's 1 Office of General Counsel. I 2 represent Colonel Evanko and 3 the other Defendants. 4 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 5 Barbara Christie, Chief 6 Counsel, Pennsylvania State 7 Police. My address is 1800 8 Elmerton Avenue, Harrisburg, 9 17110. Office number is 10 (717) 783-5568. 11 ATTORNEY REYNOLDS: 12 My name is Joanna 13 Reynolds. I'm an Assistant 14 Counsel with the state police. 15 I represent the Defendants. 16 And my address and phone 17 number are the same as Ms. 18 Christie's. 19 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 20 I know we have a 21 stenographer here. If she 2.2 could identify herself and 23 then put a phone number down.

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

I want to specify that I am

24

25

10 not ordering a stenographic 1 copy; okay? But if that would 2 change, I'd need to be able to 3 get in touch with you. 4 COURT REPORTER: 5 Sure. 6 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 7 Could you identify 8 yourself for the record? 9 COURT REPORTER: 10 Jennifer Billstein from 11 Sargent's Court Reporting. 12 Their number is (215) 564-13 9727. 14 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 15 Thank you, Jennifer, 16 very much. The witness has 17 been sworn? 18 VIDEOGRAPHER: 19 Yes, sir. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 22 Colonel, you have been through 23 Q. a number of --- or at least sat 24 through a number of depositions in 25

Γ	11
1	this case; is that correct?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. Well, I'm going to dispense
4	with wasting, you know, the time in
5	going through all of the different
6	preparatory things. Would that be
7	acceptable?
8	MR. SOLOMON:
9	Excuse me. If I may
10	interject, I have a
11	preliminary to should I
12	state it now or if you're
13	ready to proceed? I wasn't
14	aware if you had your
15	preliminary, and now it's
16	ATTORNEY GUIDO:
17	He needs to put his
18	preliminary on the record
19	before.
20	MR. SOLOMON:
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	deposition, but you go right

	12
1	ahead. You're recording by
2	alternative means today?
3	ATTORNEY GUIDO:
4	Yes.
5	ATTORNEY BAILEY:
6	Under the rules. Okay.
7	That's fine. Go ahead.
8	MR. SOLOMON:
9	My name is Michael
10	Solomon. I'm employed by
11	Sargent's Court Reporting
12	Service. Today's date is
13	March 27th, 2002. The time is
14	approximately 9:20 a.m. This
15	deposition is being taken at
16	Technology Center, Bureau of
17	Tech Services, 2629 Market
18	Place, Harrisburg,
19	Pennsylvania 17110. The
20	caption of this case is in the
21	United States District Court
22	for the Middle District of
23	Pennsylvania. Darrell G.
24	Ober, Plaintiff, versus Paul
25	Evanko, Mark Campbell, Thomas

13 Coury, Joseph Wescott, 1 Hawthorne Conley. Civil 2 action case number 1CV-010084. 3 The name of the witness is 4 Paul Evanko. Will the 5 attorneys present state your 6 names and the parties that you 7 represent? 8 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 9 Was that --- did we 10 capture that already? 11 MR. SOLOMON: 12 The court reporter may 13 now administer the oath. 14 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 15 I think we've got that, 16 so we're okay. Okay. Thank 17 you very much. Thank you, Mr. 18 Bailey. 19 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 20 Thank you, sir. Thank 21 you, Syndi. 22 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 23 Okay. Colonel, I'm going to 24 can I safely dispense with all 25

14
the preparatories? I think you've
heard them enough times, you're
probably sick of hearing them. Is
that fair to say or do you want me to
repeat them?
A. I do understand them.
Q. All right, sir. Have you been
practicing for this deposition?
A. Not really.
Q. And doing any on-camera work
or techniques and that sort of thing?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Colonel, what is a
Mason? Who are the Masons?
A. It is a fraternal charitable
organization.
Q. Having had some experience
with them myself, they do some
tremendously good and positive work
out there; don't they?
ATTORNEY GUIDO:
Just one momora
like to add that we're
reserving all objections other
than form of the question.

15 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 1 Sure. 2 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 3 I mean, they do some very good 4 and positive things out there; right? 5 Yes, sir. Α. 6 Okay. Do you know a guy by 7 ο. the name of, I think it's Oaks 8 (phonetic), Joe Oaks? 9 No, I do not. 10 Α. John Oaks? 11 Q. No, I do not. Α. 12 Never heard of anybody by that 13 0. name? 14 No, sir. 15 Α. Do you know who PNC Bank is? 16 Ο. I know that there's a PNC 17 Α. Bank. 18 Did you ever activate state 19 police cert teams, both the west and 20 the east, to transfer money for them? 21 For the Masons for PNC. Α. 22 For PNC? 23 0. Yes, several years ago I did Α. 24 authorize and escort a large sum of 25

16 money for PNC. 1 How much money? It was in the vicinity of five 2 to six million dollars in negotiable 3 4 estimates. And where was that --- did the 5 Q. 6 Governor approve that? 7 I approved it. Did you communicate with the 8 Governor's Office about that? 9 I don't remember talking to 10 11 anybody about it. Do you remember what you ---12 Q. 13 did you bill PNC for that? 14 Not that I recall. Well, let's see. How many Α. 15 people in the eastern cert team, 16 Pennsylvania State Police Officers? 17 I'm not sure what the number 18 Α. 19 I would guess 15 to 20. How about in the western team? is. 20 0. 21 The same. Α. 22 Why did you do that? We had a request from PNC Bank Q. 23 to assist in the security of that Α. 24 25

```
17
   transport of that large money.
1
           Is it your testimony here
2
   today that that's a cert team
3
   mission? That's consistent with
4
   their mission?
5
           I think it would be consistent
6
   with their mission, yes.
7
           You used a helicopter?
    0.
8
           I am not sure.
    Α.
9
           What route did you use?
    ο.
10
      along the Pennsylvania Turnpike?
    it
11
            I do not know.
    Α.
12
            Do you know if Mr. Wescott
13
    Q.
    played a role in that?
14
            He probably coordinated that.
    Α.
15
            Do you know what that cost
16
     ο.
     taxpayers?
1.7
            No, I do not.
 18
     Α.
            All right. I hope that you
 19
     will believe me. I'm not trying to
 20
     be facetious or argumentative when I
 21
     ask this question. Do you know what
 22
     a cup of coffee cost in Indiana
 23
     County, Pennsylvania a couple of
 24
     years ago?
 25
```

18

```
No, I do not.
Α.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Have you ever authorized Q. swimming parties out of the academy pool or some swimming pool or swimming place you have around here? No, I did not. Α. Did your daughter ever have 0 any people out there for any kind of

events? Not that I remember, no.

State police lifeguards were never used at any events that have been held there, that you know of?

No, sir. Α.

Okay. I'm going to ask you a Q. few questions about the academy and any knowledge or experience you may have with the academy; okay? Are you familiar with a trooper who came from Illinois who had some very severe background problems and who was allowed into or allowed to go to the academy or to become a Pennsylvania State policeman? I'm not sure of the name. I think it might be Evans.

```
19
   I'm not certain. Do you have any
1
   knowledge of that?
2
          I know that we had a case
3
   involving Trooper Evans. He had to
4
   be arrested.
5
           And do you know whether he had
6
   ο.
   any prior, before he was arrested ---
7
   I don't have a great deal of
8
   knowledge of it, I must confess to
9
    you, sir, but was he arrested for
10
    abusing a female or abusing a woman,
11
    or at least accused of that?
12
           We arrested and I think
    Α.
13
    convicted him of that.
14
       How did he become a
15
    ο.
    Pennsylvania State Policeman?
16
            Probably went through the
17
    written examination, the oral
18
    interview, the physical fitness
19
    tests, et cetera, et cetera.
20
            Did he have any help along the
     Q.
21
     way that you know of?
 22
            Not that I know of.
     Α.
 23
            Any assistance from you at
     ο.
 24
     a11?
 25
```

20 None from me. 1 Α. How about Mr. Coury, if you ο. 2 know? 3 I don't know of any assistance 4 that he had. 5 Thank you. Did you okay. 6 ο. have that situation investigated? 7 I think we investigated and Α. 8 arrested him. 9 Well, you investigated the 10 allegations against him for 11 mistreatment of the female citizen; 12 am I correct? 13 I think we both did a BPR 14 Α. investigation and a criminal 15 investigation. 16 How did the BPR investigation, 17 what did it yield about how he became 18 a Pennsylvania State Police Officer? 19 I wouldn't know unless I Α. 20 looked at that. 21 Have you ever looked at it? Q. 22 I don't recall. Α. 23 Does your daughter have a Q . 24 riding instructor? 25

21 Yes, she's had a number of 1 Α. riding instructors. 2 Do you know the names of any 3 Q. them? οf 4 Beth McCann (phonetic) and her 5 last name is Baker. Those were her 6 two primary riding instructors. 7 Is one of them a Pennsylvania 8 State Trooper now? 9 No, sir. Α. 10 Did any of her riding 11 Q. instructors ever become Pennsylvania 12 State Troopers? 13 No, sir. Α. 14 Have you ever ordered an 15 Ο. entire class or group of applicants 16 at the academy retested? 17 In what regard, sir? Α. 1.8 Colonel, I don't know, sir. 19 Q. Any regard that might please you. 20 I've been impressed with the --- what 21 your witnesses so far have told us 22 about the powers of the colonel 23 commissioner here. I don't know what 24 your reasons would have been. I'm 25

```
2.2
   going to try to find out. But did
1
   you ever order a group retested?
2
           I do not recall ordering any
3
   group of applicants retested.
4
           Did you ever make a request
5
   that they be retested?
6
           If we're talking about the
7
   Α.
   allegations of unfairness and
8
    uniformity, the unfairness of the
9
    physical fitness testing, the
10
    complaint that's filed with us, if
11
    that's what we're talking about, I
12
    aware of that and I took a complaint
13
    from an individual by the name of
1.4
    Colleen Young, sent the complaint to
15
    the Deputy Commissioner of
16
    Administration to consult with the
17
    Chief Counsel's Office and what to do
18
    with that complaint.
19
            Colleen Young?
     0.
 20
            Yes.
     Α.
 21
            Was she ever your daughter's
 22
     --- a riding instructor for your
 23
     daughter?
 24
             No, sir.
     Α.
 25
```

23 Did you know her prior to this Q. 1 request? 2 Yes, I did. Α. 3 And how did you know her, sir? 0. 4 She rode with my daughter. 5 Α. Do you recollect what her Q. 6 complaint was? 7 She was challenging the 8 uniformity and fairness of the 9 physical fitness testing of the 10 department. 11 Did you change the test or 12 ο. have the test altered in some way, 13 either as to criteria or format? 14 I don't think so. 15 Α. So you did at least request 16 **Q** . that there be a retest or that 17 somebody analyze the situation with 18 an eye to at least considering that 19 there be a retest; is that fair to 20 say? 21 It's fair to say that I 22 received the complaint and I 23 forwarded it to the Deputy 24 Commissioner of Administration to 25

24 consult with the Chief Counsel's 1 Office and evaluate the complaint and 2 do whatever was right. 3 Colleen Young failed the test 4 Ο. first time; is that right? 5 I believe that she did. 6 Α. Who else failed it the first 7 ο. time? 8 I don't know. 9 Α. Well, did you ever have the 10 Q. matter looked into or, you know, to 11 verify it or test it? 12 Other than to receive the 13 complaint and send it to the Deputy 14 Commissioner of Administration. 15 Do you know whether any 16 ο. Pennsylvania Troopers ever came to 17 your door or came to you over a hit 18 and run incident and a potential DUI? 19 I don't know of any. 20 Α. You don't recall any? 21 Q. That's correct. 22 Α. Colonel, do you know of anyone 23 ο. putting documents into or taking 24 documents out of any of the files in 25

25 this matter, this case that we're 1 dealing with here? Do you know of 2 anyone doing that? Do you have any 3 knowledge of anyone who --- any of 4 your attorneys or any of your staff 5 doing anything like that? 6 Yes, I do. 7 Α. And tell me about it, please. 8 Q. I understand that Major 9 Α. Merryman (phonetic), Director of the 10 Bureau of Research and Development, 11 removed files from this bureau and 12 met with Captain Ober in a parking 13 lot somewhere. 14 Okay. Aside from Major 15 Q. Merryman, is that the historic file? 16 I think that's the state Α. 17 police record. 18 Well, what have you done to 19 Major Merryman about that? 20 I haven't done anything. Α. 21 Well, are you going to punish ο. 22 him? 23 I don't have any intention to. Α. 24 Well, did he violate a Q. 25

26 regulation? 1 I'm not sure that he did 2 violate a regulation. 3 Well, do you know of any other 4 circumstances where that historic 5 file was taken out of the --- and 6 it's, I guess, you said removed? 7 mean, your words were removed a file. 8 That's what I have as a quote here, 9 removed files. Any other 10 circumstances where that was removed, 11 that files were removed? 12 And that's the only one that Α. 13 I know of. 14 How about Captain Ober's 15 personnel files? Do you have any 16 knowledge of them, where they might 17 be? 18 I would imagine that they're 19 in the vault with all the rest of the 20 personnel files. 21 Well, sir, I assume that your 22 attorneys have gone over a document 23 request that we have made with you or 24 at least made you aware of them. Do 25

27 you know where, and operating on that 1 assumption, do you know where Mr. 2 Ober's personnel files are? 3 Again, I would imagine that 4 they're in the vault with the rest of 5 the personnel files. 6 Well, do you have a file on 7 0. Captain Ober? 8 No, I do not. Α. 9 Ever had a file on Captain Q. 10 ober? 11 No, I have not. Α. 12 And aside from the e-mail that 13 you provided, you don't have any 14 documents on Captain Ober; is that 15 right? 16 That is correct. Α. 17 Well, why did you keep that e-O . 18 mail? 19 It had to do overall with the Α. 20 reorganization, civilianization of 21 the department and we kept a folder 22 on those issues. 23 Well, is there a All right. 24 bureau personnel file then on Captain 2.5

28 1 Would there be a, Ober? like a bureau wherever he is, a personnel 2 file with the bureau? 3 4 I think the bureaus do keep 5 some type of folders on each of their 6 members. 7 And is there a personnel file 0. 8 somewhere else? 9 Α. Not that I know of, no. 10 Okay. Do you have a 11 recollection of Captain Ober wanting 12 to go to a School of Police Staff and 13 Command, which was sent to the Bureau of Personnel on or about October 14 15 19th, 1999, reference Special Order 16 99-102 dated October 7, 1999? No, I do not. 17 Α. 18 Well, if I indicated to you Ο. that this document ---. 19 20 ATTORNEY GUIDO: Can we have the 21 document marked if it is going 22 23 to be shown to the witness? ATTORNEY BAILEY: 24 Sure. You can mark it. 25

Į.	29
1	A. Ann Alfono (phonetic) was the
2	other instructor, riding instructor.
3	BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
4	Q. Ann Alfono?
5	ATTORNEY GUIDO:
6	' Exhibit One.
7	(Deposition Exhibit One
8	marked for
9	identification.)
10	ATTORNEY CHRISTIE:
11	Do you have a copy,
12	Counsel, for?
13	ATTORNEY BAILEY:
14	You can have a dozen
15	copies, because we really love
16	you over here. We'd be happy
17	to give you copies.
18	ATTORNEY CHRISTIE:
19	Like right now?
20	ATTORNEY BAILEY:
21	No, we don't have any
22	copies right now.
23	
24	1
25	have an opportunity to review

30 this before the Commissioner 1 goes ---. 2 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 3 As soon as I'm done, we 4 can, yes. Yes, you can have 5 an opportunity to review it, 6 sure. 7 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 8 Thanks. 9 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 10 Well, that's all right. 11 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 12 Colonel, what would you do to 13 somebody if they altered or took a 14 document out of Mr. Ober's file in 15 order to affect the course of this 16 litigation? What action would you 17 take? 18 Again, what's the question? Α. 19 Well, do you know whether Mr. 20 Q. Coury approved or disapproved that 21 action? 22 I don't know. 23 Α. Do you know if Mr. Coury 24 Ο. disapproved that action because he 25

```
31
   indicated to Mr. Ober that it was
1
   came to the bureau too late?
2
           I don't have any idea.
3
           Do you know whether that
4
   Ο.
   document as it existed in Captain
5
   Ober's file which I will represent to
6
   you, sir, he recently checked, had a
7
    date notation on the bottom of it,
8
    and that that document has been ---
9
    was in his file, and that that
10
    document has been removed from his
11
    file?
12
           I have no idea.
    Α.
13
           I would like to ---
14
    representing to you that there was a
15
    document in that file with a date
16
    notation at the very bottom of that
17
    very document that said BTS personnel
18
    10/20/99. Do you know who BTS is?
19
            They're probably the
20
    abbreviation for Bureau of Technology
21
    Services.
22
           Okay.
    Ο.
23
                    ATTORNEY BAILEY:
24
                     know it wasn't
25
```

32 provided during discovery. 1 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 2 I would ask, how far would 3 Captain Ober's file be from here? 4 Where would it be from here? 5 Headquarters. 6 Α. Headquarters? Oh, geez. 7 Q . ATTORNEY BAILEY: 8 I would like to 9 suspend. I'd like Captain 10 Maybe Ober to go over there. 11 Mr. Brown can go with him, 12 since he's the investigator in 13 this case. And I'd like that 14 file to be looked at to see 15 where that document is and if 16 it has a date proof on the 17 bottom. 18 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 19 We're not going to do 20 that in the middle of the 21 deposition. 22 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 23 You're going to refuse 24 to do that? 25

ATTORNEY GUIDO:

Yes.

ATTORNEY CHRISTIE:

Counsel, you had opportunity to view the Plaintiff's file on March the 8th as among the number of things that were produced for your inspection at headquarters.

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Well, I'm representing for the record that that document was not in that file, that it was taken out of that file, and it is still out of that file. And that I'm going to repeat accusations I've made in the past that someone has intentionally altered and interfered with the file.

ATTORNEY CHRISTIE:

Well, Counsel, I find it curious --- oh, I'm sorry. Finish. I'm sorry I

interrupted you.

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

And we checked that

file as of this morning. And

we think that somebody has

taken documents out of the

file. I don't see how we

inconvenience anybody here. I

can understand your being

afraid maybe, and I don't know

why ---.

ATTORNEY GUIDO:

We are not afraid, sir, but we're not interrupting this deposition for that purpose. We provided you with what we provided you. You know, it will inconvenience people because this is the day for the deposition. Colonel Evanko's cleared his schedule for it, and if we take time to go to the headquarters it's going to delay the deposition and we're just not going to do

35 it. 1 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 2 Okay. Well, we're 3 going to have a lunch break. 4 I want to have Mr. Ober go 5 over and look at his file over 6 the lunch break, Colonel. 7 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 8 He can do whatever he 9 likes during lunch. 10 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 11 I want to represent ---. ο. 12 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 13 Please, ma'am, please. 14 Do you have an objection to 15 place on the record? 16 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 17 I said to your request, 18 yes, he can do what he likes 19 during lunch if that's your 20 representation. 21 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 22 Well, it's ---. 23 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 24 But what I'm saying is 25

36 let's have the questions of 1 the Colonel. 2 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 3 Let the record show 4 that I deeply appreciate 5 Counsel's permission to do 6 7 what we want during lunch break. 8 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 9 But what I was going to ask 10 Ο. you, Colonel, is would you mind if 11 --- do you have any objection to 12 directing Mr. Brown to go with him? 13 That would be up to my 14 Α. Counsel. 15 Okay. So your Counsel direct 16 ο. Mr. Brown. 17 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 18 Well, Counsel, I'll 19 make the request and you can 20 consider it that Mr. Brown go 21 along to look at that file 22 over there today. 23 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 24 And I'm representing to you, 25 Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

37

Colonel, that that file has been interfered with. But your testimony here today is that you have no knowledge of that; is that correct?

A. That is correct.

ATTORNEY CHRISTIE:

And might I just note also on the record that, Counsel, you were given an opportunity to review those items which were produced pursuant to the request for production of documents that you made on March the 8th, if I recall. You spent approximately, what five, six hours there to review, among other things, I'm sure this personnel file. And I do find it curious that there was no mention, in addition to your green ink mention, there was no mention whatsoever of this document or any other document being missing from the

38 Plaintiff's personnel file. 1 But you also, you had 2 opportunity to copy those 3 documents, including that in 4 the personnel file. I might 5 note also you appear to have a 6 copy of that document here 7 today, which is now marked 8 Exhibit One. 9 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 10 Counsel, let me just 11 provide just a little bit of a 12 rejoinder. I can't copy what 13 isn't given to me. That's my 14 response to you, ma'am. 15 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 16 Well, I just note, 17 Counsel, again, not to repeat, 18 but you do appear to have a 19 сору ---20 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 21 I don't care ---. 22 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 23 --- of what you contend 24 is missing from the 25

Plaintiff's file and certainly there was no such contention made on March the 8th during the time of your inspection of that material.

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

I don't think I have a duty to catch every little piece of whatever is going on around here on March the 8th, because there's some very strange things.

ATTORNEY GUIDO:

For all we know Captain

Ober or yourself removed it

from the file. Can we get on

with the deposition.

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

No, no, ma'am. I'm sorry. You --- first of all, you were not there and I realize you investigated this case to begin with, but you had a representative there at all times and we didn't remove

40 anything from the file. 1 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 2 didn't mean that. 3 You just said that Captain 4 Ober has repeatedly gone and 5 reviewed his personnel file. 6 I'm not talking about March 7 8th necessarily with Captain 8 Ober. 9 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 10 But you're not implying 11 that Captain Ober removed 12 something from the personnel 13 file? 14 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 15 Sure. I'm implying he 16 possibly did. I don't know. 17 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 18 Is he allowed to have 19 --- he's allowed to copy his 20 file. Are you implying that 21 he took things from the file? 22 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 23 You're implying someone 24 took things. 25

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Yes, I am. Yes, I am.

ATTORNEY GUIDO:

What I am saying is someone took them. For all we know Captain Ober took them.

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Well, for all you know, we're going to find out about. That is a key material issue in this case. And it's a key material allegation in the case. So we'll have an opportunity to review these files. It may be there. I'll be very curious to find out if it is. But so far you're refusing us an opportunity to go right now and look at it and I understand that you're taking that position.

BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Q. Now, Colonel, let me change gears just a little bit here to something else. Just a second here.

42 I'm going to ask you about a series 1 of things. I'm going to ask you 2 about --- just go over a little bit 3 about 4 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 5 Excuse us, Counsel. 6 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 7 We're having a 8 discussion about the document. 9 The document's been handed to 10 the court reporter. By the 11 end of the day we'll make sure 12 during a break we'll get a 13 copy. Thank you. 14 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 15 When did you first become 16 ο. aware that there had been an FBI 17 investigation into the academy and 18 the appointment of cadets? 19 May 12th, 1999. 20 Α. And how did you become aware 21 Q. of that? 22 Through Lieutenant Colonel 23 Α. Hikus (phonetic) and Captain Ober. 24 And where were you when you 25 Q.

43 became aware of that? 1 At the academy in Hershey. 2 And what was the event? 3 Q. It was a corporal promotion 4 Α. 5 ceremony. Now, who informed you? 6 Lieutenant Colonel Hikus and 7 Α. Captain Ober. 8 And is that all? Only those 9 two were present when they informed 10 11 you? Yes, sir. 12 Α. And what did they say to you? 1.3 ο. They initially asked if they 1.4 Α. could see me, because they had 15 something they wanted to tell me. Wе 16 then went into the Office of the 17 Bureau of Training and Education, 18 sat down. Lieutenant Colonel Hikus 19 began by saying that shortly after he 20 was appointed to the position of 21 Lieutenant Colonel, Deputy 22 Commissioner of Staff, that Captain 23 Ober had come to him and asked if 2.4 could speak with him and told him 25

44 that while he was acting director of 1 the Bureau of Professional 2 Responsibility in late September or 3 early October an FBI agent from the 4 Pittsburgh office called BPR and 5 talked to him and told him that they 6 were conducting a political 7 corruption investigation and that 8 part of that political corruption 9 investigation involved high-ranking 10 officials or individuals in the state 11 police and/or the Governor's Office 12 or both. 13 Okay. And what was your 14 reaction? 15 I listened and let them 16 Α. continue. 17 You listened and let them 18 continue. Well, did you say anything 19 at some point? 20 At some point I became very 21 Α. angry at what I was listening to and 22 said that the SAC in Pittsburgh is 23 friend of mine and I ought to pick up 24 In fact, the phone and call him. 25

```
45
   Director Freeh is a friend of mine.
1
   And I picked up the phone and called
2
   him and if they were conducting a
3
   political corruption investigation
4
   into the higher ranks of the state
5
   police that SAC ought to be
6
   transferred and he hadn't told me.
7
           Why should the SAC be
8
   transferred if he's conducting an
9
    investigation into possibly you?
10
           Because I didn't even think
11
    Α.
         I was being included in that
12
    when they originally briefed me.
                                        Ιt
13
    wasn't until the day after May 12th,
1.4
    the day after that, that Lieutenant
15
    Colonel Hikus told me that the quote,
16
    term, end quote, colonel was used.
17
    That was May 13th.
18
           Do you trust your officers to
19
    conduct themselves in accordance with
20
    good ethical principles in an
21
    investigation?
22
           That's my expectation.
23
    Α.
           Were you concerned that if you
24
    ο.
    weren't told that that might be
25
```

46 embarrassing to you? 1 Rephrase it, please. 2 Were you concerned that 3 weren't --- you not being told that 4 that could be embarrassing to you? 5 That was one of my concerns, 6 7 yes. Well, what were your other 8 concerns? 9 I had a number of other 10 concerns. Lieutenant Colonel Hikus 11 was telling me that shortly after he 12 was appointed that Captain Ober comes 13 to him. I found out that's October 14 5th. And the very first thing that 15 the newly appointed lieutenant 16 colonel was told by me was that if 17 you have incidents that significantly 18 affect the department or significant 19 initiatives, anything significant 20 about the department, to come to me 21 and keep me informed and up to date 22 of things. That's the very first 23 thing that I told the lieutenant 24 colonel. As soon as he started 25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

47

talking I said, didn't we have a conversation that very first day to keep me informed.

I was also concerned that during their briefing to me they told me that they had received this information from the FBI that it was closed and unfounded two weeks earlier. And I'm thinking, two weeks earlier, why wasn't I told two weeks earlier? I was also concerned because the lieutenant colonel had been told again on those first couple days of his command, if you're going to be involved in the other deputy commissioners' commands to make sure that you talk to them, don't do anything unilaterally. He said, that's my expectation from them and you as well. And it didn't seem reasonable to me what I was hearing. I said, how can the FBI conduct it themselves, allegedly conducting this inquiry? Well, so your --- the primary

48 reason for your upset was that 1 somebody hadn't told you earlier? 2 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 3 I object. I don't 4 think that's what the 5 Commissioner said. What I'm 6 objecting to is to form. 7 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 8 Well, let me ask you. Is that 9 Q. what you're saying? 10 That was one of four reasons. 11. Α. Okay. One of four reasons. 12 Q. So was that the major reason, that 13 you hadn't been informed early 14 enough? 15 That was one of the major 16 reasons, yes. 17 Okay. Now, whose duty was it 18 ο. to inform you? 19 Lieutenant Colonel Hikus'. 20 Α. That's what I took from what 21 Q. you said. The fact Colonel Hikus had 22 a duty to inform you. Did Lieutenant 23 Colonel Hikus tell you that he had 24 ordered --- we're going to deal later 25.

49 with the issue of Captain Ober's 1 going to Lieutenant Colonel Hikus; 2 okay? I don't want to cloud this 3 question with that consideration, so 4 I want to be very specific with this. 5 Did Lieutenant Colonel Hikus indicate 6 to you that he had told or ordered 7 Captain Ober not to reveal what 8 Captain Ober knew? 9 Yes, he did. 10 Okay. Now, Colonel, is it 11 ο. fair to say that Lieutenant Colonel 12 Hikus told you that on the 12th, the 1.3 12th or the 13th? And I want to sort 14 this out, because I understand there 15 were more than one conversation. 16 want to make sure we get a real good 17 fact picture here. So if you'd think 18 for just a moment and answer this 19 question. Do you recollect whether 20 Mr. Hikus told you on May 12th 21 initially while Captain Ober was 22 present that he had ordered Captain 23 Ober to keep this information close 24 to the vest and report only to him?

25

```
50
           Yes, he did.
1
   Α.
           Okay.
2
   Ο.
   Now, did you respond to Lieutenant
3
   Colonel Hikus at the point that he
4
    told you that, and if so what did you
5
    say?
6
           I just listened.
7
    Α.
           You just listened at that
8
    ο.
    point?
9
           Yes, sir.
10
    Α.
           Now, okay, right now we're
11
    Q.
    still talking about May 12th; okay?
12
            I understand.
13
    Α.
           All right, sir. Now, Mr.
14
    Q.
    did Captain Ober speak at that point?
15
           He spoke at some point during
16
    Α.
    this briefing.
17
            Okay. Can you tell us, will
18
    Q.
    you share with us please what Captain
19
    Ober said and if there was any
20
    conversation between you and Captain
21
    Ober.
22
            I can't distinguish between
    Α.
23
    what was told to me by Lieutenant
2.4
    Colonel Hikus and by Captain Ober.
25
```

51 It was primarily Lieutenant Colonel 1 Hikus that did the talking and 2 Captain Ober periodically said things 3 but I can't distinguish between the 4 5 two. Do you have a recollection of 6 ο. asking Captain Ober any questions? 7 I had questions that I asked 8 Α. to both of them. 9 Now, at the point that Colonel 1.0 Hikus and Captain Ober told you about 11 the FBI investigation, they had 12 indicated that there were some kind 13 of fears that it had been into upper 14 reaches of the Pennsylvania State 15 Police and maybe the Governor's 16 Office or some Governor's personnel 17 or something like that; is that 18 correct? 19 The term higher-ranking 20 individuals. 21 Okay. 22 ο. And the state police and/or 23 the Governor's Office or both were 24 used. 25

52 Now, at that point did you ---Q. 1 you felt that they should have told 2 you earlier; is that correct? 3 Yes, sir. Α. Why should they have told you 5 earlier? Now, I want to direct that 6 question to your attention, not over 7 any displeasure that they didn't tell 8 you two weeks earlier, but at the 9 inception of the FBI notification. 10 In other words, sir, you were upset 11 not just because they hadn't told you 12 two weeks earlier that the FBI had 13 told them that it was closed. You 14 were upset because they had not 15 initially notified you; is that 16 correct? 17 That was part of it, yes. 18 Α. Okay. Now, was that a ---19 remember you sort of said, I think 20 you said four major reasons. I don't 21 know quite what you meant by that. 22 Would you tell us? 23 I was very angry by the fact 24 that as Lieutenant Colonel Hikus 25

53

25

started to brief me he said that 1 shortly after he was appointed in his 2 position that Captain Ober had come 3 And I'm thinking in my mind, to him. 4 shortly after you were appointed we 5 had just sat down and we had talked 6 about my directions to him to keep me 7 informed of significant events in the 8 department, that that was important 9 to me. 10 Okay. Ο. 11 I was also concerned that when 12 he told me, or when Captain Ober told 13 me, whichever one did, that the FBI 14 had called them, called Captain Ober 15 two weeks earlier, I'm thinking two 1.6 weeks, why has it taken two weeks to 17 sit down with me and to brief me on 18 19 this? Is that B, sir? 20 Q. And number third ---. 21 Α. Okay. Go ahead. 22 Ο. Number third was the fact in Α. 23 the early days with Lieutenant 24 Colonel Hikus, and I'm talking the

54 first, second, and third and probably 1 the fourth day as well, had talked 2 about the necessity of not being 3 involved in the other deputy 4 commissioners' commands or if you 5 are, make sure you're talking and 6 coordinating with them, communicating 7 with them, because my expectation 8 from the other deputies is that they 9 won't do that to your command. 10 Number four ---. 11 Can I ask one little question 12 right there before you do number 13 four? Just a little one? 14 Yes, sir. Α. 15 A deputy commissioner is a 16 colonel or a lieutenant colonel? 17 The deputy commissioners are 18 lieutenant colonels. 19 They're all lieutenant 20 colonels? 21 Yes, they are. 22 Α. Okay. Go ahead, sir, number ο. 23 four. 24 And number four had to do with Α. 25

55 it didn't sound reasonable what I was 1 being told that the FBI had told them 2 or had told Captain Ober. 3 Okay. Let's talk about didn't 4 sound reasonable first. All right, 5 What didn't sound reasonable to 6 you, Colonel? 7 It didn't sound reasonable 8 that if an FBI office is doing an 9 investigation into the higher ranks 10 of the state police that a SAC would 11 have a street agent pick up the 12 phone, call across the state, talk to 13 an individual in BPR and tell them 14 that they were doing a political 15 corruption investigation into the 16 higher ranks of the state police and 17 not pick up the phone and tell me. 18 Because remember, I didn't learn 19 about the term, colonel, until the 20 following day. 21 Sir, that's exactly what the 22 Q. FBI did; didn't it? 23 That's what the FBI agent did, 24 Α. yes. 25

56 As you sit here today 1 answering my questions, you've got to admit based on all of the facts 3 before us, that is precisely what the 4 FBI did; isn't it, sir? 5 An FBI agent did that. 6 Α. Okay. So it's not the FBI, it 7 ο. is an FBI agent did that? 8 Let me put it this way. 9 Α. Sure. 10 0. It's an allegation or it was Α. 11 portrayed that way by Captain Ober to 12 Lieutenant Colonel Hikus. 13 Now, all right, that's fair 14 enough. Now, what facts known to you 15 as we sit here today indicate that 16 that isn't what happened? 17 The issue of high-ranking 18 officials or individuals in the state 19 police and/or the Governor's Office 20 was not told to Captain Ober by the 21 agent that called him. 22 Wasn't it? 23 Q. No. 24 Α. You're not, and again, this is Q. 25

```
57
 1
    not meant to be facetious, you're not
 2
    indicating for us that Captain Ober
 3
    is clairvoyant or can tell the
4
    future; are you?
5
           No, I'm not.
6
           Of course not. I mean, that
7
    would be, that would be silly and we
8
    don't have any facts on the record to
9
    indicate that he has some
    supernatural ability; right?
10
11
           That is correct.
    Α.
12
           All right. But we do know
13
    that strangely enough, according to
    what facts have been shared with us
14
15
    by our FBI friends and your
    investigators, that indeed on a wire
16
17
    procured with the help of an FBI
18
    confidential informant that, in fact,
    things of that nature were said, but
19
    supposedly now, supposedly, if we
20
    believe the FBI and your
21
    investigator's findings, this
22
23
    occurred a couple of weeks after
    Captain Ober was told, was initially
24
    contacted by the FBI agent; right?
25
```

58 1 Is that correct? 2 Α. It is my understanding that on 3 October 21st Captain Ober listened to 4 a videotape or an audiotape with the 5 where a confidential --- where 6 the applicant for the alleged job-7 selling scheme said that she would 8 have to go to some lieutenant colonel or someone affiliated with the state 9 police. 10 Okay. And so you're 11 ο. 12 suggesting that Mr. Cush (phonetic) didn't say anything to Mr. Ober about 13 any lieutenant colonel or any higher-14 15 ups or anything like that sometime on 16 or about the beginning of October; 17 right? From reading the reports, 18 that's what my understanding 19 Okay. Well, why didn't you 20 punish Ober if he --- I mean, he got 21 lucky. In other words, Ober got 22 23 lucky that a couple weeks later this CI just happened to mention, at least 24 we know a lieutenant colonel or 25

```
59
    something to that effect, let alone
 1
 2
    what the FBI agent said or didn't
    say. So Ober just got lucky; right?
 3
    A. I don't know what happened
 4
    there.
 5
           Well, that's interesting. You
 6
 7
    don't know what happened? Well, if
    you don't know what happened, can you
 8
 9
    tell us now, who do you think had the
10
    best recollection of that October 5th
11
    conversation between Mr. Cush and Mr.
12
    Ober? Have you reached any
13
    conclusions about that?
14
           No, I have not.
    Α.
15
           You haven't? So Mr. Ober must
    O .
16
    have just gotten lucky and mentioned
17
    this to Colonel Hikus that there were
    some higher-ups or colonels or
18
19
    something of that sort, lieutenant
20
    colonel, whatever the rank was, who
21
    knows, that this CI mentioned; right?
22
    Α.
           What Colonel Hikus told me was
23
    on May 13th that the quote, term, end
24
    quote, colonel was used.
25
    Q.
          Okay. Well, why didn't you
```

```
60
    punish Ober for that if you --- I
 1
    mean, do you believe Ober
 2
    misrepresented what happened on
 3
    October 5th or early October?
 4
        I don't know what happened
 5
    there.
 6
 7
          Okay. Well, so at least as of
    May 12th you are told that sometime
 8
    on or about the beginning of October
 9
10
    '98 that an FBI agent goes to Mr.
    Ober and tells him about this FBI
11
12
    investigation; right?
13
      Yes, that an FBI agent in late
    Α.
    September or early October called BPR
14
15
    and spoke with Captain Ober.
16
           All right. Now, you found
    that odd. And I'm not, you know ---
17
18
    by the way, sir, I do too. I find it
19
    odd. Did you ever find out why the
20
    FBI did that this way?
21
    Α.
           No, I didn't.
22
           Did they suspect you?
    Q.
23
    Α.
           It was not my understanding
24
    that they suspected me. That's part
    of the reason that I was concerned
25
```

```
61
    that I wasn't informed.
1
           You were concerned that they
2
    had suspected you; weren't you?
3
    fact, you said that; didn't you?
4
    A. I was not concerned that I ---
5
    in fact, I didn't even think that
6
    they suspected me being involved on
7
    May 12th. The only time that that
8
    came out was on May 13th when the
9
    lieutenant colonel had just mentioned
10
    to me that Captain Ober told him the
11
    term colonel was used.
12
         Well, then why didn't the FBI
13
    Q.
    call you up and tell you?
14
           I don't know.
15
    Α.
          You don't know to this very
16
    Q.
17
    day?
          I would take it from my
    Α.
18
    conversations with the SAC ---.
19
          That's Rick?
20
    Ο.
           The special agent in charge,
21
    Rick Mascara.
22
           All right.
23
    0.
           And when I called him on May
24
    20th and he didn't know anything
25
```

```
62
    about the investigation.
 1
          Sir, Mr. Mascara told you on
 2
    May the 20th, 1999 that he didn't
 3
    know anything about this
 4
    investigation?
 5
           I think the words that were
 6
    Α.
    used is when I made an inquiry to him
 7
    over the telephone, he said to me,
8
    what inquiry, what investigation?
9
    And the quote, I believe, was I have
10
    to plead with ignorance here.
11
        Do you believe him?
12
    Q.
           Yes, I do.
13
    Α.
           Now, if Mr. Mascara's telling
14
    the truth, that means that as of May
15
    20th, 1999, he had never been made
16
17
    aware by the CIA --- excuse me,
    please, that a confidential
18
19
    informant, CI, as they're called, I
    guess, for the FBI had implicated
20
    possibly high-ranking officials in
21
    either the Governor's Office or a
22
    lieutenant colonel by rank or colonel
23
24
    by rank, whatever the CI imparted;
25
    okay? Mr. Mascara didn't --- that
```

```
63
    had not been brought to his attention
 1
    if what he told you May 20th was
 2
    true; is that correct?
 3
           That is correct.
 4
    Α.
       Or he didn't remember it?
 5
    Ο.
           He told me just that.
 6
    Α.
           Yes, which I would find very
7
    Q.
    hard to believe, but, hey, after all
8
    it's an FBI agent. Now, did you
9
    question Mr. Mascara further?
10
           Yes, I did.
11
    Α.
           Well, let's stop and go back
12
    to May 12th for a minute. Did you
13
    ever call Louie Freeh?
14
           No, I did not.
15
           Did you ever call anyone in
16
    his office?
17
           No, I did not.
18
    Α.
           Who in the FBI besides Mr.
19
    Mascara did you call, if anyone?
20
21
           Mr. Mascara's the only person
    who I talked to in the FBI.
22
          Why did you wait until May
23
    Q.
24
    20th?
25
    A. I can't tell you what else was
```

```
64
   going on between May 12th and May
1
   13th. That was the day I called him.
2
   I can't tell you why.
3
           Well, when did you first call
4
   Mr. Campbell?
5
           I would have called Mr.
6
   Α.
   Campbell the afternoon of May 12th
7
   when I got back to headquarters.
8
           Why?
9
    Q.
           To tell him what was just told
10
    Α.
    to me because it allegedly involved
11
    high-ranking individuals in the state
12
    police and/or the Governor's Office.
13
           And what did Mr. Campbell say?
14
           He didn't say much of
15
    anything.
16
           How current was the Gigliatti
17
    Q .
   (phonetic) matter at that time?
18
           I don't have any idea.
19
           Do you have any knowledge of
20
    any of the allegations surrounding
21
    Gigliatti in the Governor's Office?
22
           No, sir.
23
    Α.
           Did you ever discuss the
24
    Gigliatti matter with Mr. Mascara?
25
```

```
65
           No, sir.
1
    Α.
           How about Mr. Cush?
2
    Q.
           No, sir.
    Α.
           Did you ever suspect that the
    FBI killed this investigation that
5
    you're talking about?
6
           Killed which investigation?
7
           The one into this state police
8
    ο.
    academy thing.
           That never entered my mind,
10
    Α.
    no. And nor do I think that.
11
           Could you trust the FBI?
12
    Q.
           Yes, I do.
13
    Α.
           And they trust you?
14
    Q.
           Yes, they do.
15
    Α.
16
           Well, did Mr. Mascara tell you
    Q.
    that, that they trust you?
17
18
          No, we didn't discuss the
    Α.
19
    issue of trust.
    Q. It's just assumed it's there;
20
21
    right?
22
           I assume it's there, yes.
    Α.
23
           Do you trust your officers
24
    the Pennsylvania State Police?
25
    Α.
           Yes.
```

```
66
          Well, up to that point at
    Q.
1
    least you trusted Mr. Ober; didn't
2
   you?
3
          Yes.
    Α.
           So on the trust scale you
5
    Ο.
    decided in this matter that the FBI
    was more trustworthy than Mr. Ober or
7
    was it vice versa after all this was
    done?
9
       I didn't draw a distinction
    Α.
10
    between the two.
11
          Okay. Now, you had called Mr.
12
    Campbell on the afternoon of the
13
          Was he your contact over there
14
    in the Governor's Office?
15
           Yes, sir.
    Α.
16
           Did you ever find out when the
17
    ο.
    Governor's Office or anybody over
18
    there was first aware of this FBI
19
    interest?
20
           My understanding is May 12th
21
    when I told them.
22
           So the first time you knew
23
    that Mary Woolly (phonetic) had been
24
    talked to was when your attorney,
25.
```

```
67
   obviously possessed of this
1
   knowledge, asked questions of Mr.
2
   Hikus; is that correct, yesterday?
3
          The first time that I actually
   Α.
4
   knew about it was in response to
5
   Lieutenant Colonel Hikus' response.
6
   Then I knew it.
           And that was just the other
8
   day, Monday; wasn't it?
9
          It was earlier this week.
10
    Α.
    Q. Earlier this week. Well, you
11
    knew it --- is it fair to say the
12
    first time you ever knew it was
13
    within the last week; right?
14
         Yes, sir.
15
          So you didn't know that there
16
    were witnesses to this information
17
    about Mr. Hikus talking to the
18
    Governor's Office until a week ago,
19
    somebody in the Governor's Office
20
    until a week ago; right?
21
           I knew it when Lieutenant
22
    Colonel Hikus testified.
23
    Q. And you knew it a little bit
24
    before that, but not more than a week
25
```

```
68
    before that; is that fair to say?
1
          I had discussions with my
2
    Counsel on that.
3
          Okay. Well, I'm saying time
    Q.
4
    wise, I'm not asking about
5
    discussions with your attorney. I
6
    just want to know when you first knew
7
    it. You first knew it, you know, a
8
    week before or less than a week
9
    before Hikus responded to those
10
    questions; is that fair to say?
11
           It would be less than a week.
12
           Okay. Do you know what
13
    Ο.
    voluntary disclosure is under Federal
14
    rules in a Civil case?
15
          No, I do not.
16
           Okay. Sir, I want to take you
17
    back now to May 12th. You've talked
18
    to Mark Campbell. Did Mark Campbell
19
    give you any instructions or say
20
    anything about what he thought you
21
    should do or anything like that?
22
           No, sir.
23
    Α.
           Did you ask him for advise on
24
25
    anything?
```

69 No, sir. Α. 1 So you were merely calling him 2 Q. to inform him; is that correct? 3 Yes, sir. And I also told him 4 Α. that I was going to conduct an 5 inquiry into the facts of this. 6 Well, why did you want to 7 Q. conduct an inquiry into the facts of 8 it? I thought Colonel Hikus had 9 indicated --- strike that. 10 Colonel Hikus had indicated to 11 you that the investigation, the FBI 12 had closed the investigation and that 13 it was, at least as to higher-ups, it 14 was unfounded; is that correct? 15 That is correct. 16 Okay. And had Colonel Hikus 17 indicated to you that the extent of 18 the FBI investigation revealed that 19 to the best of their knowledge there 20 was basically one trooper, one 21 Pennsylvania State Police Trooper 22 involved? 23 Either Lieutenant Colonel 24 Hikus or Captain Ober told me that on 25

```
70
   May 12th.
1
          Okay. All right. Did you
2
   give Colonel Hikus or Captain Ober
3
    any directions on May 12th? By that
4
    I mean, you know, asked him to record
5
    or asked him to check on something,
6
    anything like that?
7
           I probably told him on May
8
    12th to put it on a piece of paper
9
    what they'd just told me.
10
        Okay. Did you give them any
    Q.
11
    other instructions?
12
          None that I recall.
13
    Α.
           Did you believe that Captain
14
    Q.
    Ober had been disloyal to you?
15
           I didn't think about loyalty.
16
    Α.
           Have you ever thought about
17
    Q.
    loyalty?
18
          Not really.
19
    Α.
           Have you ever carried any
20
    Q.
    personal anger in you over this
21
22
    matter?
           Over the issue of not being
23
    told about the FBI investigation?
24
    Q. Yes, yes.
25
```

```
71
            And the reasons under that?
    Α.
 1
           Yes, sir.
 2
    Q.
           And have I ever been what?
 3
    Α.
           Have you carried any personal
 4
    Q.
 5
    anger with you over not being told by
    Captain Ober when the FBI contacted
 6
 7
    him?
           No, sir.
 8
    Α.
           Have you carried any anger in
 9
    you against Colonel Hikus because he
10
11
    ordered Captain Ober not to tell
    anyone in October?
12
13
    Α.
           No, sir.
14
           Have you carried any anger in
    you against Captain Ober because he
15
16
    didn't tell, now Colonel, then Major,
17
    Conley?
18
           No, sir.
    Α.
19
    Q.
        Did you suspect on May 12th
20
    that Captain Ober had violated a
21
    Pennsylvania State Police Regulation?
22
    Α.
           I never gave it any thought.
23
           And you never have; have you?
    Q.
24
    Α.
         No, not really.
    Q.
25
           How about Colonel Hikus?
                                       Did
```

```
72
   you ever consider that he had
1
   violated a Pennsylvania State Police
2
   Regulation on May 12th or since May
3
    12th, have you --- I assume you
4
   haven't thought about that either?
5
           I thought about him not
6
    following my directives on keeping me
. 7
    informed of significant events that
8
    we talked about October 5th, 6th, 7th
9
    and 8th.
10
         Sir, why didn't you say, you
11
    Q.
    guys did the right thing? Didn't
12
    they do the right thing, Colonel?
13
          No, I think Lieutenant Colonel
    Α.
14
    Hikus should have told me.
15
          Well, did Captain Ober do the
16
    ο.
    right thing?
17
           I think Captain Ober should
18
    Α.
    have told his bureau director.
19
       Why?
20
    Q.
          Because I think that's the
21
    right thing to do.
22
           But it doesn't violate a
23
    regulation; right?
24
          No, sir. It violated a
25
    Α.
```

```
73
    practice of the department.
1
          A practice of the department?
2
           Yes.
3
    Α.
           Well, you believe that a
    ο.
4
    if the FBI's conducting an
5
    investigation and they have reason to
6
    believe that there may be higher-ups
7
    in the Pennsylvania State Police
8
    involved that they should come to
9
    you. You actually believe that?
10
           Unless they have strong
11
    Α.
    probable cause to believe that I am
12
    involved, yes, I do.
13
           Okay. Why? Just so you know
14
    ο.
    and are not embarrassed; is that why?
15
           I'm the head of the agency. I
16
    have a responsibility to the agency.
17
18
           Well, Clinton's President of
    the United States and we've seen that
19
    fiasco. I mean, not that that
20
    necessarily applies here, but I mean,
21
    after all he's, you know, President
22
      the United States, not getting
23
    into all the politics nonsense
24
    surrounding that situation. I mean,
25
```

```
74
 1
     if someone is investigating potential
     wrongdoing, don't they have a duty
 2
     under basic investigatory principles
 3
     to try to limit as much as possible
 4
     the dissemination of information in
 5
 6
     an investigation or am I wrong about
 7
     that?
 8
            I think if the FBI has a
 9
    criminal investigation into higher-
10
    ups in the state police, and I ---
    and they don't have strong reasonable
11
12
    or probable cause that I'm involved,
13
    I think they have a responsibility to
    come to me as the head of the agency.
14
15
            Okay. Well, let's --- and now
    that is Colonel Evanko's point of
16
17
    view; am I correct?
18
           I think that would be any
19
    superintendent or commissioner's
20
    point of view.
           Well, do you know that it is
21
    Q.
    any superintendent's or let's say
22
23
    high-ranking law enforcement
24
    official's point of view?
25
    Α.
          I think if you talk to any
```

```
75
   superintendent or commissioner of a
1
   state police organization that would
2
   be their expectation.
3
           And if somebody doesn't adhere
    to that expectation should they be
5
   punished?
6
           I don't have the authority to
7
   be punishing an FBI, special agent in
8
9
    charge.
           What about your own people?
10
    Should they be punished?
11
          For what?
12
    Α.
    Q. I don't know. Not telling
13
         I mean, you're telling me that
    you?
14
    they --- sir, if I understand you
15
    correctly, and I may not.
16
    BRIEF INTERRUPTION
17
                   ATTORNEY BAILEY:
18
                   We'll suspend for just
19
           five minutes.
20
                   VIDEOGRAPHER:
21
                   The time is 10:22 a.m.,
22
           March 27th, 2002. We're
23
           suspending the deposition.
24
    SHORT BREAK TAKEN
25
```

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Ladies and gentlemen,
this is Attorney Andrew
Ostrowski who's working with
me on this matter, is here
with us today.

VIDEOGRAPHER:

It's now 10:34 a.m. and the deposition of Mr. Evanko has resumed.

BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Q. Okay. Colonel, thank you very much for the courtesy of allowing me to contact my office and find out that your erstwhile attorneys have told Judge Caldwell that I smeared some documents I'm going to ask you about. So maybe that will get us a hearing. Something good comes out of everything, Colonel, in the legal process. I want to go back, sir, if I may to the questioning that I had about the --- we're talking about your state of mind and your thoughts on the 12th and subsequent to the

77 12th, your thoughts about, and 1 conclusions about the conduct, the acts or omissions of Colonel Hikus and/or Captain Ober. So let me go back to that and finish that up, 5 okay? 6 Α. Yes, sir. All right, sir. Thank you. 8 0. Now, you had indicated that in 9 10 response to one of my questions that you felt any supervisor in a law 11 enforcement field, unless there were 12 13 good probable cause to believe that 14 the head of the group were involved, that in that type of scenario 15 generally that the head of the group 1.6 17 should be informed or should be told; is that correct? 18 19 Yes, if the SAC in a field 20 office is doing a corruption 21 investigation of the higher-ups and 22 doesn't have strong probable cause to 23 believe that the head of the agency 24 is involved, it is my statement that 25 he should be calling or she should be

78 calling that head. 1 Well, if BPR and the 2 3 Pennsylvania State Police receive a 4 complaint about you, Captain ---5 let's say some captain somewhere, 6 receives a complaint about you, 7 Colonel Evanko, should BPR tell you 8 before they do the investigation? 9 Give me a circumstance that Α. 10 you are talking about. 11 Well, in this particular case, 12 let's take this case here. Captain Ober's recollection is that the FBI 13 14 had indicated that there were higher-15 ups or somebody in some rank that was 16 lieutenant colonel or colonel or 17 somebody higher up, maybe in the 18 Governor's Office and maybe in the 19 Pennsylvania State Police, who could 20 be involved in this, and that he 21 passed that on, he passed that on to Colonel Hikus. Colonel Hikus told 22 23 him, hey, you don't tell anybody, you keep this information close to the 24 25 vest, you don't tell anybody but me.

```
79
    Now, that's basically what happened;
 1
 2
    right?
          Yes, that is. That is what
 3
    Α.
    happened when Captain Ober received
 4
    information from the FBI.
 5
 6
    Q.
           Right.
          Went past the hearing
 7
    commander to Lieutenant Colonel Hikus
 8
    and told Colonel Hikus.
 9
           Okay. Now, what was Captain
10
    Ober's assignment at that time?
11
12
    was he doing? I'm sorry, sir. I cut
    you off. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
13
      If that's the end of the
14
15
    question?
16
           Yes, sir.
    Ο.
17
           At the time that he went to
18
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus he was the
19
    Director of Internal Affairs.
20
    Q. He was, it's called IAD;
21
    right? That division within BPR;
22
    correct?
23
          Yes, it is.
    Α.
24
    Q.
           Now, where was Mr. Conley at
25
    that time?
```

```
80
           Where was he physically?
   Α.
1
           Sure. Where was he?
2
   ο.
           I believe he was, on October
3
   or May 12th, I believe he was at a
4
   funeral.
5
          Okay. And where was he in
6
   terms of his assignment?
7
           The Director of the Bureau of
8
   Professional Responsibility.
9
           Now, is it fair to say that
10
    ο.
    you feel that Captain Ober should not
11
    have gone to Colonel Hikus, Captain
12
    Ober should have gone to Colonel
13
    Conley?
14
           Yes, that's what the field
15
    regulation states.
16
           Yes. I'm sorry. What field
    Q.
17
    regulation?
18
           FR 1-1.17.
19
    Α.
            Okay. Now, we're going to
20
    talk a little while later about
21
    AR 1-102(c). But let me ask you. At
22
    this point, you're telling us then
23
    that, assuming Captain Ober had done
24
    what you feel was the right thing,
25
```

```
81
     and had gone to then Major Conley,
  1
     what should Major Conley have done?
  2
  3
            Major Conley should have
     informed the Deputy Commissioner of
  4
 5
     Administration.
 6
     Q.
           Who was?
     A. Lieutenant Colonel Foy
 7
     (phonetic).
 8
          Well, you told us that you
 9
    trust the FBI; right?
10
11
    Α.
           Yes.
        Do you have concerns that they
12
13
    trust you?
14
    Α.
           No, I do not.
15
    ο.
            Is it fair to say that you
    think Mr. Cush messed up, made an
16
17
    error?
       In what regard do you mean
18
19
    made an error?
        What should he have done?
20
    Q.
    Should he have told Rick?
21
                                Now,
    you're telling me he didn't tell Rick
22
    because you talked to Rick on May
23
    20th and Rick didn't know anything
24
   about it. And you and I both know
25
```

```
82
   doggone well that if some FBI agent
1
   tells Rick that higher-ups at any
2
   point, founded or not, that higher-
3
   ups in the state police and the
   Governor's Office are involved,
5
   Rick's going to remember that,
6
   Colonel. You and I both know that.
7
   But he didn't know anything on May
   20? Rick didn't know anything?
9
           That's correct.
10
           All right, sir. Now, does
11
   0.
   that mean that Mr. Cush screwed up?
12
           No, because Mr. Cush, as I
13
    remember his statement, said that he
14
    did not mention high-ranking
15
    individuals in either the state
16
    police or the Governor's Office to
17
    Captain Ober.
18
    Q. He didn't mention them or he
19
    didn't recollect it after Mr.
20
    Williams went and talked to him?
21
           I believe the words that he
22
    used is I don't remember ever
23
    mentioning higher-ups in the state
24
    police or the Governor's Office.
25
```

```
83
           Which brings us back to
1
    Captain Ober, if he was correct,
2
    being either very lucky or just like
3
    an incredible, you know, incredible
4
    example of the law of probability I
5
    guess if the CI was going to mention
б
    this later on. But the point is that
7
    if it involved Mr. Stanton; right?
8
           Yes.
9
    Α.
           If the investigation involved
10
    ο.
    Mr. Stanton, and it was a public
11
    corruption investigation, and it
12
    involved appointments to the academy,
13
    you don't think Mr. Cush messed up
14
    because there was no reason to
15
    believe that higher-ups were
16
    involved, at least in October; right?
17
    The beginning of October?
18
           First I think it is the
19
    Α.
    applicant to the state police that
20
    mentions that someone would have to
21
    go to a lieutenant colonel.
22
        Right.
23
           Or someone affiliated with the
24
    state police.
25
```

84 Yes. Ο. 1 And I think if Cush had information that quote, higher-ups, 3 or higher-up individuals or officials 4 from the state police or the 5 Governor's Office, he should have 6 reported that to his SAC. 7 So I ask you, my question was, 8 did Cush mess up? And you said he 9 didn't mess up. Now, are you telling 10 me he messed up? 11 Not with the information that Α. 12 he is saying he did not supply to 13 Captain Ober. 14 But sir, they sat down and 15 listened to the tape together. 16 On October 21st. 17 Yes, sir. Now, at that point 18 did Cush screw up? Did he mess up at 19 that point by not going to Rick? 20 I'm not sure. 21 Α. You're not sure? 22 ο. Yes. 23 Α. Now, sir, we know that at 24 least by October 21, 1998 that Mr. 25

85

Cush is sitting right there, of 1 course he's telling Mr. Williams that 2 Mr. Ober's ears perked up. Mr. Cush 3 is sitting in a room listening to a tape. Lieutenant colonels in the 5 state police are mentioned on that tape. You call Rick May 20th of the 7 following year and he doesn't know 8 anything about this. But you don't have --- you reached no conclusion 10 whether or not Mr. Cush screwed up by 11 not telling Rick? 12 I don't know what significance 13 Mr. Cush gave to the applicant saying 14 that someone would have to go to a 15 lieutenant colonel or someone 16 affiliated with the state police. 17 Well, now, we have established 18 Q. that one of your reasons for being 19 upset had to do with Hikus ostensibly 20 violating these instructions of yours 21 about stepping outside his area of 22 responsibility; correct? 23 That was one of the concerns I Α. 24 had. 25

```
86
          Sure. Now, you've also agreed
1
   with me that sometime on or about
2
   October of 1998 this FBI CI mentions
3
   lieutenant colonels; correct?
           No.
   Α.
5
           They didn't? The tape didn't
   Q.
6
   say that?
7
           No.
8
    Α.
           Your transcript of October
9
    doesn't say that, October 13th, '98
10
    doesn't say, doesn't use the word
11
    lieutenant colonel?
12
           Not by the CI, no.
    Α.
13
           Who by?
    Q.
14
           The applicant, Bridges.
15
           Okay. But they're mentioned;
16
    right?
17
            The applicant states again
    Α.
18
    somebody would have to go to a
19
    lieutenant colonel or someone
20
    affiliated with the state police.
21
         Did Bridges know anybody
22
     involved in politics?
 23
            I don't know.
     Α.
 24
            Do you know who Leonard Bodack
 25
     Q.
```

87 is? 1 He is a State or was a State 2 Senator. 3 How about Joe Preston? He is or was a State 5 Α. Representative. 6 Were they mentioned in any of 7 Q. this FBI investigation? 8 Someone mentioned Bodack in 9 the taped interview or the consensual 10 interview interception. 11 Now ---. 12 In fact, I think it was the CI 13 Α. that mentioned Bodack. 14 Okay. Well, do State Senators 15 and State Representatives vote on 16 matters of importance to the Governor 17 of the State of Pennsylvania from 18 time to time? Little things like 19 appropriations and stuff like that? 2.0 There is an appropriations 21 committee that votes on supporting 22 appropriations, yes. 23 For the Pennsylvania State 24 Police? 25

```
88
          Yes.
   Α.
1
          Now, Rick says to you when you
   talked to him on May 20th, I think
3
   your quote was that he pleads
   ignorance; right?
5
           I think that's the quote, got
6
   to plead ignorance.
7
           Not to plead ignorance. Out
8
   of where? Which office?
9
          (Inaudible response).
10
          Did you ask Rick to go back
    Q.
11
    and check on these matters?
12
           I think he told me and I'm
13
    going to have to look at my notes. I
14
    don't know whether I asked him or
15
    whether he said I'd have to get back
16
    to you.
17
       Well, did he get back to you?
    Q.
18
           Yes, he did.
    Α.
19
           What did he say when he got
20
    Q.
    back to you?
21
           I would have to look at the
22
    notes.
23
           Well, tell us as you recollect
    Q.
24
     today. This is a very important
 25
```

```
89
    matter. Tell us if you know.
 1
 2
        He does not cite any high-
    ranking officials in the state police
 3
    or the Governor's Office.
 4
 5
           He doesn't cite any?
           I'd have to look at my notes
 6
    Α.
 7
    to see what ---.
           I'm talking about your
 8
    recollection now.
 9
10
           Okay.
    Α.
11
    Q. I don't want you to look at
12
    your notes. I want to ask you your
13
    recollection as you sit here today.
    If you don't remember and you don't
14
15
    know, that's fine. We'll go to the
16
    notes at some point if you want to
17
    later. Right now the answer is no.
18
    I'd like to just check your
    recollection.
19
20
           Then I'm saying I do not
21
    remember ---
22
    Q.
           Okay.
23
           --- our conversation when he
24
    called back later that day.
25
    Q. So you don't remember what
```

```
90
    Rick said when he called back later
1
    the 12th as you sit here today?
2
           If I were to refresh my memory
3
    with my notes I'm sure I would
4
5
    remember.
           Well, did you make notes when
6
    Mr. Hikus and Mr. Ober came in the
7
    room and sat down with you?
8
          No, I did not.
9
    Α.
           As a matter of fact, after
10
    Q.
    that meeting you at one point asked
11
    Colonel Coury to come back to you and
12
    to make notes about what happened in
13
    that meeting; right?
14
           I paged Colonel Coury and
15
    asked him to come back to the
16
17
    academy.
           Yes.
18
    Ο.
    A. I asked him to come in because
19
    this involved him and we asked
20
21
    Colonel Coury to listen to what
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus had just
22
23
    told me.
    Q. Captain Ober wasn't there; was
24
25
    he?
```

```
91
           No, he was not.
   Α.
1
           And that was on the 12th; was
   Q.
2
   it?
3
           Yes, it was.
   Α.
          Do you remember whether Rick
   Q.
   got back to you the 12th or the 13th?
   I'm sorry. The 20th or after the
7
   20th of May? Did he get back to you?
   You initially spoke to Rick on the
9
    20th of May; correct?
10
          Yes, sir.
11
    Α.
           Okay. Did he get back to you
12
    Q.
    after that, after the 20th or was it
13
    that day?
14
           It was on the 20th.
15
    Α.
           Now, who was in the room with
    Q.
16
         sir?
    him,
17
         I do not know.
18
    Α.
           Were you on a speakerphone?
19
    Q.
           Not that I know of.
    Α.
20
           Did he ever indicate whether
21
    Q.
    he had met with Mr. Cush and Mr.
22
    Suhy?
23
           I drew the conclusion that
24
    had talked to Cush, but I don't know
25
```

```
92
      that is an accurate conclusion.
1
           Well, did he tell you anything
   about the history of this
3
   investigation?
           I think that he talked about
5
   the case involving a municipality
6
   testing. Again, I'd have to look at
7
    my notes.
8
           Well, do you have a
9
    recollection of whether he indicated
10
    that he had recorded any parts of
11
    this --- and strike that.
1.2
           Do you have a recollection of
13
    whether the FBI agents had reported
1.4
    anything about this investigation to
15
    the Pennsylvania State Police before
16
    going to Captain Ober?
17
           Yes, without question he did
18
    say that this was an older
1.9
    investigation.
20
           An older investigation?
21
    Q.
           Yes.
    Α.
22
            Why didn't you know about it,
23
    Colonel?
24
            Because apparently it did not
25
```

```
93
   involve higher-ranking officials in
1
   the state police.
2
           Oh, I see. I'm sorry. Now,
3
   O .
    I'm beginning to understand.
4
    involved Pennsylvania State
5
   Policemen, but it didn't involve
6
   higher-ranking Pennsylvania State
7
   Policemen. So the FBI wouldn't tell
8
   you about those; right?
           No. They don't have to tell
10
    me about a regular investigation on a
11
    trooper, no. But I would expect them
12
    to do so if it's into the higher
13
    ranks.
14
           Well, they don't have to tell
15
    Ο.
    you about anything; do they?
16
           They don't have responsibility
17
    to do anything beyond the statutes.
18
           Sure. And if you found an FBI
19
    ο.
          doing something wrong and
20
    violating a state law and your people
21
    were out there investigating them,
22
    you wouldn't have any duty to tell
23
    them; would you?
24
           I think the troop commander or
25
    Α.
```

```
94
    the bureau director would tell the
1
    SAC that.
2
           So your people could go tell
3
    the FBI about an investigation into
4
    them without checking with you first?
5
           Say it again.
6
    Α.
           Sure. The Pennsylvania State
7
    Troopers are out there, they're doing
8
    an investigation, they find somebody,
9
    some FBI personnel is involved, they
10
    don't have to come to you, they can
11
    go right to the FBI?
12
           That is correct.
13
    Α.
           Oh, okay. Well, would you
14
    expect them to exercise some
15
    restraint about notifying targets or
16
17
    potential targets of an
    investigation?
18
           Would I expect an investigator
19
    not to talk to an identified target?
20
           Sure.
21
    Q.
22
           Yes, that would be my
23
    expectation.
           Not to tip them off?
24
    Q.
           Not to talk to an identified
25
    Α.
```

95 target? 1 Right. 2 Yes. 3 Α. Unless there was some reason 4 or some advantage to be gained from 5 it of course. But normally the 6 procedure would be not to tip 7 somebody off if they're being 8 investigated; right? 9 If you have an identified 10 target I would not expect that you 11 would tell that target. 12 Okay. How about an 13 Q. unidentified target like a member of 14 15 a group? Give me an example. 16 Α. Sure. Lieutenant colonels in 17 the Pennsylvania State Police. 18 Then I would expect the 19 special agent in charge to come 20 directly to me or call me directly. 21 But the special agent in 22 0. charge told you he didn't know, sir. 23 You just told us that. You talked to 24 him on May 20th and, Colonel, he told 25

96 you I have to plead ignorance, I 1 don't know about this. 2 It's also my understanding 3 that Cush is saying that he never remembers mentioning or didn't 5 mention any high-ranking officials 6 within the state police and/or the 7 Governor's Office. Yes, Agent Cush. You were 9 here during Colonel Coury's 10 deposition; right? 11 I did sit in on Colonel 12 Coury's, yes. 13 Did he express some kind of 14 concern about FBI, not that they 15 would ever do anything intentionally, 16 unpolitical as they are, he never ---17 he said he had a concern, I thought. 18 I thought he testified that sometimes 19 he had some concern with leaking 20 information from the FBI; do you 21 recollect? 22 I believe he said ---. 23 Do you have that feeling or 24 concern? 25

```
97
   BRIEF INTERRUPTION
1
   BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
2
   Q. Do you have a concern about
3
   that?
           I don't have a concern that
5
   the FBI would intentionally leak
6
   information, no.
7
           Okay. Now, did Mr. Cush ever
8
    indicate that even though he has no
    recollection of discussing
10
    confidentiality with Captain Ober,
11
    that he would expect him to keep the
12
    information confidential?
13
           I think the words were
14
    discretion.
15
          Okay. All right. And did he
16
    indicate that he would expect, he
17
    would just normally trust the captain
18
    in the Pennsylvania State Police to
19
    exercise, let's say, use the word
2.0
    discretion as you used, discretion in
21
    how you share information; right?
22
           I think that's generally
23
    accurate.
24
    Q. Okay. Well, does that go for
25
```

```
98
   an agent in the FBI?
1
           What do you mean?
           Is that something that you
3
    Ο.
   would expect of qualified law
   enforcement personnel of any rank?
5
           To exercise discretion on an
6
    investigation?
7
           On notifying people of where
8
    Q.
    an investigation goes?
9
           You lost me.
10
    Α.
           Well, Colonel Hikus had
11
    Q.
    indicated and to me it seems rather
12
    basic, of course, but I apologize for
13
    my question not being clear. That,
14
    you know, you're doing an
15
    investigation, you try to keep the
16
    information as limited to as few
17
    people as possible, because people
18
    talk; correct?
19
           That's basically correct.
20
    Α.
           Did you ever find out why Cush
21
    didn't tell Rick?
22
           Because there was no
23
    Α.
    investigation into higher-ranking
24
    officials in the state police.
25
```

99 But there was information to 1 indicate that there might be 2 problems; correct? 3 I don't know what interpretation he made of the 5 statement from Bridges that someone would have to go to a lieutenant 7 colonel or someone affiliated with the state police. 9 Well, you now know about 10 Bridges. What did, again, what did 11 Ober know about Bridges then? What 12 did Cush know about Bridges then? 13 What Lieutenant Colonel Hikus 14 and Captain Ober told me as it 15 related to Bridges or Stanton was 16 that the FBI agent on this phone call 17 in May or early September or early 18 October, told them that they had a 19 confidential informant who knew a 20 trooper by the name of Stanton. The 21 informant told the agent that Stanton 22 allegedly told him that he could get 23 people into the state police academy. 24 He told the informant that he could 25

100 get them reclassified from an 1 ineligible status, that an eligible 2 status had been made, he could get 3 them through the polygraph 4 examination, that he could get them 5 through the background examination, the physical fitness testing, and I 7 think the psychological examination 8 and that finally he could get them 9 appointed to the academy. 10 You've done a great job of 11 reviewing all of this, but you don't 12 remember your discussions with Rick. 13 You have to --- you need your notes 14 to do that? 15 Yes, I would. 16 I applaud in the information 17 you have about the rest of this, but, 1.8 you know, as far as that tape is 19 concerned, do you have any 20 information known to you to indicate 21 that Mr. Ober would know Bridges or 22 the extent of Bridges' knowledge in 2.3 October of '98? 24 After October 21st he would 25

```
101
   have.
1
          Well, what would he have known
   0.
2
   about Bridges after October 21st
3
   other than what was in this tape?
          Nothing.
   Α.
5
           Well, what about before that?
   Q.
   Any information that you have that
7
   would indicate that Mr. Ober had
    information about Bridges before
9
    that?
10
           I don't think Bridges' name
    Α.
11
    was mentioned to me at all.
12
           Oh, okay. Well, what about
13
           What have you learned about
    Cush?
14
    Cush's knowledge of Bridges and
15
    Bridges' role?
16
           I don't remember.
17
           And didn't --- Mr. Cush also
18
    said he had some difficulty hearing
19
    that word lieutenant colonel; didn't
20
21
    he?
            I don't recall that, no.
22
            And that, in fact, do you know
 23
     whether he testified that Mr. Ober
 24
     pointed out the word lieutenant
 25
```

102 colonel. Here is this FBI agent 1 sitting here talking about this 2 source. In fact, he remembered talking to Mr. Williams, the word 4 eyeball, eyeballing somebody, but he 5 didn't remember when he went up in 6 October to talk to Captain Ober what 7 this --- he couldn't hear this term 8 lieutenant colonel, and Captain Ober 9 was the one who picked it out. Did 10 you ever find anything out about 11 that? 12 No, I didn't. 13 Do you remember whether Rick 14 had ever indicated that Ober had 15 expressed concern about where to take 16 the information? 17 I know that he expressed that Α. 18 concern to Lieutenant Colonel Hikus. 19 Do you know whether he ever 20 expressed that concern to Mr. Cush? 21 I'm not sure. 22 But isn't that a very key 23 issue here? If we're looking at the 24 credibility, sir, of Mr. Cush and Mr. 25

103 Ober and you're checking the facts 1 about what happened in October of 2 1998, wouldn't reflections of Mr. 3 Ober or comments by Mr. Ober having 4 to do with a concern about where he 5 takes information, wouldn't that be 6 of importance? 7 It was of importance to me as 8 Α. to whether or not the FBI had 9 directed confidentiality in this 10 case. I would want to know that and 11 take that into consideration. 12 Well, would you think the FBI 13 would want to know that and take that 14 into consideration? Hold on a 15 second. Colonel, let me go over this 16 again and clarify it, okay? Do you 17 know whether Captain Ober expressed a 18 concern to the FBI about where he 19 should take this information? 20 I don't know if he expressed 21 concern to the FBI. I know he 2.2 expressed concern to Lieutenant 23 Colonel Hikus. 2.4 Q. Yes, and Colonel Hikus told 25

```
104
   him to be quiet and just report to me
1
   and cooperate with them and just
2
   report to me; right?
3
           That is correct.
4
           So your primary
   ο.
5
   dissatisfaction, if we can term it
6
    that, with Captain Ober was the fact
7
    that he didn't go to Conley, that
8
    instead he went to Hikus; right?
9
          Yes, I was concerned about
10
    that.
11
           Okay. You know, you're not
12
    ο.
    --- you don't have any concern with
13
    Captain Ober following the orders of
14
    Colonel Hikus? I mean, Colonel Hikus
15
    gave him a lawful order, you do X and
16
    Y and Captain Ober followed those
17
    orders; right?
18
           I --- he did follow those
19
    Α.
    orders, and I have no problem with
20
    him following those. In fact, that
21
    would be my expectation, and I think
22
    that was a mitigating factor as it
23
    related to Captain Ober's following
24
    those.
25
```

```
105
           A mitigating factor is that
 1
    Q.
    violating his chain of command. Are
 2
    you saying he violated his chain of
 3
    command? Is that what you're saying?
 4
           He violated rule 1.17. My
 5
    primary concern was Lieutenant
 6
    Colonel Hikus.
 7
            I must say, sir, I reached
 8
    Q.
    that conclusion a long time ago in
 9
    this matter. And we'll come to that.
10
    But I did review your notes and I
11
    thought something was mentioned
12
    there, over-concerned about going
13
    anywhere with info. And I thought
14
15
    that was, those were notes that you
    made in your conversation with Rick.
16
17
    Α.
           That's correct.
           Well, what do you mean?
18
    What'd you write down there? What's
19
20
    that about?
21
                   ATTORNEY CHRISTIE:
22
                   Counsel --- sorry.
23
                   ATTORNEY GUIDO:
24
                   It's improper to
25
           question him without showing
```

106 him the document and letting 1 him put that sentence in the 2 context of his own notes. 3 It's improper to do that and I 4 object. 5 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 6 What do you remember Rick 7 saying about Ober's comments 8 regarding information and what to do 9 with it? 10 The statement that you just 11 read to me from my note, I think what 12 he meant was that he expressed some 13 concern to the agent about who he 14 should go to with this. 15 Well, did that beg a question 16 to you about whether or not there had 17 been a discussion of confidentiality 18 between Cush and Ober? 19 I think there was an 20 acknowledgement that there was a 21 discussion with the use of 22 discretion. 23 And who acknowledged that, 24 sir? Rick; didn't he? 25

107 Captain Ober and Lieutenant Α. 1 Colonel Hikus. 2 There wasn't an 3 Ο. acknowledgement by the FBI of that? 4 According to my notes that 5 must have been something I discussed 6 with the SAC as well. 7 And the SAC, Mr. Mascara, 8 ο. Rick, your friend, told you that Ober 9 had expressed concern about what tο 10 do with the information. 11 That would be correct. 12 Colonel Evanko, thank you. 13 Q. Now, did Mr. --- I'm sorry, sir. 14 I would put that into context 15 as to what he got from whoever he 16 talked to. 17 Okay. Sure. I mean, that 18 would naturally or those comments or 19 the FBI awareness of Mr. Ober's 20 thoughts would have to exist in a 21 context of whatever passed between 22 Mr. Ober and the FBI agent; right? 23 Yes. 24 Α. That's what you're saying; 25 Q.

```
108
   right?
1
           Yes.
2
   Α.
           Okay. And I'm not --- I'll
3
   Q.
   be, you know, very foolish to
    disagree with you on that. I mean,
5
    that's only common sense. But you in
6
    trying to learn, I mean, your
7
    justification, and we're going to
8
    talk about your investigation in just
9
    a moment, but your justification for
10
    the investigation has always been
1.1
    termed by the Defendants and by
12
    yourself today as into the facts and
1.3
    circumstances surrounding this FBI
14
    inquiry; right, sir?
15
           Surrounding the whole event.
16
           Surrounding the whole event;
17
    Q.
    right?
18
           Yes.
19
    Α.
           And I asked you questions
20
    about who you believed or what you
21
    felt that Captain Ober had done wrong
22
    and I think you were, you say you
23
    just never reached a conclusion about
24
    that. In fairness to you I think
25
```

```
109
   that's what you testified to; right?
1
            think I testified that
           I
2
   was a --- if I were to look for a
3
   violation or identify a violation it
4
   would have been a violation of
5
   practice of the department,
                                 as well
6
   as a violation of reporting to your
7
    supervisor an individual who has
8
    violated rules and regulations.
9
           Well, you said that Ober
10
    violated rule 1.17. Now, what's that
11
    rule?
12
           Reporting information to your
13
    supervisor.
14
           There's an affirmative duty on
15
    the part of an investigator to report
16
    to a supervisor an FBI inquiry?
17
            If it involves an allegation
18
    of a violation of the rules and
19
    regulations or law.
20
            Yes. Okay. Fair enough.
21
    Now, you told me that when you talked
22
    to Rick, Rick talked about how old
23
    this investigation was; right?
24
           Yes.
25
    Α.
```

```
110
           Did Rick ever use the year or
1
   mention the year 1997?
2
           I believe that he did.
3
           And you heard Colonel Coury's
   ο.
4
   deposition; right?
5
           Yes, I did.
6
   Α.
           Did you hear Colonel Coury
7
    ο.
    testify that he got a call from
8
    Captain Monico (phonetic) about
9
    Stanton's activities?
10
           I don't recall hearing that,
11
    Α.
12
    no.
           You don't recall hearing that?
13
    Q.
            No, sir.
    Α.
14
            Well, do you know whether Mr.
15
    Q.
    Coury did get any information from,
16
    is it Berrings (phonetic)?
17
            Not that I know of.
18
    Α.
            And Monico, you don't know
19
    ο.
    whether they ever told Mr. Coury
20
    anything about the previous
21
    investigation?
22
            I don't recall Colonel Coury
23
    testifying to that nor do I know
24
    about it.
25
```

```
111
           Well, didn't Rick tell you
   Q.
1
        they had told IAD --- I'm sorry,
2
   sir.
3
           Go ahead.
   Α.
4
           No, no, go ahead.
5
   Q.
           I lost it, go ahead.
   Α.
           When you talked to Rick didn't
7
    Q.
   he tell you that this investigation
8
    had been around and they went back
9
    into it for some reason?
1.0
           I think he did tell me that.
11
    Α.
           Yes. And did he ever indicate
12
    that they had told the state police
13
    and nothing was done?
14
            Not that I recall, no.
15
    Α.
            Well, do you know whether Mr.
16
    Cush had indicated that he, in fact,
17
    went to the western division IAD and
18
    told him about Stanton before?
19
            I think Agent Cush had gone to
20
    Sergeant Berrings and told him or he
21
    asked him to check to see if Trooper
22
    Stanton was doing any undercover work
23
    into a job selling cadets for
24
    appointment to the Academy.
25
```

112 Now, did you know whether the organized crime division of western 1 2 Pennsylvania was ever notified? 3 I don't know. Well, Rick had indicated to 4 did he not, that there had been 5 ο. 6 at least some inquiry to the Pennsylvania State Police prior to 7 ever going to Captain Ober in October 8 '98; didn't he? He told you that. 9 10 He may have, but I know that 11 Cush in his statement said that. 12 Yes. Well, why didn't you ο. 1.3 have that investigated, sir? 1.4 By the time that I read this 15 entire report it was being 16 investigated administratively and 17 criminally. 18 Did you assign a couple of 19 majors to it to check out whether 20 Monico, Frank, what he was doing? 21 I'm not sure that I knew Α. 22 anything about Monico, but it was 23 regular criminal investigation and 24 administrative investigation. 25

113 Well, why wouldn't that 0. 1 scenario deserve as much investigation as the facts and 2 circumstances surrounding the 1998, 3 4 the October 1998, incident? 5 I would think that the 6 investigation into the criminal conduct of Stanton was substantially 7 more than the administrative inquiry 8 into the facts and circumstances of 9 10 this incident. Sir, aren't they two different 11 ο. 12 things? 13 Yes, they are. Α. 14 Sure, and the issue that you 0. 15 have told us and your Codefendants 16 have told us time and time again was 17 that you were looking at the facts and circumstances about what occurred 18 19 in October of '98, not because Ober 20 or Hikus are criminals or anything 21 like that, you wanted to find out 22 what happened in these circumstances 23 as the Commissioner of the 24 Pennsylvania State Police; right? 25

114 That was right. 1 Α. Well, why didn't you do the 2 Q. same thing in regards to what the 3 breakdown was in '97? Because by the time I found 5 that out by reading the entire 6 administrative inquiry there was 7 already an ongoing criminal and 8 administrative investigation into 9 Stanton. 10 Okay. Well, the criminal 11 investigation into Stanton, those 12 standards in a criminal investigation 13 are separate from the kind of 14 interdepartmentally or disciplinary 15 investigations or administrative 16 investigations that you claim were 17 the basis for checking into the 18 events of October '98; right? 19 mean, they're two different things? 20 A criminal investigation is 21 different from an internal affairs 22 investigation, yes. 23 Sure. And the administrative 24 Q. investigation that you're referring 25

115 into Mr. Stanton is like a BPR 1 that you're going to do into the 2 conduct or allegations of misconduct 3 a trooper or an officer in a 4 normal circumstance; right? 5 That is correct. Α. 6 Sure it is. But you have 7 never initiated an investigation into 8 the facts and circumstances 9 surrounding FBI reports as early as 10 '96 or '97 to your personnel 11 western Pennsylvania and how that was 1.2 handled in communicating information 13 of great importance to the 14 Pennsylvania State Police. You never 15 really have done the same type of 16 investigation there that you have 17 done in the case involving October of 18 '98 and the incidents relating to Mr. 19 Hikus and Mr. Ober have you? 20 There was an ongoing --- by 21 Α. the time I read the reports, there 22 was an ongoing criminal investigation 23 into the Stanton matter as well as an 24 administrative investigation. 25

116 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 1 Object to the question 2 as non-responsive to the 3 respect --- object to the 4 response as non-responsive. 5 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 6 Colonel, will you take a look Q· • 7 at these, please. These are your 8 notes. 9 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 10 Let the record show 11 it's two pages. We're going 12 to have them marked as Number 1.3 Two. 14 (Deposition Exhibit Two 15 marked for 16 identification.) 17 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 1.8 Do you have it in front of 19 you, sir? 20 Yes, I do. 21 Α. The top of the page, does that Q. 22 say Rick Mascara and then a phone 23 number, Pittsburgh SAC, May 20, 199 24 and then your pager number? 25

117 Yes, sir. Α. 1 Now, sir, I confess that I've 2 Q. tried my best to decipher your notes and I've been able to read a good bit of it, but I need a little bit of 5 help; okay? So I need you to help me 6 with a few things; all right? 7 first sentence, if I understand it, 8 says I was, can you read that 9 sentence for me? I was advised by, 10 I'm going to try to read it and you 11 correct me if I get it wrong, it will 12 go a little faster. I was advised by 13 Lieutenant Colonel Hikus recently 14 that we (PSP) were the subject of an 15 FBI investigation into selling 16 trooper positions. Is that what it 17 says? 18 A. Yes, it does. That's partially 19 what it says. 20 All right. Now, I have a ---21 there's a parentheses here and 22 cannot read, all I can read is the 23 word corruption. Can you read the 24 rest of that? 25

```
118
           Parentheses, for any other
   Α.
1
   corruption allegation, end
2
   parentheses, since October '98, end
3
   parentheses.
4
           All right. Sir, here's my
5
   question on that particular. These
6
   are some paraphrases of notes of the
7
   things that you said to Rick; right?
8
           Yes, sir.
9
   Α.
           What did you mean by any other
10
    corruption allegation since October
11
    198?
12
            Into the higher ranks of the
    Α.
13
    state police.
14
            You're asking the FBI agent to
15
    tell you whether there are any
16
    pending investigations into higher-
17
    ups in the Pennsylvania State Police;
18
    aren't you? Isn't that what you're
19
    doing there?
20
            I think I was doing that
21
    Α.
    the context of since October '98
22
    with the ---
23
            Yes.
24
     Q.
             --- with the preface when I
 25
     Α.
```

```
119
    talked to the SAC, I think that I
1
    asked him or made a statement, if
2
    this is going to interfere with an
3
    FBI investigation, just don't talk to
4
    me or something like that.
5
           Well, what are you asking him
6
    for? I mean, what --- why are you
7
    asking him? Why are you asking the
8
    Federal Bureau of Investigation if
    there are --- do I have anything to
10
    fear? Is that what you're asking
11
    him, sir?
12
13
           No.
    Α.
           Then why are you doing this?
14
    Q.
    Is that proper to do this?
15
           Why am I asking if there is
    Α.
16
17
    any other corruption investigation?
        Yes, sure. Yes, sir. Would
18
    Ο.
    you expect him to tell you?
19
20
           Yes, I would unless they had
    strong probable cause to think that
21
    was involved in it.
22
23
    Ο.
           All right.
24
           Yes, I would expect them to
25
    tell me.
```

```
120
           Okay, sir. Colonel Evanko,
1
    Q.
    how long have you been a law
2
    enforcement officer?
3
           As of today?
4
    Α.
           Yes.
    Ο.
5
           Just about 32 years.
6
    Α.
           All right. Sir, you've used
7
    the term a couple of times unless
8
    there's good probable cause.
9
    Remember?
10
           Yes.
11
    Α.
           Now, I know legally what the
12
    Q.
    definition of probable cause is, at
13
    least I try. I know it's a judgment
14
    call thing, you know, it's sort of
15
    like pass defense in football
16
    sometimes, you weigh things and
17
    whatnot. Well, what do you mean when
18
    you say to me that your expectation
1.9
    is unless there is good probable
20
    cause you should be told? Okay.
21
    Unless there's good probable cause.
22
23
    What does that mean?
            I'm not sure I can explain it
24
    any better other than the --- I would
25
```

121 expect that the SAC, if they had, for 1 example, a wire intercept of me 2 talking to somebody in an illegal 3 fashion, that that would be strong 4 probable cause and obviously I'm 5 involved in something. 6 Okay. So that would be the 7 kind of probable cause that would 8 implicate you personally? 9 Yes, sir. 10 Α. Okay. And you believe that if 11 Q. an FBI agent is sitting there with 12 some reason to believe that maybe a 13 group of people at the top, somebody 14 at the top, okay, is maybe doing 15 something wrong, that's not good 16 17 probable cause, so they should tell 18 you; right? Is that what you're telling me? 19 20 It's my understanding that the 21 FBI agent is saying that high-ranking 22 individuals in the state police were 23 never mentioned. Well, that's like Monday 24 Q. 25 morning quarterbacking though; isn't

```
122
    it?
1
           I think it's the facts.
2
           Known after the investigation
3
    is completed; right?
4
           We established that or it was
5
    established in the record after the
6
    investigation was completed.
7
           Well, further on your notes
8
    you've already told us that there was
9
    this concern that Ober had about who
10
    he should share this information
11
    with; right?
12
           Point that out to me.
13
           Second page, sir, second page.
14
    No, no, second page, sir, you have it
1.5
    in your right hand. Yes, sir.
                                      Right
16
    hand, okay. I want to go through the
17
                                The first
    lines with you now; okay?
18
    line has the number 1237. Do you see
19
    that?
20
           Yes, sir.
21
    Α.
           That's one. Count with me.
22
    One, two, three, four, five, and we
23
    have a blank line and then we have
24
    CI. Do you see that?
25
```

123 Yes, sir. 1 Α. That's six and then we have a Q. blank line and that's seven. Then we have eight and nine and it says, all I can read is nothing happened, dash. 5 Now, do you want to read your 6 handwriting for me, because maybe I 7 misread it? 8 Ober concerned about going anywhere w/information. 10 That's what you wanted Okay. 11 Q. me to show to you. I showed it to 12 you. All right, sir. Now, let's go 13 back to page one; okay? All right. 14 Now, if you get into an investigation 15 and start an investigation, and the 16 investigation indicates that there's 17 a possibility, not good probable 18 cause, and I think you and I can 19 understand the basic definition of 20 what good probable cause means. Good 21 probable cause means it would be 22 good basis to bring a charge; right? 23 Or to conduct an 24 investigation. 25

124 Okay. Fair enough. Or to ο. 1 conduct an investigation. I would 2 think the threshold to conduct an 3 investigation, particularly in public 4 corruption cases, was pretty low, 5 particularly when it comes to 6 democrats with the FBI. But that 7 aside, let's say we're talking about 8 a very, very, very low level. 9 would that level be to conduct an 10 investigation? 11 Why would the FBI conduct an Α. 12 investigation you mean? 13 sure. Q. 14 To get information that they 15 need to see whether or not there's 16 wrongdoing. 17 I agree with you, sir. Right 18 now, if we go back in our minds' eye 19 prior to October 1998, we know that 20 Len Bodack, a State Senator, had been 21 mentioned. You agree that Bodack was 22 mentioned in the earlier 23 investigation phase prior to '97? 24 No, the first that I know of 25

```
125
   Bodack's name being mentioned by the
1
   FBI's --- by the FBI's confidential
2
   informant, was the intercept
3
   disclosed to Captain Ober on October
4
   21st.
5
           Well, if there were evidence
6
    that would indicate that the FBI knew
7
    about Bodack and his name had been
8
    mentioned earlier than October of
9
    198, would it change any of your
10
    feelings about this?
11
           In regards to what?
12
           Whether or not the FBI would
13
    ο.
    have indicated to Mr. Ober a la Ober
14
    being concerned about where he goes
15
    with the information before October
16
    of 1998.
17
           You lost me. I don't know
18
    what you mean by the question.
19
           You're telling us that when
20
    you're looking at the --- in your
21
    review of the information, that Mr.
22
    Bodack wasn't mentioned before
23
    October of '98 or the FBI didn't know
24
    about him before October of '98;
25
```

126 right? 1 A. That's the only time that I'm 2 aware of. 3 Okay. I asked you if the FBI 4 did mention Mr. Bodack and was aware 5 of Mr. Bodack before October of '98 6 if that would change anything for 7 8 you?.. 9 And I don't know what you mean 10 by the question. Well, if the FBI had suspected 11 Ο. 12 Mr. Bodack were involved before 13 October of '98 would that have affected their probable cause to 14 15 investigate at all? 16 To investigate Bodack, I don't 17 care who they investigate. 18 Ο. Well, if Bodack had indicated 19 that he was getting things out of the 20 Governor's Office as Mr. Gigliatti 21 did, for example, do you think that 22 should have affected the FBI's 23 approach to this investigation? 24 In regards to who they told 25 or ---?

```
127
           Sure. Who Bodack was
   Q.
1
   contacting, who he may have been
2
   trading votes for influence with.
3
           I have no idea.
4
           Well, did Mr. Williams or Mr.
5
          (phonetic) check that out?
6
   you know if they did?
7
           Checked Bodack?
8
    Α.
           Yes, the pre-October '98
9
    Q.
    aspect of this thing.
10
           It's my understanding that
11
    when the FBI advised Captain Ober of
12
    this case that it was unfounded,
13
    there was nothing to it except,
14
    quote, a bad trooper. And that the
15
    case was over.
16
            The case was over when the FBI
17
    O .
    contacted Ober?
18
            Yes.
19
    Α.
            Okay.
20
    Q.
            And that's late September,
2.1
    early October of 1998 or --- no, it's
22
    two weeks prior to May 12th that
23
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus told me
24
    Captain Ober told him that the FBI
25
```

```
128
   had called and told him that the
1
   investigation was over or unfounded
2
   and that the most they could find was
3
   that we had a bad trooper or
4
   something like that.
5
          Okay. Let's clarify this in
6
    ο.
   fairness to you. You misspoke or
7
   maybe I misunderstood you. The FBI
8
   had not closed the case in October of
9
    '98. That actually occurred later.
10
    It was a live investigation until
11
    about two weeks before you were told
12
    in May; right?
13
          That's correct.
14
    Α.
           That's what you meant to say?
15
           That's what I said.
16
    Α.
           Yes. Okay. Well, I
17
    misunderstood you.
18
                       I said in the
           That's what
19
    second part of that.
20
           Yes. I think you cleared it
21
         You cleared it up. There was
22
    some confusion there. That's fine.
23
    It's okay. Now, let's go back to
24
    this document here. And go back to
25
```

```
129
   page one. These are your notes and
1
   it's Evanko Two. Now, it says, after
2
   that first little paragraph there, it
3
   says, ASAC. Is that what it says,
4
   ASAC agent? What does that ---?
5
           Assistant special agent in
6
   charge.
7
           And what's the name?
8
    Q.
           I can't read it.
9
    Α.
           One and a half years is all I
10
    ο.
    can make out. Is that --- do you
11
    agree that that's what it says?
12
           There is a word before that
1.3
    Α.
    and then after the one and a half
14
    years it appears to say Phoenix
15
    Division.
16
            What's that mean?
17
    ο.
            I don't know.
    Α.
18
            Well, is that something the
19
    FBI is saying to you or something
20
    you're saying to the FBI?
21
            I don't recall anything about
22
    that.
23
            It sounds pretty exotic to me,
24
    but who knows how important Stanton
25
```

```
130
         Phoenix Division. Now, then
1
   there's 1200. What's the
2
   significance of the number 1200?
3
   A. I think that was the time that
4
    I called.
5
          And then it says out of where,
    ο.
6
   which office. Who's saying that?
7
    That's Mascara asking you where this
8
    is coming from. He didn't know
9
   anything about it; right?
10
           When I posed the question to
11
    Α.
   him about an investigation into
12
   higher-ups of the state police, and
13
   he's saying, out of what office, out
14
    of where, which office?
15
           Do you remember what you said
16
    to him to spark that response, what
17
    you may have said, what words you
18
    used?
19
           I would have asked about any
20
    investigation, any corruption
21
    investigation, probably in the state
22
    police or into the higher ranks of
23
    the state police.
                       That's probably
24
    what that means.
25
```

```
131
           And then the next line is got
   ο.
1
   to plead ignorance?
           Yes.
   Α.
3
           In other words, he didn't know
4
   about what you're --- he didn't know
5
   what you were talking about?
6
           Yes.
7
    Α.
           Okay. The third line, I'm
    ο.
8
    sorry, I can't read that. I see the
9
    word seems and the word to.
10
           Sometime ago seems to
11
    remember.
12
           Okay. Then what?
13
    ο.
           And the next line is was a
14
    case against a specific trooper.
15
           Okay. So he seemed to
16
    Q.
    remember something about a case
17
    against a specific trooper.
18
           Yes, sir.
19
    Α.
            So again, if there were
20
    indications that Mr. Bodack, let's
21
    say, had been involved or had come
22
    up, prior to October '98, that would
23
    be news to you at this juncture here;
24
    right? And Rick didn't seem to
25
```

```
132
    indicate that during this May 20th
1
    conversation; is that correct?
2
           That I would not have had any
3
    knowledge about the name Bodack, I
4
    wouldn't have had that until after I
5
    reviewed the administrative inquiry
6
    and read that in the transcript of
7
    the recording that was made in
8
    October.
9
           Okay. Now, what's that next
10
    Q.
    line?
11
       I don't know what the first
12
    word is, but it appears to be after
13
    that w/David.
14
           Who's David?
15
    ο.
           I don't recall.
16
    Α.
           Young?
17
    Q.
           I don't know who it is.
18
    Α.
           They're your notes. You don't
19
    know who David is?
20
           No, I don't know what that
21
22
    means.
       You see there's a little
23
    O .
    squiggly line there?
24
25
       Yes, I do.
    Α.
```

```
133
           What's underneath that?
1
   Q.
           I probably started to write
2
   something and stopped and crossed it
3
    off.
4
           All right. Go down to
5
    paragraph two. There's a number two;
6
    right?
7
           Yes, sir.
8
           As I read that it says, was I,
9
    then there's --- is that the word a?
10
           Α.
    Α.
11
           Subject of an investigation or
12
    ο.
    any of lieutenant colonels. Do you
13
    remember that?
14
           Yes.
15
    Α.
           Do you see that?
                                     I
                                Now,
16
    Q.
    might be mistaken, but did Mr. Hikus
17
    tell you that there was a possibility
1.8
    of a lieutenant colonel or a colonel?
19
    Do you remember?
20
            Lieutenant Colonel Hikus on
21
    Α.
    May 3rd told me that the, quote,
22
    term, end quote, colonel was used.
23
            Where'd you get lieutenant
24
    colonel by the 20th? Who did you
25
```

```
134
    talk to and what did you learn by
 1
    then that caused you specifically to
 2
    ask Rick, L, right there, L. colonel
 3
    as opposed to colonel then. Where'd
    you get that?
 5
            I'm thinking from what
 6
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus and Captain
 7
    Ober told me that two high-ranking
 8
    officials, in high ranking there are
    two potentially lieutenant colonels.
10
           Because you're a colonel, it
11
    0.
    wouldn't include you; right?
12
           I didn't know that ---.
13
    Α.
           There's only one colonel.
14
    Q.
                                         I'm
15
    sorry. There's only one colonel.
16
           And your question is?
    Α.
17
           That colonel, it wouldn't have
    included you. You knew that you
18
19
    hadn't been involved in this thing;
20
    right?
21
    Α.
           I knew I wasn't involved, yes.
22
    Q.
           Okay.
23
                   ATTORNEY BAILEY:
24
                   Let me know a minute
25
           warning.
```

135 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 1 Okay. So sir, as you sit here 2 today, your best recollection is that 3 you think that came from Mr. Hikus or 4 conversations with Mr. Hikus? 5 The use of the term colonel? 6 Yes, I'm just looking at ---. 7 I know it came from Lieutenant 8 Α. Colonel Hikus on the 13th --- May 9 12th. 1.0 Okay. So on May 13th Colonel 11 Hikus had told you that it was the 12 term lieutenant colonel? 13 No ---. 14 Α. Go ahead. 15 Q. On May 12th when they briefed 16 me there was no discussion at all 17 about a rank. 1.8 Okay. 19 Q. It struck me as odd on May 20 13th that he would come to me and 21 the term, not the rank, but the term 22 colonel was used. 23 Okay. Now, he gave a 24 statement, Mr. Hikus did. 25

136 VIDEOGRAPHER: 1 Excuse me, Mr. Bailey, 2 may we suspend for a moment? 3 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 4 Yes. 5 VIDEOGRAPHER: 6 It's now 11:30, March 7 2002. We are going to 27th, 8 suspend and change tapes on 9 the deposition of Mr. Evanko. 10 SHORT BREAK TAKEN 1.1 MR. SOLOMON: 12 It's 11:36 a.m., tape 13 two, back on the record. 14 VIDEOGRAPHER: 15 The time is 11:38 a.m., 16 March 27th, 2002. We are 17 getting the second tape of 18 Evanko. 19 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 20 Mr. Evanko, did you hold up 21 the criminal investigation into Mr. 22 Stanton until you investigated the 23 facts and circumstances? I mean, 24 that's the way you term it and 25

```
137
   actually we look at it differently.
1
   There's an honest difference of
2
   opinion there. But the facts and
3
   circumstances of the October 5th, '98
4
   FBI inquiry. Do you understand that
5
   question?
6
           I believe I understand it.
                                         Ву
7
   the time I got the administrative
8
    inquiry, the investigation both
9
    criminally and administratively into
10
    Stanton was ongoing. But no, I did
11
    not order ---.
12
           But you say the --- you're
13
    ο.
    talking about the results of your
14
    investigation into Mr. Ober.
15
           The results of my
16
    administrative inquiry about the
17
    incident with Lieutenant Colonel
18
    Hikus and Captain Ober and the FBI.
19
            Okay. So your testimony is
20
    you didn't hold anything up and that
21
    the criminal investigation into Mr.
22
    Stanton and the administrative
23
    investigation into Mr. Stanton
24
    proceeded on a normal basis and a
25
```

```
138
   normal schedule? That's what you're
1
   telling us; right?
2
           Yes, sir.
   Α.
3
           At least as known to you?
   Q.
4
           Yes, sir.
   Α.
5
           Okay. Can we go back to
6
   Evanko Two? Now, I had been asking
7
   you about this term lieutenant
8
    colonel. Do you see that there?
9
           Yes, sir.
    Α.
10
           Now, in response to earlier
    Q .
11
    questions I had indicated to you or I
12
    had asked you whether you knew Mr.
1.3
    Bodack had been mentioned prior to
14
    that --- what that CI or Bridges, I
15
    think you had indicated that it was
16
    Bridges, had mentioned in October of
17
    '98; right? To the best of your
18
    knowledge the first time Bodack was
19
    mentioned was October of '98?
20
            To the best of my knowledge
21
    the confidential informant of the FBI
22
    mentioned the name Bodack in October
23
     of 198.
24
            Okay. If I represented to you
     Q.
25
```

1.39 that I think that Bodack was 1 mentioned as early as the beginning 2 of 1998 in FBI documents would that 3 surprise you? 4 I wouldn't know that. Α. 5 And you wouldn't have any way 0.. 6 of knowing it? 7 No, sir. Α. 8 Because you didn't ask the FBI 9 Q. the extent of the public corruption 10 they suspected, what connections they 11 suspected; right? 12 No, I just took what 13 Lieutenant Colonel Hikus and Captain 14 Ober told me that the FBI 15 investigation was closed, unfounded 16 and the most they could find was a 17 bad trooper. 18 Well, Colonel Coury told us 19 that the FBI indicated something to 20 him and he'd want to question them on 21 what's this about, you know, what 22 the details, and how do you think the 23 state police is involved and who do 24 you think is involved, et cetera. 25

140 Now, in fairness to Mr. Coury, that was what he thought his methodology 2 might be, I believe, when I asked him 3 that question. And in fairness to him it's the way he felt it should 5 have been approached by Captain Ober. 6 Now, with that characterization, 7 that's my recollection, do you remember when he testified to that 9 during his deposition? 10 No, I do not. 11 Okay. Well, then I'll just 12 let you go on that question, because 13 you don't remember that. Now, on 14 line two it says was I a subject of 1.5 an investigation or any of lieutenant 16 colonels. So what you're asking Mr. 17 Mascara is, you know, was I or any of 18 my lieutenant colonels the subject of 19 an investigation. 20 Yes. 21 Α. Okay. And he said no? 22 Q. Yes. 23 Α. Did you ask him if you should Q. 24 have been subject to an 25

```
141
   investigation?
1
           No, I did not.
2
           Did you ever ask him if the
3
   Q.
   FBI ever had any reasons to believe
4
   that you or some of your lieutenant
5
    colonels could have been involved?
6
           In getting back to the
7
    question before that where I said ---
8
    two questions before that where I
9
    said ----
10
           You go back, sir, it's fine.
11
    You go right ahead.
12
           Whatever it was. He would
13
    have gotten back when it was, was I
14
    the subject of an investigation or
15
    lieutenant colonel. I'm sure your
16
    name was never mentioned. If I were
17
    I would have been told is what he
18
    told me.
19
            Okay.
20
    Q.
            If you were I would have been
21
    Α.
    told.
22
           Forgive me. I'm not laughing
23
    Q.
24
    at you.
        I'm sure your name was never
25
    Α.
```

142 mentioned. 1. I'm not laughing at you. 2 not, that laughter --- let me tell 3 you why I laughed because I apologize 4 to you. It was not at you and I ---5 that may have come across to you as 6 rude and I don't --- I think you know 7 me enough by now to know that that's 8 one thing I try not to do, I try hard 9 not to be rude. 10 The reason I laughed is 11 because of all of the testimony I've 12 heard from so many people about how 13 you do an investigation and here's my 14 question. You're absolutely certain 15 that Rick Mascara said to you that if 16 your name had been mentioned he would 17 have told you? 18 Yes, I am. Α. 19 Isn't that improper? 20 ο. Say it again. Α. 21 Sir, if we're going to do 22 Q. public corruption investigations in 23 the United States and we put 24 friendship as law enforcement 25

143 officers above the integrity of an 1 investigation, I may not be 2 understanding it properly, that's why 3 I'm asking you this, how are we ever 4 going to get rid of public corruption 5 if we ever can? 6 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 7 Counsel, I object. 8 Maybe you're not understanding 9 properly because if you're 10 basing --- are you basing that 11 question on the excerpt that 12 the Commissioner just read 13 from there saying that if you 14 were, you, the Commissioner, 15 was the subject of an 16 investigation, I would have 17 been told. Who's the I that 18 Mascara's talking about? 19 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 20 Counsel, you have 21 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 22 Well, that's where I 23 think you may be misleading. 24 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 25

144 You have the 1 Commissioner. He can answer. 2 You're trying to testify and 3 obviously ---. 4 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 5 No, you're trying to testify, Counsel. 7 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 8 Yes, you are. You 9 know, please stop what you're 10 doing. If you have an 11 objection, state it for the 12 record. 13 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 14 I just did. 15 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 16 And let me go back. 17 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 18 Are you telling me ---. 19 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 20 Move to strike. 21 BY ATTORNEY BALLEY: 22 Are you telling me, sir, that 23 0. Mr. Mascara is saying in response to 24 your inquiry here that if your name 25

145 had been mentioned he would have told 1 you? 2 That's what he told me. And 3 it was prefaced with my comments to 4 him when we first started to talk 5 that if this was --- if my questions 6 were going to interfere with any 7 investigation, don't talk to me, 8 don't say anything or something along 9 those lines. 10 Yes. But he said if your name 11 --- but his response to that, and I 1.2 think that's admirable of you to say 13 that to him, I have no problem with 14 that. But his response to that is, 15 and this is what I'm asking you 16 about. I'm asking you whether this 17 is proper ethics for a law 18 enforcement official to say, no, if 19 your name had been mentioned I would 20 have told you. That's not proper; 21 it? I mean, if he received 22 information that you had done 23 something wrong he should put his law 24 enforcement responsibilities ahead of 25

```
146
   his loyalty to you; shouldn't he?
1
          You lost me, but I agree in
2
   concept that the issue of loyalty
3
   should not be involved in an
4
   investigative decision.
5
           Well, then why is he telling
   ο.
6
   you if your name had been mentioned
7
    that he would tell you? I take that
8
    to mean that he would have put
9
    loyalty to you. That's what I may
10
    not be --- that's why I'm asking
11
    about this conversation.
12
           I don't know what was in his
13
    --- I don't know what he meant by
14
         I don't know what was in his
    that.
1.5
    mind. I can just tell those were the
16
    words that he used.
17
           Why did you write them down?
18
    Why was that important to you?
19
            If you were, I would have been
20
            I'm sure your name was never
21
    mentioned.
22
    BRIEF INTERRUPTION
23
     BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
 24
            I'm sure your name was never
 25
```

```
147
   mentioned. Well, did he say that
1
   about lieutenant colonels or he said
2
   that about your name. Remember I
3
   asked you there's only one colonel;
   right?
5
           That's correct.
6
   Α.
           And that's the commissioner,
7
   that's the way the Pennsylvania State
8
   Police are structured. And at this
9
   time the commissioner is you. You're
10
   the colonel. So when he says your
11
   name was never mentioned, you took
12
    that to mean by either rank or name;
13
    is that fair to say?
14
           I think that's fair to say.
    Α.
15
           Sure, sir. But he doesn't say
16
    lieutenant colonels. Did you then
17
    follow on and say lieutenant colonels
18
    or did you take it that he meant
19
    lieutenant colonels also?
20
            I think he meant lieutenant
21
    colonels because I prefaced that, was
22
    I a subject of an investigation or
23
    any of lieutenant colonels.
24
            But he says if you were
25
```

```
148
   mentioned I would have been told.
1
   You were never mentioned, sure that
2
   you were never mentioned. You think
3
   that he's including lieutenant
4
   colonels; right?
5
           Yes, I do.
6
   Α.
           But then that isn't correct;
7
    Q.
            That isn't correct because
    is it?
8
    there was a tape on October 13th,
9
    1998 that, in fact, used, at least
10
    used the term; am I correct?
11
           Yes, there is. But I don't
12
    know what credence the FBI agent or
13
    the FBI office gave to that term that
14
    was used by the applicant of the job.
15
           But you know that Captain Ober
16
    was concerned about who to tell
17
    because he was concerned enough about
18
    it that he brought it up and the FBI
19
    was concerned enough about it for
20
    some reason because Rick checked it
21
    out and told you that Ober had
22
    expressed concern; didn't he? And
23
    that's on page two; right?
24
            Yes, he told me that ---
25
    Α.
```

149 Yes. Ο. 1 --- Ober was concerned about 2 Α. going anywhere with the information. 3 Absolutely. Now, does that 4 mean that you asked Rick what Ober 5 said? I mean, you said this wasn't 6 into Ober in facts and circumstances 7 and that's fine. But did you ask 8 Rick if Ober ever mentioned what he 9 was going to do with the information 10 or anything like that? 11 No, I didn't. 12 Α. Then why did you know --- do 13 you know why Rick would then think it 14 important enough to bring it up and 15 you think it important enough to mark 16 it down on these sheets? 17 I don't know why he brought it Α. 18 But it was what we discussed and 19 up. I marked it down. 20 All right, sir. Thank you. 21 going back, we're still on page 22 Now, one; okay? Now, I'm the subject of 23 an investigation. I was never 24 mentioned. Then it goes, I can't 25

```
150
   read that. No, is that what that is
1
   on the third line there?
2
           I think that's a no.
   Α.
3
           No, dash I, read it, I cannot
   Q .
4
   read it, sir. I'm sorry.
5
           I remotely remember something
6
   about an investigation of local
7
   municipalities and testing.
8
           Okay. Do you know whether
9
    Q.
    that was after Rick called you back?
10
           No, that was the twelve
11
    Α.
    o'clock phone call, phone
12
    conversation.
13
    Q. So Rick really is, without
14
    knowing anything about the
1.5
    investigation, carte blanche, saying
16
    to you, without knowing what's in the
17
    investigation, which he says he's
18
    pleading ignorance about, saying to
19
    you, if you had been mentioned, I'd
20
    have told you? That's just blind
21
    loyalty; isn't it, Colonel Evanko?
22
           I don't know what --- I don't
23
    Α.
    know what was in his mind when he
24
    made that statement.
25
```

```
151
           You said he was your friend.
   Ο.
1
           Yes, he was.
   Α.
           How long have you guys been
3
   friends?
4
           Probably since he went to
5
    Pittsburgh and I'm not sure how long
6
    that would have been.
7
       Did you ever say you were
. 8
    going to have the agent transferred?
9
    Did you ever say you were going to
10
    have Mr. Cush transferred, sir?
11
           No.
    Α.
12
           Did you ever say that to
1.3
    anybody?
14
           No.
    Α.
15
           Colonel Hikus is lying about
16
    Ο.
    that; right?
17
            I never said that to Colonel
18
    Hikus or to anybody else.
19
           Do you believe that Colonel
20
    Q.
    Hikus lied about that or are you
21
    saying that he, and if he didn't lie
22
    about it, he made an error? Is that
23
    what you're saying?
24
       One of the two.
25
```

```
1.52
           One of the two. You feel very
   Q.
1
   strongly about that; don't you?
2
           Yes, I do.
3
           Did you ever say you were
4
   going to call Mr. Freeh?
5
           Yes, I did.
б
    Α.
           But you didn't?
7
    ο.
           No, I did not.
    Α.
8
           And you're testifying here
9
    ο.
    today you never placed a call to Mr.
10
    Freeh?
11
           That is correct.
12
    Α.
           All right. Now, sir, after
13
    Q.
    that, do you see you have no and you
14
    have that sentence following it
15
    there? But the next sentence says,
16
    what are you saying there?
17
           Not familiar with this, get
18
    back to you.
19
                  When you talked to Rick
            Okay.
20
    do you remember if you were angry?
21
    Were you upset? Did you tell him
22
    that you were upset or anything like
23
    that? Anything like that?
24
            I don't think I was angry.
                                           I.
25
```

153 think I was just calling him to talk 1 to him about this. 2 Remember I had asked you about 3 the length of time in between, some 4 questions. I want just a couple 5 little follow-up questions on the 6 What occurs between length of time. 7 March 12 and --- or I'm sorry, sir. 8 I'm sorry. May 12 and May 20, I'm 9 sorry. May 12 and May 20. On the 10 13th, that was the day that you had 11 --- there was another meeting with 12 Colonel Hikus and you had Colonel 13 Coury come in; right? Just a second. 14 Colonel, let me go back and 15 unjumble that. It was very awkward 16 and I apologize for that. We know 17 that on May 12th, 1999 you had the 18 meeting with Colonel Hikus. They 19 requested it, Colonel Hikus and 20 Captain Ober. And they initially 2.1 informed you about the FBI probe; 22 correct? 23 That is correct. Α. 24 All right. And there was 25 Ο.

154 another meeting which occurred on May 1 13th; am I correct? With Colonel 2 Hikus? 3 It was a 10 to 15-second 4 5 conversation. Well, let me tell you why I'm 6 asking, because I think there was 7 later a statement asked of Colonel 8 Coury to give a statement about what 9 was discussed with Colonel Hikus and 10 certainly it took a lot more than 10 11 or 15 seconds, and I'm trying to sort 12 this out. Let me go back again. 13 Let's try to reconstruct it again. 14 I'm not trying to trip you up. I 15 want to try to get the facts 16 17 sequenced. It's May 12, 1999. Colonel 18 Hikus and Captain Ober have a meeting 19 20 with you and they request 21 opportunity to speak with you, and at 22 that time they tell you about this FBI probe. You admitted Colonel 23 Hikus says you were agitated, you 24 25 have admitted that you were angry and

```
155
   upset. And you've given us some of
1
   the reasons. My understanding is
2
   that at the May 12, 1999 meeting you
3
   and Lieutenant Colonel Hikus and
4
   Captain Ober were the only three
5
           Am I correct?
   there.
6
          For the first meeting, yes,
    Α.
7
    sir.
8
           All right. There was another
9
    Q.
    meeting on the 12th then?
10
           Yes, sir.
    Α.
11
           All right. Now, I'm getting
12
    straightened out. The second meeting
13
    on the 12th though, Captain Ober was
14
    not there?
15
            That is correct.
16
    Α.
            Okay. And in the second
17
    Q.
    meeting on the 12th, it was you,
18
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus, and
19
     Lieutenant Colonel Coury?
20
            That is correct.
 21
           Now, Lieutenant Colonel
 22
     Wescott was not at that meeting;
 23
     right?
 24
            No, he wasn't.
 25
     Α.
```

```
156
           Now, were there any other
   Q.
   meetings that day about this matter
1
   that you had where Lieutenant Colonel
2
3
   Hikus was present?
4
           There wasn't any other
5
   meetings that day, no.
6
           At all about this subject; is
7
    Q.
    that correct?
8
            That's correct.
    Α.
9
            All right. The next day, May
    Q .
10
    the 13th, 1999, you had another
11
    meeting about this subject?
1.2
            Yes, sir.
13
    Α.
            Now, at that meeting,
    Q.
14
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus was there;
15
    correct?
16
            Yes, sir.
17
            Lieutenant Colonel Coury was
     Q.
1.8
     there?
19
             Yes, sir.
 20
     Α.
             And Lieutenant Colonel Wescott
     Q.
 21
     was there?
 22
             Yes, sir.
     Α.
 23
             Who else in addition to those
     Q.
 24
     people were there?
 25
```

157 It was just the four of us. Α. 1 All right. Where did that 2 meeting take place? 3 I believe that was at the 4 academy. 5 All right. Sir, did you take ο. 6 any notes or make any recordings of 7 that meeting? 8 No, I did not. Α. 9 All right. Now, do you know Q. 10 whether any of the other gentlemen 11 there made any recordings or notes? 12 I don't believe so. Α. 13 And certainly that wasn't a Q. 14 15-second meeting? 15 There was I'm not sure. 16 another meeting with Lieutenant 1.7 Colonel Hikus and myself where he 18 would then tell me, and I think we 19 were still at headquarters, colonel, 20 the term colonel, was used. 21 And in --- I'm sorry, sir. 22 ο. In this. 23 Okay. Now, you were concerned 24 about that because that impacted you 25

158 personally? That impacted --- directly 1 2 impacted me. 3 And that's certainly 4 understandable. Now, my understanding is, if I recollect 5 correctly, that when Mr. Hikus gave 6 his statement to Mr. Williams during 7 the investigation that you ordered, 8 and we're going to go to that very, 9 1.0 very shortly, that Mr. Hikus indicated to Mr. Williams and Mr. 11 12 Wertz that indeed Mr. Ober had used 13 the word colonel. Do you remember 14 that? 15 No, I do not. Α. 16 Okay. So you don't know 1.7 whether he --- how Mr. Hikus, and I 18 may be wrong in my characterization 19 by the way, but I'll double-check it. 20 In their briefing to me, no, 21 they did not. 22 Okay. O . 23 But I took it that Lieutenant Α. 24 Colonel Hikus got that information 25

```
159
   from Captain Ober.
1
           That's fine. And I want to
   Q.
2
   also ask you, you are aware that when
3
   Mr. Williams and Mr. Wertz
   interviewed Mr. Hikus, Lieutenant
5
   Colonel Hikus, that they taped that
6
    interview?
7
           Yes, sir.
    Α.
8
           Now, you have those tapes;
    Q.
9
    right?
10
           I do not, but ---.
11
    Α.
           Your attorneys have them?
    ο.
12
            Yes.
    Α.
13
           You know that we've requested
    Q.
14
    those tapes and an opportunity to
15
    listen to them?
16
            I don't know.
17
            And do you know, do you have
    Q.
18
    the tapes of the FBI, that the FBI
19
    turned over, at least what they did
20
    turn over to your people? Do you
21
    know whether or not your staff has
22
     that?
23
         I don't know whether we have
24
     those tapes or not.
 25
```

```
160
           Okay. Have you ever heard any
   ο.
1
      the tapes in this matter, Colonel?
2
           No, sir.
   Α.
3
           Have you ever read any of the
4
   Q.
   statements in this matter?
5
           Yes, I have.
   Α.
6
           You've read Lieutenant Colonel
7
   ο.
   Coury's statements; is that right?
8
           Yes, sir.
9
    Α.
           Well, before we get to those I
10
    ο.
    want to finish up some questions on
11
    this exhibit and then what I'm going
12
    to do is I'm going to ask you about
13
    that investigation; okay?
14
    investigation that you ordered by
15
    Captain Williams and Lieutenant ---
16
    I'm sorry, Major Williams and Major
17
            I'll try to get these ranks
    Wertz.
18
    straightened out. I'm sorry. I'm
19
    doing my best with them. Okay.
20
    Getting back to you. That's the end
21
    of the first page. Do you agree with
22
    me?
23
           Yes, sir.
    Α.
24
            Okay. Let's go to the next
25
    Q.
```

```
161
          What does 1237 mean?
   page.
1
           12:37. It's the time.
   Α.
2
           Okay. That's a time thing.
3
   Q.
           Now, up above there it says
   Okay.
4
   John somebody or other ASAC. What's
5
   that?
6
           Maybe it was the ASAC of the
7
   Α.
    Pittsburgh office.
8
      Okay. Now, it says probably
    Q.
9
    wasn't, what's that say?
10
           Briefed.
11
           So that's Rick telling you
    Q .
12
    that he probably wasn't briefed?
13
            Yes.
    Α.
14
            Sir, do you know whether Cush
1.5
    and/or Suhy didn't trust the
16
    friendship between you and Rick?
17
            I have no idea. I don't even
18
    know if they really knew each other.
19
            And then this sentence here is
20
     didn't get here until June. What's
21
     that mean?
22
            I think it means that Mascara
 23
     wasn't assigned to the Pittsburgh
 24
     office until June.
 25
```

162 Well, did he ever indicate Q. that when he came into the office 1 2 that he reviewed active files? 3 understanding is that's usually done and I just want to know if he ever 4 5 mentioned that? 6 No, he never mentioned that. 7 Α. What if Len Bodack had been 8 mentioned say on February 20th, 1998 9 in the FBI investigation? Do you 10 think Mr. --- the State Senator, 11 talking about favors in the 12 Governor's Office, do you know 13 whether Mr. Mascara would know 14 anything about that? 15 I don't know. Α. 16 Second line under probably 17 wasn't briefed. First, something or 18 January '97. What's that say? other, 19 First surfaced, I guess that's 20 January or June, I don't know. 21 think it's January '97. 22 Well, your word June up in the 23 first line, I looked at that, because 24 I was interested in that date, the 25

```
1.63
   word June up in the first line,
1
   J-U-N-E is written out and then
2
   J-A-N, it looks to me like January
3
   197.
4
           It is probably January.
   Α.
5
           Okay. It says, now, you bear
6
   0.
   with me, if I can read this
7
   correctly, IAD notified. And then it
8
    says, if I'm reading it correctly,
9
    Captain Ober and a dash.
10
           Yes.
    Α.
11
           Now, you knew that Captain
    Q.
12
    Ober hadn't been notified in 1998
13
    until October '98; right?
14
            That's my understanding, yes.
    Α.
15
            And it says first notified or
    Q.
16
    something January --- it was first
17
    surfaced January '97, IAD notified.
18
            Yes.
     Α.
19
            Well, did you ask Rick, who'd
     Q.
20
     you tell in IAD in '97?
 21
            No, I didn't.
     Α.
 22
             Sir, can I ask why? I mean,
 23
     ο.
     let me give you an offer why I'm
 24
     asking you this to give you fairness
 25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

164

to respond as completely as you can. I understand you're upset about the events of being notified in this, personally and as a professional and as a responsible public official. understand that. Here is an FBI agent who's a friend and also the special agent in charge and he says that it first surfaces in January 197, IAD is notified. Now, doggone it, Colonel, you didn't know it then. Nobody told you then. And yet Ober gets told in '98, you're investigating the circumstances under which he was told and you've already told us your primary concern was Hikus and what he had done. already know that. But you're looking at the facts and circumstances.

Now, bear in mind, sir, that the facts and circumstances are these. No matter what Ober did or what Ober knew, we know that Hikus didn't know until the 1st of October

```
165
   of 1998. And we know that you're
1
   upset because you weren't told and
2
   the chain of command may have been
3
    circumvented in your view. Why
4
    didn't you ask Rick? Here's my
5
    question. That's where I'm going.
6
    That's what I'd like to know about.
7
    Why wouldn't you ask Rick about the
8
    events of how the FBI notified IAD in
9
    '97 and why you didn't know? Can you
1.0
    explain why you wouldn't ask him
11
    that?
12
           No, I was just listening to
1.3
    his response to my initial questions.
14
           Okay. Now, here it says,
15
    ο.
    after IAD notified, you didn't ask
16
    him any questions. It says, Captain
17
    Ober, then it says, dash, I think it
18
    says, I was something aside it looks
19
                 What is that? What does
    like to me.
20
    that say?
21
            It was set aside.
22
    Α.
           Okay. Until October '98.
                                        Do
23
    Q.
    you know why it was set aside?
24
           No, I don't.
25
    Α.
```

```
166
          Would you find it odd that it
   0.
1
   was set aside if indeed Senator
2
   Bodack was mentioned as early, if he
3
   was, at least as early, if not
4
   before, February '98?
5
           I wouldn't have any idea why
6
    the FBI set it aside.
7
       Did you ever ask them why they
8
    set it aside?
9
           No.
    Α.
10
           Did you ever ask them why they
11
    took a run at it, these are the words
12
    here, your words. Did you ever ask
13
    them why they took a run at it?
14
           It was set aside until October
15
    198, took a run at it, political
16
    corruption case. I think from
17
    reading the statement of Cush, he had
18
    a new supervisor that was helping
19
    with his case load.
2.0
            Suhy, is that Mr. Suhy?
21
            I believe it was probably Suhy
22
    who told him to get on top of those
23
    cases.
24
            Okay. Then it says, political
    Q.
25
```

```
167
   corruption case, then something,
   looks like to influence SP, but I
1
2
   can't read it.
3
           Position I think.
                               To
   influence SP positions, dash, a
4
5
   Trooper Stanton.
6
           Well, what did he mean by
    Q.
7
    position?
8
           I don't know.
9
           Well, Stanton is a trooper;
    Q.
10
    right?
11
            Yes.
    Α.
12
            In fact, he had been a trooper
    Q.
13
    under Mr. Conley; right?
14
           Yes, he was assigned to the
    Α.
15
     same troop.
16
            Yes, he was. He was under Mr.
 17
     Conley before Mr. Conley came up and
 18
     took over BPR; right?
 19
             He was one of the troopers
 20
     that were assigned to that troop.
 21
             Sir, he could have been one of
 2.2
     Q.
     thousands, millions. He was under
 23
     the command of Major Conley before
 2.4
      Major Conley came up and assumed the
 25
```

168 command position at BPR. Am I 1 correct? 2 Yes, you are. 3 Now, do you know why this word 4 position to influence state police 5 positions, why you put that verbiage 6 down? 7 It would be what the SAC told 8 me and I can speculate as to why he 9 said that. 10 No, I won't ask you to 11 speculate. If you want to tell me 12 why --- I'm going to ask you a whole 13 lot of questions about that. I view 14 that as a very important sentence. 15 I'm going to ask you a lot of 16 questions about it, I admit. I'll 17 tell you where maybe we can save some 18 It just seems to me it would 19 be common sense that a trooper out 20 there, is it Troop A? Troop B? 21 Whatever it was. I know where he was 22 stationed also, but anyway, out there 23 in southwestern Pennsylvania. But 24 first glance a trooper out there is 25

169 not going to be able to influence 1 what happens at the academy without 2 some kind of help or conspiracy, 3 somebody to back him up. That's only common sense. Would you agree with 5 that? 6 It would have to be not only Α. 7 the academy, but it would have to be 8 systemic in the organization. 9 And systemic would mean take 1.0 one or more people and like go up 11 through the organization? 12 It would have to involve 13 psychologists, the academy personnel, 14 background investigators, and 15 probably other people. 16 BRIEF INTERRUPTION 17 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 18 But haven't you played a role 19 in helping relatives or sons of state 20 troopers become state troopers? 21 mean, have you played any role in any 22 that ever? o f 23 What do you mean? 24 Α. Either trying to influence or 25 Q.

170 recommend or anything like that? Ι 1 mean, I would understand you have 2 large organization, you get a lot of 3 requests. I'm not indicating it's bad or wrong, but I mean, have you 5 ever recommended at least or done 6 anything at least in trying to help, 7 let's say, the son of a state trooper 8 become a state trooper? 9 I don't remember any. 10 Α. You don't remember. Okay. So 11 when you say that, and I'm asking 12 this question about position to 13 influence SP positions or state 14 police positions, you would agree 15 with me that Stanton, it's not the 16 kind of thing that Stanton out there 17 as a trooper in western Pennsylvania 18 can do on his own, it would involve 19 the confluence of a lot of people and 20 That's events to make it happen. 21 what you're saying; right? 22 I'm saying that and I'm saying 23 Α. Trooper Stanton was saying that 24 he could influence positions of 25

```
171
   getting an applicant into the
1
   academy. That's what I think that
2
   means, Trooper Stanton said he was in
3
   a position to influence state police
4
   positions. That's what I think that
5
   means.
6
           Okay. Now, and thank God as
7
   Q .
    it turned out that was nonsense;
8
    right?
9
           Right.
10
    Α.
           It wasn't true; correct?
    Q.
11
           It was not true.
    Α.
12
           But how do you know that at
13
    the time when this thing starts if
14
    somebody says something like that,
15
    whether or not they have some
16
    connection if you don't know?
17
    mean, if you don't know, the FBI
18
    doesn't know, and they believe this
19
     guy is making representations like
20
     this, don't you have at least a duty,
 21
     sir, to check it out?
 22
            I think that's exactly what
     Α.
 23
     was done by the FBI.
 24
            But why would they come if
 25
     Q.
```

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

172

they thought it went up the ladder? You just now told us that it would have had to have been systemic, which means it had to go up through the system. And you've just got done testifying a few minutes ago that Rick said that if your name had been mentioned they would come to you. Now, you know, you are a human being, you aren't God, so you can make mistakes and you may be subject to making errors of judgment and perhaps even some sort of a defect in character for a moment. We can all You know, we're --- we live in bend. a Judeo-Christian society. People make mistakes, they sin, they make errors.

If you're going to investigate something like this and you have information, I want to go back to this thing of probable cause. My question is if you're an investigator, you get some information out there, the FBI gets

```
173
   some information, don't they have a
1
   duty to check it out? And you've
2
   just told us it would have to be
3
   systemic, so if this guy makes this
4
   claim, you've got to check it out;
5
   right? You don't just dismiss it out
6
   of hand and come and tell Colonel
   Evanko; do you?
8
           No, I don't think you dismiss
    it out of hand. I think you
10
    investigate it.
11
           Well, then Captain Ober did do
12
    Ο.
    the right thing?
13
           In going directly to
14
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus and not
15
    telling his bureau director?
16
           Yes.
17
    Q.
           No, I don't believe so.
1.8
    Α.
            So he should have told his
19
    bureau director, who in this systemic
20
    situation, it would have to be, just
21
    happened to be Major Conley; right?
22
    Isn't that what you told us, it was
23
    systemic, and you just told us what
24
    the responsibilities are about people
25
```

174 informing targets and all that. 1 all that stuff considered, the FBI is 2 doing an investigation, I got my 3 client sitting there trying to do his job the best way he can, expresses a 5 concern to the FBI about what do I 6 with this information. Apparently 7 something was discussed. We know 8 that. You've already told us it was, 9 and you made a note on it. What do 10 you want this man to do, sir? What 11 do you want Captain Ober to do 12 light of your charge here today that 13 he violated FR 1-1.17? Can you 14 explain it to me? 15 Well, first of all I was 16 responding to your question as to 17 whether he had violated any rules and 1.8 regulations. 19 All right, sir. 20 And I think that was a Α. 21 violation. 22 Okay. 23 Q. And I think he should have 24 gone to the Director of the Bureau of 25

```
175
   Professional Responsibility, Major
1
   Conley.
           Okay. And that's it. That's
3
   Q.
   what you think he should have done;
4
   right?
5
         Yes, I do.
   Α.
6
           Now, he didn't do that; did
7
   0.
   he?
8
           No, he did not.
    Α.
9
          And hopefully, if this matter
1.0
    goes to trial, the jury is going to
11
    be able to sit there and decide
12
    whether or not Captain Ober, all
13
    things considered, exercised good
14
    judgment and whether or not you're
15
    correct in your analysis that he
16
    violated FR 1.17, whatever it
                                    is.
17
    Well, if he violated that thing why
18
    wasn't he punished for it?
19
           I don't think you have to take
20
    every violation of the rule or
21
    regulation and put it into the
22
    internal affairs process and
23
    discipline somebody. I think the
24
    very fact ---.
25
```

176 Fair enough. The very fact 1 Q. what? 2 That's all. Α. 3 The very fact what? Answer my 4 0. question. The very fact what? 5 I think the fact that Α. 6 Lieutenant Colonel Hikus gave Captain 7 Ober the orders that he did I think 8 mitigated Captain Ober's involvement 9 in this. 10 So Captain Ober's lone and 11 singular error was not telling Kip 12 Stanton's commander at the time these 13 things occurred in this, of 14 necessity, systemic situation that 1.5 the FBI had made an inquiry about 16 selling positions in the state 17 police; agreed? 18 You're going to have to tell 19 me what the question is. 20 I'm asking if you agree that Ο. 21 Captain Ober's error, if any, was 22 that he did not tell Kip Stanton's 23 commander, during the matters 24 complained of here in this systemic 25

177 situation, that the FBI was investigating the selling of 1 You agree? You have to 2 positions? agree with me. You've testified to 3 4 that; haven't you? First of all, it was not a 5 systemic allegation. I think we had 6 that on the record with Agent Cush 7 saying that he never mentioned 8 higher-up individuals in the state 9 police or the Governor's Office. And 10 yes, I do think that Captain Ober 11 12 should have gone to his bureau director and told him about this 1.3 14 phone call from the FBI. 15 Well, if you believe that Captain Ober never heard this stuff 16 about higher-ups, never heard this 1.7 stuff about lieutenant colonels or 18 19 colonels or anybody like that, okay, 2.0 then Captain Ober is a liar and he 21 should have been punished. 22 that correct? He should have been 23 disciplined for lying. I mean, this 24 is an incredible lie, if he lied. 25

178 I don't know that the captain 1 I don't know what was in his lied. 2 I can just tell you that my mind. 3 focus was on Lieutenant Colonel 4 Hikus. And to tell you the truth 5 after I came to the conclusions after 6 reading the administrative inquiry 7 and after I advised Lieutenant 8 Colonel Hikus of what my conclusions 9 were, very frankly, I didn't give 10 Captain Ober much of a second 11 thought. 12 Okay. All right. I', going 13 to give you a lot of questions, sir, 14 that I think will demonstrate to the 15 contrary. I'm going to give you an 16 opportunity to answer those. Let me, 17 before I get to that, let's finish up 18 the investigation. You at some point 19 asked somebody to appoint 2.0 investigators or did you appoint 21 investigators yourself? 22 The investigators aren't 23 appointed, they're assigned. And on 24 the May 13th meeting with Lieutenant 25

179 Colonel Hikus, Lieutenant Colonel 1 Wescott, Lieutenant Colonel Coury and 2 myself, we discussed this incident 3 again. And then Colonel Wescott ---4 well, first of all, Colonel Coury 5 said to me --- the thing I said to 6 him, you mean after Lieutenant 7 Colonel Hikus left on the 13th? 8 Yes. ο. 9 I said, Tom, assign a couple 10 Α. of BPR investigators and we're 11 talking. And when the four of us 12 were together, we talked about, I'm 13 going to get somebody to look at 14 these facts. Colonel Hikus left and 15 then I told Colonel Coury, in fact, I 16 don't even know when Colonel Hikus 17 But I told Colonel Coury get a left. 18 couple of BPR investigators and we'll 19 find out what the facts are here. 20 Colonel Coury said to me, hey, this 21 isn't a BPR investigation, it's not 22 appropriate to be in the BPR system. 23 And then I think it was at that 24 point that Colonel Wescott and I and 25

```
180
   Colonel Coury decided to have Major
1
   Wertz and Major Williams do these
2
   interviews.
           Why was it not appropriate to
4
   be in the BPR system if Mr. Ober had
5
   violated field regulation 1.1
6
    1-1.17?
7
           I was looking at this from a
8
   point of view of something that ---.
9
    I wasn't making an allegation of
1.0
    misconduct.
11
           Why was he read his rights?
12
    Did you tell them to read him his
13
    rights?
14
           I don't know that he was read
15
    his rights.
16
           Merryman was read his rights.
17
    0.
    What was he read his rights for?
18
            I don't know that Merryman was
19
    read his rights.
20
            So you didn!t talk to these
21
    ο.
    guys about methodology; right?
2.2
            If I talked to them and the
    Α.
23
    only reason I recollect having a
24
    conversation with Wertz and Williams
25
```

```
1.81
   is just from Lieutenant Wescott's
   deposition where he said that I met
1
   with them. But no, I would not have
2
3
   given them direction on using
4
   administrative rules.
5
           So you don't remember what
   happened in the meeting you had with
6
7
    Williams and Wertz?
8
           No, I don't.
    Α.
9
           Well, you remember Colonel
10
    Q.
    Wescott jumped in an airplane and
11
    flew up to Williams and told him, I
1.2
    got a job for you; right? You know
1.3
    that?
14
            I remember Colonel Wescott
15
    stating that in his deposition.
1.6
            Well, do you remember who
17
     initially recommended Williams and
1.8
     Wertz?
 19
             It was probably Lieutenant
 20
     Colonel Wescott.
 21
             You didn't tell him to go jump
 22
     in the airplane and go up and talk to
 23
     him first, don't do it by landline or
 24
     anything?
 25
```

182 $N \circ .$ Α. 1 Did you ever have Wescott 2 investigated for wasting Pennsylvania 3 State Police resources in an 4 airplane, flying an airplane up there 5 when he could pick up a telephone and 6 say, come down here? 7 I don't know if, number one, 8 Lieutenant Colonel Wescott did it, 9 and number two, I don't know whether 10 Lieutenant Colonel Wescott went up 11 there for additional business. 12 don't know. No, I did not have him 13 investigated. 14 Well, I represent --- I, you 1.5 know, never mind. I remember his 16 Were you testimony very well. 17 present during his deposition? 18 Yes, I was. Α. 19 So you believe it was probably 20 Lieutenant Colonel Wertz (sic) who 21 recommended Williams and Wertz for 22 majors, two majors for this 23 investigation? 24 I think it was probably 25 Α.

```
183
   Lieutenant Colonel Wescott who made a
1
   recommendation to assign those two
2
   majors.
3
       Lieutenant Colonel Coury
   Ο.
4
   testified, I know you were here,
5
   because I remember your being here,
6
   that he had advised you, and I think
   you've just testified to this, that
8
   this wasn't a BPR type of
9
    investigation. But what type was it
10
    then?
11
        It was an administrative
12
    Α.
    inquiry.
13
          An administrative inquiry.
14
    What is that?
15
           It's looking into the facts
16
    and circumstances of an event.
17
           And you had no predilection at
18
    that point to punish or arm anyone
19
    over the events of the FBI probe; is
20
    that correct?
21
            That is correct.
    Α.
22
            I believe that at some point
23
    Q.
    there was a meeting, a debriefing, if
24
    you will, by Mr. Williams and Mr.
25
```

184 Wertz of the results of their 1 investigation? There was a point in time when 2 3 they came and gave me the 4 administrative inquiry. 5 Well, did you read it? Did 6 you go over it? 7 Yes, I did. Α. 8 Do you think they did a good 0. 9 job, Williams and Wertz? 10 They answered the questions Ι Α. 11 needed answered. 12 And what were the questions Q. 13 that you needed answered? 1.4 I needed to make an evaluation 15 Α. the judgment used by Lieutenant 16 Colonel Hikus in informing me as well 17 as Captain Ober too of not going to 18 his major. 19 Well, one of the things in the Q. 20 investigation as I remember it was 21 this thing about Mr. Ober renting a 22 hotel room and buying some beverages 23 for the FBI out there in Indiana. Do 24 you remember that? 25

```
185
           Do I remember that as part of
1
    Α.
    the administrative inquiry?
2
           Sure.
3
    Ο.
           No.
4
    Α.
           Do you remember anything about
5
    Q.
    that?
6
           I know that he filed a
7
    grievance claim and was denied part
8
    of that reimbursement.
9
           Well, we'll get to that. It's
10
    Q.
    okay for two cert teams to be
11
    activated to escort assets for PNC
12
    Bank across the state of
13
    Pennsylvania. Do you know whether
14
    they charged $7.32 for each
15
    Pennsylvania State Police vehicle
16
17
    used?
           I don't know.
18
    Α.
19
           Do you know how many
20
    Pennsylvania State Police vehicles
21
    were used?
           No, I don't.
22
    Α.
           Do you know, I'd asked you
23
24
    about the helicopter. You didn't
25
    know whether the helicopter was used.
```

186 And you don't know whether PNC paid 1 2 for that? I don't know. 3 Do you know about a 4 Q. Pennsylvania State Police Regulation 5 that says that the Pennsylvania State 6 Police are not supposed to be used to 7 guard money or bank assets for folks? 8 I think there's a provision in 9 Α. there absent exigent circumstances, 10 something like that. 11 Well, what were the exigent 12 13 circumstances? Five billion dollars. 14 Α. Oh, were they dollars, 15 Colonel? 16 Currency, negotiable 17 instruments, whatever. 18 19 Well, negotiable instruments. Q. 20 Do you know whether those negotiable 21 instruments, the way that they were 22 put together --- I know a little bit about negotiable instruments. Do you 23 24 know whether the way they could have 25 been put together that they could

```
187
    have been assaulted or whatever?
1
           That they could have been
2
    cashed?
3
           Sure, taken and used, yes.
4
    Q.
            It was my understanding that
5
    they could, yes.
           Well, how much did you
7
    Ο.
    investigate that?
8
           I didn't investigate it at
9
10
    all.
           You just did it?
11
    Q.
           Yes.
12
    Α.
           Without the Governor's Office
13
    ο.
    knowing anything about it?
14
           Yes.
    Α.
15
           Without Governor Ridge knowing
16
    anything about it?
17
            Yes.
18
    Α.
            Were you suspecting terrorism?
19
    Q .
            Five billion dollars I didn't
20
    know what to expect.
21
            Well, the number is, you know,
22
    Q.
    five billion dollars. I know that
23
    sounds very impressive and all that
24
    sort of thing, you know, but are
25
```

1.88 there private companies that 1 2 specialize in doing that kind of work? 3 I don't know. 4 Α. 5 Well, did you ask PNC why they Ο. 6 didn't go hire people that are 7 qualified and have the kind of 8 vehicles and the kind of equipment to 9 do that sort of thing? 10 Α. I don't believe so. 11 Ο. Five billion bucks, maybe they 12 could have bought a warehouse down in 13 Philadelphia to brick it up for 14 \$50,000 and would have saved money 15 and didn't have to transport that 16 stuff. I mean, all you got to do is 17 own it; right? Isn't it true that 18 they were changing their corporate 19 headquarters from Philadelphia to Pittsburgh or something like that? 20 21 I'm not sure. Α. 22 0. You didn't even check that 23 out? 24 If I did, I don't recall it. Α. 25 Q. Where do you draw the line on

189 whether or not you activate your cert 1 teams in order to help private 2 companies in Pennsylvania move their 3 assets around? 4 I'm not sure what you mean. 5 Α. Well, how does that compare 6 with the situation Captain Ober was 7 in where he rents a hotel room, okay, 8 and orders a couple cups of coffee 9 for the FBI? How does it compare in 10 terms of spending my taxpayer's, your 11 taxpayer's, we're all taxpayers, 12 13 spending taxpayer money and 14 conforming to Pennsylvania State 15 Police Regulations? Because he was 16 denied reimbursement for that. 17 I'm not sure what you mean by Α. 18 question. 19 I want you to compare the two 20 situations in terms of what you know 21 about Pennsylvania State Police 22 Regulations, Pennsylvania State 23 Police practices and the benefits of 24 using Pennsylvania State Police 25 resources to rent a hotel room on one

```
190
     case and order a couple of cups of
 1
 2
     coffee for two FBI agents in a public-
     corruption investigation as opposed
 3
     to activating two cert teams,
 4
                                     better
     than 40 people, all kinds
 5
    Pennsylvania State Police vehicles
 6
 7
    with people driving those,
 8
    helicopters, to help PNC, who's a
 9
    state depository, move assets from
10
    Philadelphia to Pittsburgh.
11
    Something they can go and hire Brinks
12
    and Wells Fargo and people like that
13
    who move billions of dollars every
14
    single day in this country.
15
    what compares about those two
16
    situations such that this man,
17
    client, has to grieve the
    reimbursement of a few bucks in doing
18
19
    a public corruption investigation?
20
            I don't think they are
21
    comparable circumstances.
22
    Q.
           Sir, back on Evanko Number
23
    Two, the second page of Evanko Two,
24
    do you see the word CI?
25
    Α.
           Yes.
```

```
191
  1
     Q.
             It says Stanton approached
  2
     him. And then what does that say
  3
     there?
  4
     A. I can pay you 10K, $10,000 for
     this.
  5
 6
     Q.
            Okay. Did they ever tell you
     who the CI was and what they did?
            Did Special Agent Mascara tell
 8
     Α.
 9
     me that?
10
     Q.
            Yes.
11
          No. In fact, I don't think
    they ever revealed the confidential
12
13
    informant.
            Okay. Now, there's an empty
14
    Ο.
    line there and then what's the next
15
16
    line, what's that I see? It looks to
    me like it's turn over tapes and
17
    conversations to Ober. Is that what
18
19
    it says?
20
    Α.
            Yes, sir.
21
           Then it says, this I cannot
    Q.
22
           What's that statement?
23
           Source went to rep and nothing
    happened, dash, Ober concerned about
24
    gong anywhere with info.
25
```

```
192
           Okay. Source went to rep and
 1
    Q .
    nothing happened. Do you understand
 2
    rep to mean a State Representative?
 3
        I think that's what he was
 4
 5
    referring to.
           Well, how did the FBI know
 6
    that nothing happened?
 7
         I guess they did an
 8
    investigation.
9
           And you would assume that what
10
    Q.
    that means is that Stanton was not
11
    able to deliver; right?
12
        I would assume what that meant
13
    was that the representative wouldn't
14
    get involved in that. That's what I
15
16
    understand.
17
        You would assume what, that
    O .
18
    the representative ---?
19
    Α.
           That the representative was
20
    not involved in whatever was alleged.
           Okay. We went over the Ober
21
22
    stuff. Now, go down to the next line
23
    here, is investigation closed, is
24
    that what you ---?
25
    A. Yes.
```

```
193
           Didn't you believe what Hikus
    Q.
 1
    and Ober had told you or what Cush
 2
    had told, whether it was Cush or
 3
    another guy, I think there was a guy
 4
    Kelly that was actually an FBI agent?
 5
           I don't know.
    Α.
 6
           So you were asking Rick again
 7
    Q.
    now to confirm that the investigation
 8
    is closed?
 9
           This is Mascara talking to me.
10
    Α.
           I'm sorry, sir. Yes, you're
11
    asking Rick Mascara again. So
12
    Mascara is saying to you, is the
13
    investigation closed? You're not
14
15
    saying that to him?
           No, I'm saying that to him,
16
    Α.
17
    this investigation is closed.
18
           Right, right. Dash, case
19
    declined, federal prosecutors.
20
           Or federal prosecution.
    Α.
21
           Okay. Which you took that to
22
    mean was that Rick was saying that
23
    the feds aren't going to prosecute?
24
    Α.
           Yes, I think that was the
25
    case.
```

```
194
           Then there's a dash, and what
 1
    0.
    does that say, investigation closed?
 2
           Investigation closed,
 3
    Α.
    underneath that, to PSP. Closed,
 4
    dash, to PSP, underneath that.
 5
          Okay. Sir, the next line,
 6
    0.
    what is it, overtime? What is that?
 7
           What was outcome.
 8
    Α.
    Q. And again, this is what Rick's
10
    saying?
           Yes, sir. Well ---.
11
    Α.
           I'm sorry.
12
    Q.
           I asked what the outcome was.
13
    Α.
           Okay. It looks like Stanton
14
    Q.
    is bad, dash, nothing systemic, which
15
    you've already explained to us, dash,
16
17
    now what does that say?
18
           What's the next line say?
    Α.
19
           Yes, yes.
    Ο.
           Nobody else but bad statey is
20
21
    mentioned. Opened two and a half
22
    years.
23
           Two and a half or three and a
    0.
24
    half?
25
    Α.
           Two and a half.
```

195 Nobody but bad statey 1 Ο. 2 involved; right? Yes. Or bad statey is 3 Α. involved, one of the two. 4 Okay. Now, this says opened 5 Q . 6 two and a half years? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Then it says Major Williams to 9 see you, sort things out. Do you 10 have any information to indicate that 11 any of these FBI agents at any point have talked to Lieutenant Colonel 12 13 Hikus? 14 Α. No, I do not. 15 Q. So you got Williams going out to talk to them about what occurred 16 between the FBI and Captain Ober? 17 18 Α. Yes, sir. 1.9 Did it occur to you that maybe Ο. the FBI would think that you didn't 20 21 trust your own people? 22 No, that never occurred to me. 23 I mean, did you think that 24 it was embarrassing to you that maybe you have your investigators to go out 25

```
196
    and check what's going on between
 1
    FBI and your people?
 2
            No, I didn't.
 3
    Α.
            Well, your investigators
 4
    Ο.
    didn't go out and check what had
 5
    happened with the previous
 6
    investigation, first surfaced in '97
 7
    IAD notified you. You didn't have
 8
    Mr. Williams checking that out; did
 9
    you?
10
           No, because by the time I got
11
    Α.
    the administrative inquiry, that
12
    criminal and administrative
13
    investigation was already ongoing.
14
           And I can't figure that out.
15
    Q.
    If you're looking at facts and
16
    circumstances and the criminal
17
    investigation's into Stanton, who we
18
19
    know is a bad cop, but your concern
20
    about Hikus and Ober is totally
21
    different.
                Although, Ober not much
22
    because you knew what he did and he
23
    was under orders from Hikus. You
24
    don't see those as two different
25
    things? You see those as the same
```

```
197
   kind of thing, that they're going to
1
   yield the same kind of response to
2
    the questions you have about what
3
    occurred?
4
           I'm not sure I understand.
    Α.
5
           Well, the administrative
6
    inquiry into Stanton is going to be
7
    what he did as a result of the
8
    criminal activity he was involved in;
9
    right?
10
           It was an internal affairs
11
    investigation into Stanton's
12
    violation of the law.
13
           Yes. And you wouldn't let
14
    that interfere with a criminal
15
    investigation; right?
16
    A. No, it would have been
17
    concurrent with the criminal
18
    investigation.
19
           But you would never let
                                    it
20
    interfere with a criminal.
21
    investigation; right?
22
    A. No, I would not let it
23
    interfere. I would not expect it to
24
    interfere with a criminal
25
```

198 investigation. 1 Right. And the FBI told you 2 Ο. that they were not going to prosecute 3 Stanton, that they declined to 4 prosecute. 5 I don't know whether it was 6 the FBI that declined the prosecution 7 or if it was the U.S. Attorney's 8 office. 9 And your testimony here today 10 is that there was no need to check on 11 who at IAD had been told earlier, 12 why that had not been reported to 13 you, why you hadn't been informed. 14 And you don't know when Lynn Bodack 15 (phonetic) was mentioned or any other 16 public official. And you don't know 17 why this thing sat out there with the 18 FBI. You figured that the criminal 19 investigation into Stanton and the 20 administrative inquiry into Stanton 21 was underway, and it would yield 22 answers to those questions. That's 23 what you felt? 24 I guess I thought that the 25 Α.

199 criminal investigation would proceed 1 and that they would probably arrest 2 him. 3 Do you know whether Major 4 Williams ever went out and talked to 5 Mascara? 6 I don't think so. 7 Α. Why not? 8 Q. I don't know. 9 Α. ATTORNEY BAILEY: 1.0 I'm going to suggest at 11 this point that we break for a 12 lunch period. At least I 13 would like to. It's a good 14 point for me here. Darrell, 15 I'd like to you go and check 16 your personnel file at this 17 point. If they want to send 18 Brown one, that's fine. 19 And with that being said, 20 we'll reconvene at 1:30. 21 SHORT BREAK TAKEN 22 JUDGE CALDWELL: 23 The time is 1:37 p.m.24 on March 27, 2002 and we're 25

200 resuming the deposition of 1 Evanko. 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: 3 Back on record. 4 VIDEO RECORD AT 1:37 P.M. 5 ATTORNEY BAILEY: б All right. Ladies and 7 gentlemen, good afternoon. 8 would like to inform opposing 9 counsel and Mr. Evanko that we 10 have gone over and looked at 11 Mr. Ober's file, that the 1.2 document that I was talking 13 about --- and we incidentally 14 did this while the custodian 15 was there with us, naturally. 16 The document that I referred 17 to is not in his file. This 1.8 file only exists at one other 19 location that we know of. 20 Where is that, headquarters 21 what file? 22 MR. OBER: 23 24 LCE Headquarters. ATTORNEY BAILEY 25

LCE Headquarters. That he has reviewed his file there in the presence of Major
Dawire. He has never reviewed either of these files where he has not been present. And also the file was supposedly brought over for our inspection during the document inspection that we did.

I make the following representations, I'd like to Mr. --- in fact, may get Mr. Ober on tape at the end of Commissioner Evanko's deposition. I want to refer to that again. But at no time has he taken anything out of his file except to copy it. He did copy because I had asked him to review his file, this document.

He checked that LCEE file again with somebody present this morning. The

document is missing from his file. There's no way in the world he could have taken it out of his file. The document is missing from his file over at the headquarters. The document is a key and material piece of evidence in the retaliation and damage portion of the claim, and we are complaining about that fact. That being said, we'll deal with that at the end of this deposition.

I would respectfully ask opposing counsel, when you had deposed Mr. Ober, I had indicated there may be times when we need extra time ---.

BRIEF INTERRUPTION

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Let me say again.

There were times when Mr. Ober was deposed, the request had been made of me to make him

I think it's going to be difficult to complete Colonel Evanko today. I'll need some additional time, and I'm requesting the same courtesy that I've extended in that same regard. Would that be okay? We need a little bit more time.

ATTORNEY CHRISTIE:

We'll go as late as you can tonight and we can make Colonel Evanko available again tomorrow morning.

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Well, okay. I've got a hearing up around Allentown tomorrow morning, but ---.

ATTORNEY CHRISTIE:

Then we should go late tonight then.

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Well, we'll do our best to do this. And if we can do

204 it at another time, I'd 1 appreciate it. Tomorrow may 2 be ---I'll double-check, when 3 you get a chance to break, 4 just on that. But I think 5 I'd ---. 6 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 7 Colonel Evanko is 8 leaving on Saturday for a 9 couple of weeks. So that's 10 why we're saying ---. 11 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 12 Okay. Well, let's try 13 to get at it then. Colonel, 14 try to get through this as 15 best we can. Okay? 16 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 17 I want to change gears just a 18 little bit, Colonel, if I can. Do 19 you have a recollection of the Bureau 20 of Education asking for \$20,000 for 2.1 model cars? 22 No, I don't. 23 Α. This is 164 scale die cast 24 model 1995 Ford SVT Mustang Cobra at 25

5,000 was the quantity \$4 each. 1 requested for a total of \$20,000, 2 requested by Jane A. First items 3 will be used as special giveaways 4 during the presentation of the 5 full- size model vehicle. Now, the 6 reason I'm asking you is you know 7 where I'm coming from. Apparently 8 these things were to be used during 9 the presentation of drug and alcohol 1.0 education programs by the department. 11 I just want to ask you if you know 12 whether the vehicles were ever 13 delivered to the department and how 14 they were used, if you know? 15 I don't know. 16 Α. And do you feel that an 17 Q. expenditure like this is justified as 18 a precautionary measure? Captain 19 Ober has indicated that from a 20 precautionary point of view when he 21 met with the FBI agents, Mr. Cush and 22 I forget who the other gentlemen was, 23 out there in Indiana, that he had 24 rented a hotel room and got some 25

206 coffee. Do you think that this 1 expenditure here is on an equal level 2 with the judgment that Mr. Ober 3 displayed in renting that hotel room? 4 And what was the purpose for the use 5 of those items? 6 Items would be used as special 7 giveaways during a presentation of 8 the full scale model vehicle. A 9 full-size Mustang is used by 10 community service officers to present 11 drug and alcohol education programs 12 throughout the Commonwealth. 13 If this involves school 14 children I think this was the more 15 appropriate of the expenses. 16 if there were any expenses incurred 17 in this investigation they should 18 have been incurred by the FBI. 19 Do you know whether these 20 giveaway vehicles are ever accounted 21 People know where they are, 22 what's done with them? 23 I don't know because I've 24 never seen any. 25

207 Have you ever seen museum Q. 1 for the State Police Museum? 2 I donate things to the State 3 Police Historical Education and 4 Museum Committee. I don't know 5 anything --- I don't know where 6 you're going for what you mean. 7 Okay. Where I'm going is you 8 0. donated --- for example, there was a 9 typewriter that was given to you by a 10 mistake and then you donated it; 11 right? 12 I don't know if I ever donated Α. 13 I donated a typewriter from 14 the estate of Herm Fialdia 15 (phonetic), yes. 16 Well, the reason I'm asking 17 you is, it was given to you or sold 1.8 to you, however you acquired it as an 19 individual, and then you gave it to 20 the state police; right? 21 I gave it to the Historical 22 Educational and Museum Committee, 23 which is separate from the state 24 police department. 25

```
208
           Okay. That's the state
   Q.
1
   museum; right?
2
           No. It's a non-profit
3
    organization that's attempting to
4
    build a museum for the State Police
5
    History Education Research and a
6
    memorial center.
7
           You have items of a historic
    Q .
8
    significance in your office that
9
    could be defined as Pennsylvania
10
    state police memorabilia?
11
            I probably do.
12
    Α.
            Helmets, that kind of thing?
    ο.
13
            Yes.
    Α.
14
            They're quite prized items;
15
    Ο.
    aren't they?
16
            Yes, they are.
17
    Α.
            And do you own any of those?
18
    Q.
            Yes, I do.
    Α.
19
            How did you acquire them?
20
    Q.
            I got one from my father and I
21
    Α.
    got one from the estate of Herm
22
    Fialdia.
23
            Have you ever been
24
    Ο.
    investigated for them?
25
```

```
209
           No.
   Α.
1
           Do you know whether or not
2
    Ο.
   Colonel Coury ever played any role on
3
   having Captain Ober investigated for
4
    some alleged acquisition of
5
    Pennsylvania state police
6
    memorabilia?
7
           I remember listening to
    Α.
8
    somebody's deposition. I think it
9
    was that an individual by the name of
10
    Phil Conti had wrote a letter of
11
    complaint. So I know that.
12
           Yes, sir. Did you ever read
13
    Ο.
    that letter?
14
           No, I didn't.
    Α.
15
           So you don't have a view as to
16
    Q .
    whether or not that letter is a
17
    letter of complaint?
18
           I don't know. I haven't read
19
    it.
20
           Do you know who did the
21
    adjudication in Captain Ober's case?
22
           No, I don't.
    Α.
23
           Do you know if his case was
24
    assigned a BPR, what's called a BPR
25
```

210 number? 1 I don't know. 2 And do you know if he was ever 3 ο. informed of the finding and results 4 in that case? 5 If it were a internal affairs 6 Α. investigation I would think that he 7 was. 8 You would think that he was. 0. 9 Do you know if he was, sir? 10 No, I don't. Α. 11 Sir, do you know whether he 12 was ever informed of the results of 13 the investigation that you ordered 14 Majors Williams and Wertz to do? 15 I know that after I discussed Α. 16 my conclusions with Lieutenant 17 Colonel Hikus, that Lieutenant 18 Colonel Hikus advised Captain Ober. 19 And I know from Lieutenant Colonel 20 Hikus' deposition earlier this week. 21 Prior to Lieutenant Colonel 22 Q. Hikus testifying in the manner that 23 he did about that issue, had you any 24 knowledge of Captain Ober being told 25

```
211
   anything about that investigation
1
   being closed?
2
           I didn't know, but I expected
3
   that Lieutenant Colonel Hikus would
4
   do that because Captain Ober was
5
   under his chain of command.
6
           Well, do you know if
7
   Lieutenant Colonel Hikus was given
8
   the investigation, or given a copy of
9
    the investigation?
10
           No, sir, he was not.
    Α.
11
           Do you know if the same is
12
    ο.
    true of the museum investigation?
13
           As to whether ---?
    Α.
14
           If Colonel Hikus was given a
15
    copy of that?
16
            I don't know.
17
    Α.
            Okay. Are there any current
    Q .
18
    BPRs into Captain Ober?
19
           Not that I'm aware of.
20
    Α.
            Going back, though, I'm going
21
    Q.
    to change a little bit the direction
22
    we've been going here. And I want to
23
    ask you some questions, some calendar
24
    questions about when things --- when
25
```

212 you made decisions about Captain 1 Ober. You had already testified that 2 you never gave whether or not he 3 violated a regulation another thought 4 after you learned that Hikus had 5 ordered him not to say anything. And 6 you've indicated that the primary 7 concern you had in finding out about 8 the facts and circumstances of the 9 probe had to do with Colonel 10 Hikus' behavior and activities. Have 11 I misstated anything? 12 You misstated the first part. 13 Α. It was after I had advised Colonel 14 Hikus of my conclusions where I made 15 the comment that I didn't give much 16 of a thought to Captain Ober after 17 18 that. Okay. And when was that? 19 Sometime around Labor Day or 20 the last week of August, something 21 like that. 22 1999? 23 Q. of 1999. 24 Α. Okay. Sometime in April 1999, 25 Ο.

```
213
   you appointed Captain Ober to IIMS;
1
   right?
2
           I detached him from the Bureau
3
   of Professional Responsibility to the
4
   Bureau of Technology Services to work
5
   on the IIMS project.
6
           Now, IIMS, very, very briefly
7
          have enough on the record, I
    --- we
8
    think, to know what it is. Why did
9
    you put Captain Ober there?
10
           Because I thought he would do
11
    a good job in that assignment.
12
           And do you know what kind of
13
    role he played with that assignment?
14
           He was the team leader to
    Α.
15
    develop the selection criteria for
16
    the systems integrator for Phase 1,
17
    the 13-month project to design
18
    the ---.
19
            Keep your voice up just a wee
20
    little bit.
21
           To design the integration of
22
    Α.
    all the other teams that were working
23
    on the mobile office, the mobile
24
    applications team, the AVL, the GIS,
25
```

```
214
   the CAD, the CAD system, the
1
   consolidated dispatch centers.
2
           How much was IIMS when it was
3
   Ο.
   all completed, going to cost the tax
   payer, roughly?
5
           Just the IIMS program?
6
   Α.
           Roughly.
7
    Q.
           $100 million. And that
    Α.
    would've included all the building
9
    sites for the consolidated dispatch
10
    centers, all the telephone, the new
11
    telephone equipment, all of the
12
    computers, all the personnel. And
13
    that was just the one phase of the
14
    automation project.
1.5
           Now, when you appointed
16
    Q.
    Captain Ober to the IIMS assignment,
17
    you made an agreement with him;
18
    didn't you?
19
           Yes, I did.
20
    Α.
           And tell us quickly, sir, what
21
    was that agreement?
22
           I agreed to return him to the
23
    Bureau of Professional Responsibility
24
    upon completion of his assignment.
25
```

```
215
           And Captain Ober felt strongly
1
    ο.
    about that; didn't he?
2
           Well, he wanted to go back to
3
    Α.
    the Bureau of Professional
4
    Responsibility, yes.
5
           And you had no difficulty with
6
    that, because you believed in Captain
7
    Ober; didn't you?
8
           It was the agreement that I
9
    Α.
    made with him.
10
           You believed in him, you felt
11
    ο.
    that he was a fine and capable
12
    Pennsylvania State Police officer;
13
    didn't you, sir?
1.4
           When I made that assignment,
15
    Α.
    yes, I did.
16
           When you made that assignment
17
    ο.
    you did?
18
            Yes.
19
    Α.
            And you placed in writing a
20
    commitment to return him, him being
21
    Captain Ober, to IAD at the
22
    completion of his IIMS attachment
23
    from the Bureau of Professional
24
    Responsibility, the internal affairs
25
```

```
216
   division to IIMS; correct? You put
1
    that in writing?
2
           There is a clean message to
    Α.
3
    that effect.
4
           Now, the assignment that you
5
    made of Captain Ober to IIMS was it
6
    on April 26, 1999; wasn't it?
7
           Yes, sir.
8
    Α.
           And Captain Ober and
9
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus came in and
10
    they told you about this FBI probe,
11
    which of course the FBI hadn't
12
    informed you of and which Colonel
13
    Hikus and Captain Ober had not
14
    informed you of and you didn't learn
1.5
    about. They informed you about it on
16
    May 12th, 1999; correct?
17
           That is correct.
1.8
    Α.
           And your testimony here today
19
    indicates that you told Lieutenant
20
    Colonel Hikus something to the
21
    effect, that the investigation that
22
    you had ordered was over sometime on
23
    or about Labor Day of 1999; right?
24
            That is correct.
25
    Α.
```

```
217
           With the expectation that
   Q.
1
   Lieutenant Colonel Hikus, and you
2
   said was in Captain Ober's chain of
3
    command, would tell Captain Ober?
4
           That is correct.
   Α.
5
           Well, did you tell Colonel
6
    ο.
    Conley?
7
           Did I tell him what?
8
    Α.
           About the investigation being
    Ο.
9
    over?
10
           No, I did not.
11
    Α.
           Why not?
    ο.
12
           Because Captain Ober was
13
    Α.
    detached to the Bureau Technology
14
    Services under the command of
1.5
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus.
16
          On or about November 8th,
17
    1999, did Captain Ober file a
18
    grievance of some type?
19
            On what date?
20
    Α.
            I believe November 8th. And
21
    Ο.
    then another on December 22nd, 1999?
22
         I know that he filed
    Α.
23
    grievances, I don't know what the
24
    dates are.
25
```

218 Fact is, you have a standing Q. 1 order as Commissioner, you know what 2 grievances are filed. You're 3 informed of that; isn't that correct? 4 No, that is not correct. I am 5 informed of them. 6 What about EEOC complaints, if 7 0. somebody complains about an issue of 8 race or invidious discrimination? 9 If it results in a internal 10 affairs investigation normally it 11 would be reported on a weekly report 12 of significant BPR issues. 13 Well, at some point you had 14 made a decision that Captain Ober was 15 to go to Washington County; right? 16 Yes, I did. 17 Α. And when did you decide that? 18 ο. Sometime in late December or 19 early January of 2002 --- December of 20 199 and January of 2000, January 21 2000. 22 And why did you do that? 23 Because Colonel Wescott was 24 asking for someone to assist Major 25

```
219
   Zipinka in preparation for the
1
   National Governors' Association.
2
           Why Captain Ober?
3
           He was finishing up his
   Α.
4
   assignment with IIMS, he was
5
   available, and Major Conley had asked
6
    for him not to be returned to the
7
    Bureau of Professional
8
    Responsibility.
9
           When did ---?
1.0
    Q.
           And he could do the job.
    Α.
11
           And he could do the job. When
12
    did Mr. Conley first tell you he
13
    didn't want Mr. Ober back?
14
            I think Lieutenant Colonel
15
    Coury told me first. And it would've
16
    been end of December, beginning of
17
    January.
1.8
            Well, did you bring Captain
19
    Ober in and discuss that with him?
20
            No, I didn't.
    Α.
21
            Any reason why not?
     ο.
22
            I treated him the same way
23
     Α.
     that I treated Captain Transue.
24
            Captain Transue's down around
25
```

220 Philadelphia? 1 That's where she is now, yes. 2 Α. Yes. But where was she when 3 ο. you moved her out? 4 She was in the Bureau of 5 Research and Development. 6 And you sent her where? 7 Q. To Philadelphia. Α. 8 For what reason? Q. 9 To assist in the preparation Α. 10 of the troops for the Republican 11 National Convention. 12 Is that similar to the 13 treatment that Captain Young 14 received? How was that --- I'm 1.5 sorry. 16 Go ahead finish your question. 17 Α. How was Captain Young handled? Q. 18 In what way. 19 Was he transferred at all? 20 Q. Yes. After the injunction was 21 filed I assigned Captain --- I 22 promoted Captain Young and 23 transferred him to Major Zipinka to 24 assist in the final preparations of 25

```
221
   the National Governors' Association.
1
           Well, now, Captain Ober had
2
   filed a couple of grievances with
3
         right?
   them;
4
           It's my understanding that he
   Α.
5
   did.
6
           November and December; is that
    ο.
7
    correct?
8
           I don't know when.
9
    Α.
           In one of those grievances did
    Q.
10
    he write in the grievance that there
11
    was an issue of retaliation?
12
           I don't know, because I've
    Α.
13
    never read them.
14
            Well, do you know when Captain
15
    ο.
    Ober was informed, first informed,
16
    that he was going to Washington?
17
            I would imagine the beginning
18
    of January.
19
            Do you know who told him?
20
            It would have either been
21
    Α.
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus or Major
22
     Conley, one of the two, or Major Walp
23
     (phonetic). I think it was Major
24
     Walp that told him.
25
```

```
222
          Major Walp say anything to you
   0.
1
   about moving Captain Ober?
2
          After Lieutenant Colonel Hikus
3
   told me that Captain Ober's
   assignment was done, Major Walp did
4
5
   ask if he could be retained on the
6
   IIMS assignment.
7
           Now, at some point did you
8
    tell Captain Ober that he was going
9
    to be leaving IIMS?
10
           No, I didn't.
    Α.
11
           Well, did you cause somebody
12
    Q.
    to tell him that?
13
           I would have told either
    Α.
14
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus --- probably
15
    Lieutenant Colonel Hikus.
16
            Now, did Colonel Conley, by
17
     this time I guess he's a Colonel,
18
     did Colonel Conley inform Mr. Ober on
19
     or about January 10th that he was
20
     going out to Washington?
 21
            It would've either been
 22
     Α.
     Lieutenant Colonel Hikus or Major
 23
     Conley, one of the two.
 24
            So who consulted with you
 25
     Q.
```

```
223
   you said it was Wescott who consulted
1
   with you about this need out in
2
   Washington, Pennsylvania?
3
           Yes, sir.
   Α.
4
           What other captains did you
   0.
5
   consider?
6
           I don't think I considered any
    Α.
7
    other captains. Because Captain Ober
8
    was available, I knew he could do the
9
    job and his assignment with the IIMS
1.0
    project was done.
11
            Was it done?
12
    Q.
            Yes.
    Α.
13
            When did they vote on it?
    0.
14
            I do not know.
15
    Α.
            Why wouldn't you know that
    Q.
16
    before you reassigned him somewhere
17
     else?
18
            Because I asked Lieutenant
19
     Colonel Hikus if he was done and he
 20
     told me he was.
 21
            Now, Lieutenant Colonel Hikus
 22
     0.
     told you that at that time?
 23
             The end of December or the
 24
     beginning of January.
 25
```

```
224
           Did you discuss Lieutenant
   Colonel Hikus' testimony with him
1
   before he testified the other day?
2
3
           No, I did not.
           Did Captain Ober ever return
   Α.
4
    Q.
5
       IAD?
    tο
6
            No, he did not.
7
    Α.
            Why not ---?
            Or he may have returned for a
    Q.
8
    Α.
 9
    couple days because I did not
    transfer him back there or if I did
10
    it was for a short period of time.
11
            Well, when did you learn that
12
13
    he had filed an action against the
     Q.
14
     department?
15
             I'm not sure when that was.
     Α.
 16
             Was it on or about the 26th of
 17
     January?
 1.8
             I don't know.
     Α.
 19
             2000?
     Q.
 20
             I don't know.
      Α.
 21
             Well, what was to be his
      Q .
 22
      effective date to go back to IAD?
 23
              I'd have to look at a
  24
      personnel order. I don't recall.
  25
```

```
225
          Well, hadn't you initially
   0.
1
   assigned him to go back to IAD?
2
           At the conclusion of his
3
   assignment to Bureau of Technology
4
   services?
5
                 In other words,
           Yes.
   0.
6
   hadn't you assigned him back to IAD
7
    for like a week or five days or some
8
    such thing?
9
           I think it was going to be one
10
    full pay period.
11
            And what's a full pay period?
    Q.
12
            Two weeks.
    Α.
13
            Two weeks. Why did you do
    ο.
14
    that?
15
            The formality of doing it.
    Α.
16
            Sorry. The formality of doing
17
     Q.
         sir?
     it,
18
            Yes.
     Α.
19
            You weren't doing it to slap
     ο.
20
         in the face; were you, Colonel?
     him
 21
             No, I wasn't.
     Α.
 22
             You weren't doing it to insult
     Q.
 23
     him; were you?
 24
             No, I wasn't.
     Α.
 25
```

226 You were not doing it to send Q. 1 him a message; were you? 2 No, I wasn't. 3 You weren't doing it to teach 0. 4 Hikus a lesson; were you? Mr. 5 Pardon me? Α. 6 You weren't doing it to teach 0. 7 Hikus a lesson; were you? Mr. 8 No, I was not. Α. 9 You weren't doing it to punish 10 Captain Ober; were you? 11 No, I was not. Α. 12 so you, sir, were going to 13 Q. take this career captain in the 14 Pennsylvania State Police who you 15 told us just previously here was a 16 fine officer to do the job. And as 17 Colonel Coury said and you have now 1.8 confirmed, it was you who made this 19 decision? 2.0 Yes, it was. 21 Α. All by your lonesome with some 22 input from Mr. Wescott, the gentleman 23 that flew up to see Mr. Williams and 24 informant of the decision to 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

25

227

investigate the events of October 5th 1998 and what followed. For purposes of the mere formality of you were going to assign Captain Ober to one or two weeks, you said a pay period, with IAD before you sent him out to Washington to help with the National Governors' Conference, was that it? That's it. Α. If I represented to you that to the best of my knowledge, it was January 26th, 2000, that Mr. Ober 13 made known a legal action that he filed in Commonwealth court against 1.4 the Pennsylvania State Police seeking 15 1.6 prospective relief, are there any 17 facts known to you that contradict 18 that as you sit here today? 19 Do you mean contradict when he Α. 20 filed the injunction? 21 Yes, sir. Q. 22 Not that I'm aware of. Α. 23 When did you make a decision 24

that he would sit tight at IIMS

```
228
   detached from BPR, IAD division and
1
   not go to Washington?
2
           Probably after the injunction
3
   was filed.
4
           Sir, wasn't that after the
   report date that you had given him to
   Q.
5
6
   IAD for the formality of just being
7
    there for a short while, sir?
8
           I don't know what that date
9
    is, so I don't know.
10
           You needed him at IIMS; didn't
    ο.
11
    you?
12
           To complete that project, yes
    Α.
13
    sir.
1.4
         Yes, sir, you did. Yes, sir.
15
    I agree with that. And Mr. Ober
16
    never actually went back to IAD; did
17
     he, sir?
18
            I don't believe that he did.
     Α.
19
            And, sir, he never went to
     Q.
 20
     Washington; did he?
 21
            He did not.
 22
     Α.
            Now, did he not go to
 23
     Washington because you are a
 24
     compassionate man?
 25
```

229 He did not go to Washington 1 because of the injunction and the 2 settlement of the injunction. 3 Why did you settle it, sir? 4 Q. Because I didn't want to Α. 5 prolong the assignment of an 6 individual to assist Major Zipinka. 7 Lieutenant Colonel Wescott was 8 insistent that somebody get out there 9 as soon as possible. He wanted 10 somebody out there helping him. And 11 you have to remember that at the 12 beginning of December, Seattle 13 exploded with the World Trade 14 Organization demonstrations. States 1.5 of emergency were declared, the 16 National Guard was called out, the 17 curfews were imposed and Colonel 18 Wescott was insistent that we not be 19 caught in the same situation that 20 Seattle PD was caught in. 21 What's that have to do with 22 Captain Ober? Mr. Coury's come in 23 here and testified for about a half 24 hour, and then we got into some 25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

230

different things, but --- about how this gentleman, Captain Ober, was operationally deficit experience wise, not ability wise, but experience wise. So how does that integrate with this alleged need because of Seattle in Washington? Colonel Coury was not Deputy of OPS at that time, Colonel Wescott And it was Colonel Wescott's recommendation with my concurrence that the captain go there, that he could do that job working with Major Zipinka. Okay. Fair to say then that Mr. Wescott may not, I mean, we can only speculate, I guess, but apparently did not entertain viewpoints as to Captain Ober's alleged operational deficiencies, that he didn't feel that way about Captain Ober or he wouldn't have recommended him for that assignment? I'm not sure what he testified to, what his thoughts were. It seems

```
231
   to me that they're consistent with
1
   Lieutenant Colonel Coury's.
2
           He wanted to get his --- he
3
   wanted Captain Ober to get his feet
4
   wet in a combat situation then; is
5
   that right?
6
           He needed somebody out there
7
   to work with Major Zipinka to make
8
    sure that what happened in Seattle,
9
    at least that 's my understanding and
10
    my feeling, as to what happened in
11
    Seattle didn't happen in State
1.2
    College.
13
            You mean Pittsburgh, or
    ο.
14
        it was State College.
    oh,
15
            State College.
    Α.
16
            Well, say Zipinka was really
17
     fired up, needed somebody?
18
            No. This was Lieutenant
19
     Colonel Wescott's decision.
 20
            Yes, but didn't you say
     Q.
 21
     Zipinka really needed somebody or did
 22
     I misunderstand?
 23
             Zipinka needed somebody
 24
     according to Lieutenant Colonel
 25
```

232 Wescott's estimation. 1 Oh, okay. So Zipinka wasn't making this demand or anything like 2 3 that? It was Colonel Wescott's 4 opinion that he needed him? 5 It was Colonel's Wescott's Α. б opinion. 7 So you promoted Young and put Q. 8 Young out there? Yes, I did. 10 Α. And when did you promote Mr. 11 Young to Captain? He was a 1.2 lieutenant; right? 13 Yes, he was. Probably Α. 14 sometime in January. 15 And you sent him out there. Q. 16 Did he want to go? 17 I don't know whether he wanted 18 to go or not. 19 Well, did you talk to him at Q · 20 all before he went? 21 I normally talk to officers 22 that I promote. If I talked to him I 23 would have offered him the position 24 of captain with the caveat that it 25

233 would be assigned to Major Zipinka tο 1 help out there. 2 That's what I mean. That's 3 what I'm asking about. 4 And I probably would have 5 Α. talked to him, but I'm not sure. 6 Okay. Colonel, are you ο. 7 telling me that if you'd have talked 8 to Mr. Young and Mr. Young had said, 9 not yet, don't want to do that, would 10 you have still promoted him and sent 11 him to Washington against his wishes? 12 I would not have promoted him, Α. 13 no. 14 Okay. Darrell Ober files his Q. 15 injunction. Did that upset you? 16 No. 17 Α. How did you feel about it? Q· 18 Neutral. Α. 19 Why did you relent and agree Q. 20 to settle on it? 21 We settled this case because I Α. 22 didn't want to prolong the 23 assignment, somebody going out to 24 assist Major Zipinka. I didn't know 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

234

long that court case might take. Okay. See, I understand that and I understand you testified to that and I wasn't sure I heard you right. But I don't understand why the one requires the other one. other words, I can understand the following. This captain, Captain Ober, files this injunctive thing about being transferred out there. Okay? You don't want to prolong the problem. Why does agreeing with his injunction have anything to do with prolonging the problem if you're going to get somebody else for it anyway?

In other words, you know, go ahead and fight the injunction and win. It doesn't mean you have to send him, but you can certainly win the legal action being that you can't be prevented from doing it. It doesn't mean you have to go through with it. I don't understand why settling that would solve your

235 problem with the National Governor's 1 conference. 2 I wasn't going to put on hold 3 sending somebody out there to work 4 with Major Zipinka. 5 Of course not. You don't even 6 Q. know how long the legal wrangling 7 will take. 8 And that's the point of my 9 answer. 10 I still don't understand but I ο. 11 understand that's your answer. 12 don't understand why --- that's your 13 answer, that's your answer. 14 It's the best I can articulate Α. 15 it. 16 Yes, sir. Sir, did Captain 17 ο. Ober argue that the transfer was 18 punitive in nature? 19 Not that I know of. 20 Do you think it was punitive 21 ο. in nature? 22 No.Α. 23 Do you think it was a career 24 enhancing move? 25

```
236
          I didn't look at it either
1
   Α.
        as career enhancing or not.
   way,
2
   knew there was need out there and
3
   agreed with Colonel Wescott.
4
           You don't have a duty to,
   ο.
5
   all, look at officers in terms of
6
    career enhancement? You look at it
7
    in terms of what the needs of the
8
    Pennsylvania State Police are; right?
9
           That's exactly what I did.
10
    Α.
           And you're telling us that
11
    Ober was needed out there because
12
    according to you the IIMS thing had
13
    come to a conclusion, which you say
14
    you got from Hikus; right?
15
            That is correct.
    Α.
16
            So he's available?
17
    Q.
            That is correct.
    Α.
18
            Well, after you decided not to
19
    prolong the legal thing and gave in
20
         is it fair to say you gave in on
 21
     the legal thing?
 22
            I think we settled the
 23
     injunction.
 24
             Those words are ---
                                  you
 25
     ο.
```

```
237
                             You choose
   settled the injunction.
   your words. You settled the
1
                And after you settled
2
   injunction.
   the injunction Ober's still
3
4
   available; right?
5
           Yes, he was.
    Α.
6
           So you put him in a
    lieutenant's position in LCE;
                                    didn't
7
 8
    you?
            I have a major that is the
 9
10
    director of the Bureau of
    Professional Responsibility that had
11
    asked that he not be returned there.
12
     And I did the same thing with Captain
 13
     Ober that I did with Captain Transue.
 14
             Put him into a lieutenant's
 15
 16
     position?
 17
             Captain Transue was not
     reassigned to Bureau of Research and
 18
 19
      Development because Lieutenant
      Colonel Hikus asked that she not be
 20
  21
      reassigned there.
  22
              Sir, Colonel Evanko, aside
      from Captain Ober I want you to tell
  23
      me how many times in your career as
  24
  25
```

238 Commissioner of the Pennsylvania 1 State Police you placed a captain 2 --- because the testimony has been that you made this decision, how many 3 times have you placed a captain in a 4 5 lieutenant's position? Tell us. 6 only one. 7 Α. Who? Q. 8 Captain Ober. Α. 9 Yes, sir, that's correct. ο. 10 And it was consistent with the Α. 11 court's decision to keep him in the 12 Harrisburg/Hershey area and there 13 were no captain vacancies in the area 14 at that time. 15 Well, I may be mistaken but 16 your counsel said --- I mean, they 17 represented at least that you didn't 18 lose that thing. Yu actually won it, 19 you just settled it or something. 20 don't know what the words are, but 21 you didn't lose it. 22 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 23 It was dismissed as 24 moot and it's a matter of 25

public record. You can read the court opinion. The court dismissed the case as moot.

It was the preliminary injunction that was settled.

There was no permanent injunction settled, and it was dismissed as moot.

BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:

1.5

1.8

Q. So you're saying --- well, you've heard what your learned attorney just said here. Your position is that that's consistent with what the court wanted? Is that the way you looked at it? I go back to Dan Pellegrini, to Judge Pellegrini, and I say, sir, you wanted Ober kept in Harrisburg so bad that you felt he should have been assigned to a lieutenant's position as a captain in LCE; is that correct?

ATTORNEY GUIDO:

I object to the question because it totally misconstrues the facts, which

240 the court never entertained 1 anything in the case. 2 matter was settled, it's of 3 record. The matter was 4 settled with the Defense 5 Counsel and with the 6 Plaintiff's Counsel, who we 7 agreed that temporary 8 injunction would not be going 9 forward because we would keep 10 the Captain in the Harrisburg/ 11 Hershey area as a matter of 12 And your public record. 13 question is misconstruing 14 those facts. 15 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 16 Okay. So it wasn't something 17 that the court wanted, it was 1.8 something that Mr. Ober wanted? 19 just know that there was I Α. 20 agreement to keep him in the 21 Harrisburg, Hershey area. 22 So you don't know whether it 0. 23 was something the court wanted? 24 I thought it was something

25

Α.

```
241
   that the court wanted, but maybe not.
1
          What's PEMA, FEMA, LEMA ---
2
   PEMA, Pennsylvania Emergency
   Response, or something or other, what
3
4
   is that?
5
           Pennsylvania Emergency
   Α.
6
   Management Agency.
7
           I forgot the acronym, I'm
    sorry. Do you have a recollection of
8
    Captain Ober ever serving with that
9
10
    particular agency?
11
            I have a recollection from
    Α.
1.2
    listening to Lieutenant Colonel
13
    Wescott's testimony and your
14
    questioning of him, but that's all.
15
            All right. Colonel Evanko,
16
    prior to testimony that you've heard
17
     in this case, do you have any
18
     recollection of Captain Ober
 19
     requesting or performing in an
 20
     assignment to PEMA?
 21
             No, only through your
 22
     questioning of Lieutenant Colonel
 23
     Wescott.
 24
             Have you ever discussed
 25
```

242 Captain Ober with Mr. Washington? 1 No, I have not. 2 Leonard I'm sorry, sir. Washington, do you know who I'm 0. 3 4 talking about? I think you're talking about 5 6 Major Washington? 7 Yes, sir, I am. ο. 8 No, I have not. Colonel, from an assignment 9 standpoint, like a responsibility Q. 10 standpoint, was it Colonel Wescott 11 12 who was responsible for PEMA? It falls under the Lieutenant 13 Colonel of Operations, and Lieutenant 14 Colonel Wescott was in that position 15 16 in January of 2000. 17 Okay. So at the times Q . 18 it was complained of in the complaint Lieutenant Colonel Wescott who was 19 in 20 that position? 21 As Deputy Commissioner of 22 Operations, that's correct. 23 Well, I'm going to change just 24 a little bit, just a couple little 25

```
243
   things here. Do you have an auto
1
   pen?
2
           Yes, I do.
   Α.
3
           How does it work? It signs
   Q.
4
   your signature; right?
5
           Yes, it does.
   Α.
6
           Can you describe it for me?
7
    Q.
                                     and it
    You put a piece of paper in it
8
    signs your name or something?
9
           I'm not sure that I've ever
10
    seen it operated, but I know that you
11
    put a piece of paper down and it
12
    traces your signature. That's it.
13
           Have you ever had occasion to
14
    Q.
    handle any documents that it signed?
15
            I would probably get copies of
16
    documents like that.
17
            How many shirts have you lost
    Q .
18
    due to being smeared by the ink on
19
    your auto pen?
20
            Pardon me?
21
     Α.
            Ever lost any shirts as a
22
     result of getting smeared with ink
 23
     from your auto pen?
 24
             I don't know of any that I've
     Α.
 25
```

244 lost. ever 1 Ever handle documents from 2 your auto pen, gotten ink on your 3 hands? 4 I don't think. I never did 5 that, no. 6 Sir, what's the name of your 7 secretary? 8 Mary Bungo. 9 Can you describe for us the 10 duties that she performs for you. 11 And after you do that, can you tell 12 us what authority she has to make 13 decisions for you? 14 She is my confidential 15 executive secretary and also acts 16 the capacity of an executive officer. 17 She has the authority to route 18 complaints to decide who will answer 19 certain letters of complaint, 20 example. She has the authority to 21 auto pen certain documents, to 22 respond, schedule, to call 23 legislators. She has a wide range of 24 authority. 25

245 Does she have the authority to Q. 1 decide the organizational structure 2 of the Pennsylvania State Police? 3 You gave her that authority; didn't 4 you? 5 Tell me what you mean. Α. 6 Didn't you give Mary Bungo the .7 ο. authority to decide, make the final 8 decision, on how the Pennsylvania 9 State Police will be organized and 10 function? 11 $N \circ .$ 12 Α. Are you sure about that? 13 Q . Yes. Α. 14 Well, do you ever get 15 presented with changes for 16 Pennsylvania State Police 17 regulations? 18 Yes. Α. 19 How do you process those? 20 don't care about the rest of the 21 organization, I want to know how 22 Colonel Evanko processes them. 23 I will get them in final 24 format signed off by either the 25

```
246
   appropriate deputy or all three
1
   deputies, review it and if I agree
2
   with it, sign it.
3
           Mary Bungo doesn't have the
4
   authority to make that decision for
5
   you?
6
           She has the authority of the
   Α.
7
   deputy commissioners have already
8
    signed off on it and I'm not
9
    available to auto pen it.
10
           Now, after the three have
11
    signed off on it, then it comes to
12
    you?
13
            Yes.
    Α.
14
            What if it goes back to R&D
15
    for some kind of change, do you
1.6
    double-check it?
17
            Do I double-check it before it
18
    comes back or after it comes back?
19
            Well, here's my question.
                                        Ι£
20
    the three commissioners or three
21
    deputies --- you have three deputies?
22
            Yes, I do.
     Α.
23
            They're lieutenant colonels?
24
     Q.
            That's correct.
 25
     Α.
```

247 If they sign off on an R&D proposal, do they have the authority Q. 1 2 to send it back without you seeing 3 it? 4 Yes, they do. Α. 5 Which one of them does? 6 Q. It would depend on whose 7 Α. jurisdiction it fell under. If it 8 were an operational decision, Deputy 9 of Operations, or staff, Lieutenant 10 Colonel Hikus. Primarily it would be 11 Lieutenant Colonel Hikus 12 responsibility because the Bureau of 13 Research and Development falls under 14 his authority. 15 Now, if they go back and they 16 change it and then it comes back to 1.7 you, you review it? Or does Mary 18 Bungo review it? 19 If I make a change to it? Α. 20 Yes. Q. 21 Then it would come back to me. Α. 22 Who's Sharon? Do you know who 23 Sharon is in Research and 24 Development? 25

```
248
             is probably the secretary
           Ιt
   Α.
   to the Director of Bureau Research
1
2
   and Development.
3
           Are you familiar with AR-1?
   Q.
4
           Yes, I am.
   Α.
5
           When's the last time it was
    Q.
6
    changed, that you know of?
7
           I know from these proceedings
8
    that it was changed within the past
9
    year.
10
            Well, let's forget these
    0.
11
                  What do you know of
                                          its
    proceedings.
12
    being changed excluding these
13
    proceedings?
14
            I don't of any other than
15
     through these proceedings.
16
            Colonel, didn't you sign a
17
     change order or did you sign a change
 18
     order regarding AR-1, adding AR-1.102
 19
     subsection C? Did you add that?
 20
             What is it?
 21
             It has to do with chain of
 22
     command.
 23
             No, I did not.
 24
             Who did, sir?
      0.
 25
```

249 It was auto-pen need. Α. 1 On your authority? Q. 2 $N \circ .$ Α. 3 Whose authority? Q. 4 The three deputy Α. 5 commissioners. 6 If that regulation up for a 7 change was sent back, was resubmitted on January 19th, '01 to R&D and then 8 9 received for corrections back to R&D 10 on February 12th, '01, resubmitted to 11 the front office on February 22nd, 12 '01 and then sent back signed with a 13 repo order on February 27, '01, as 14 you sit here today, you'd have to 15 say, Mr. Bailey, I really don't know 16 anything about that. You don't 17 really know; do you? 18 I don't recall knowing 19 anything about that other than 20 through these procedures. 21 Sir, was AR-101 subsection C 22 required for accreditation purposes 23 for the --- sorry, sir. Sometimes I 24 get saved from my own question. 25

250 Colonel Evanko, I believe we've had some representations from somebody in 1. this litigation, I'm not sure who at 2 this stage, that subsection C of 3 AR-1.102 was needed for accreditation 4 5 purposes having to do with the National Group. I'm pretty familiar 6 with that. I've worked on that quite 7 a bit. I don't know of that need. 8 9 Can you help me? 10 I don't know of that need Α. 11 either. 12 You have a reputation nationally, do you not, and certainly ο. 13 14 in Pennsylvania for being very 15 concerned about PSP credentials and about their accreditation to come up 16 17 to high standards? I think you're well known for that; is that fair to 18 19 say? 20 I think the department is well 21 known, I don't know if I'm well known 22 for it. 23 I think you are, I think it's 24 to your credit. But the point is, 25

251 and let's forget you, the department is well known, even nationally, for 1 2 wanting to meet very high accreditation standards to be known 3 as proficient and efficient and 4 highly qualified, that kind of thing, 5 as a law enforcement agency; isn't 6 7 that fair to say? 8 I think that's fair to say. And isn't it fair to say that 9 it's one of your top priorities, one 10 11 of your top concerns, because 12 obviously it means a great deal 1.3 about the quality of the State 14 Police. Isn't that fair to say? 15 It's important to me, my top concern is the safety of my troopers. 16 17 All right. But secondary to ο. 18 the safety of the troopers, that 19 quality control image, credentials, 2.0 capability, those things are high 21 standards, you set; right? 22 I would say that they're in 23 the top group of what I expect. 24 so you don't know of Q. 25

252 subsection C being an accreditation 1 requirement? 2 I don't know. Now, subsection C, do you know Α. 3 Q . 4 I'm talking about? what From you describing it and 5 6 from these actions. Okay. Now, sir, I'm going to 7 ask a series of questions. I want to 8 let you know where I'm going right 9 now because they're very, very 10 important to this litigation as the 11 Plaintiff sees it. And they're going 12 to have to do a subsection C and 13 they're going to have to do with this 14 process of changing and approving 15 AR-1, approving changes to it; okay? 16 17 Now, I want to be very careful of some of the dates and some of the 18 19 When did sequences of events here. you first become aware of subsection 20 21 Was it during this litigation? C ? 22 Yes. Α. 23 Does Ms. Bungo or anyone in your office give you a list at some Q. 24 25

253 time --- I used to be auditor general, I used to be a congressman. 1 2 I used to --- my people, from time to 3 time, were authorized to do different things on a daily basis and provided 4 5 me with a list of things that we 6 of our office product. I assume, as 7 a Commissioner of the Pennsylvania 8 State Police, you are told at 9 periodic times, daily, weekly, 10 whatever, Colonel, we did this, you 11 authorized this, you authorized that, 12 because you can't obviously, sir, 13 can't do everything. The fact is you 14 just can't do everything and 15 micromanage everything in a day; 16 correct? 17 That's correct. Α. 18 Do you get a list from staff Q. 19 on what you authorize or what you do 20 on like a daily or weekly or monthly 21 basis? 22 No. Α. 23 Do you sit down and talk with 24 Mary Bungo about what goes out under 25

254 your signature? 1 I sit down and talk not only 2 her, but also the deputy 3 commissioners on a whole host of 4 things. 5 Did Colonel Coury tell you 6 about subsection C before you heard 7 about it in this litigation? 8 This is the first time I heard 9 about it, during this litigation. 10 Okay. So the answer is that Q. 11 none of the lieutenant colonels, the 12 staff people, the three top staff 13 people told you about it and you 14 heard about it first, subsection C, 15 in this litigation? 16 That is a correct statement. Α. 17 Colonel Evanko, would you be 18 kind enough, sir, to look at this 19 document for me? As soon as your 20 attorneys are done, take a moment to 21 look at it. 22 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 23 In fact, while they're 24 looking can we suspend for one 25

255 minute so I can get some 1 water? 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: 3 It's 2:32 p.m., we're 4 going to suspend and we're 5 going to change tapes. 6 SHORT BREAK TAKEN 7 MR. SOLOMON: 8 2:37, back on record, 9 tape three. 10 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 11 Let the record show 12 we're back on the ---. 13 VIDEOGRAPHER: 14 It's 2:39 p.m., new 15 tape, on March 27th, 2002, 16 the deposition of Mr. Evanko. 17 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 18 Colonel, I just showed you and 19 your attorneys have looked at a 20 multi-page document. 21 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 22 We need it marked. 23 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 24 Is it fair to say that you 25 ο.

256 don't know what ---? 1 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 2 Before he answers any 3 questions, we want the exhibit 4 marked. 5 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 6 Let me finish. 7 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 8 Colonel, don't answer 9 until the exhibit is marked. 10 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 11 Let me finish. 12 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 13 Is it fair to say that you ο. 14 don't know what this document is? 15 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 16 Do not answer until the 17 document has been marked. 18 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 19 Colonel Evanko, we've been 20 given a document that indicates that 21 you did an e-mail to a gentleman 22 named Mark Campbell; is that correct? 23 Yes, I gave that to you. 24 Α. And it says one, two, three, 25 Q.

```
257
   fourth paragraph down, I am
1
   transferring Captain Darrell Ober
2
   effective 28 January 2000; do you
3
   remember that?
4
           Yes, I do.
   Α.
5
           Why were you telling Mark
   Q.
6
   Campbell?
7
           Because I was keeping him up
8
    to date with preparations for the
9
    National Governors' Association. And
10
    I had told him that I was going to
11
    send somebody out to assist Major
12
    Zipinka in the planning for that
13
    event because it was so big.
14
            Okay. So you had discussed
15
    Captain Ober with Mark Campbell?
16
            I told him that I was going to
17
    send Captain Ober out there to help
18
    Major Zipinka.
19
            Did he know who Captain Ober
     0.
 20
     was?
 21
             I'm not sure that he did.
     Α.
 22
             Was he interested in who was
 23
     Ο.
     going out there?
 24
             I don't know if he was
     Α.
 25
```

258 interested in so much as I was just 1 trying to keep him up to date. 2 Well, did he ever express an 3 interest in the kind of person you 4 wanted out there or what you wanted 5 them to do? 6 No. 7 Α. Well, you said you're Q. 8 transferring Captain Ober out there, 9 he knew who Captain Ober was? 10 He probably did because I Α. 11 would have talked to him about 12 somebody going out there to assist 13 and that was probably going to be 14 Captain Ober. 15 Well, you talked to him about 16 Captain Ober early on, hadn't you, 17 when the issue came up concerning the 18 FBI probe? 19 I probably did use both 20 Α. Captain Ober's name and Lieutenant 21 Colonel Hikus. 22 Now, have you asked Mr. 23 Campbell whether or not you could or 24 should investigate the matter? 25

259 No, I did not. 1 Α. 2 So your testimony is that Mr. Q. Campbell provided no input as to 3 4 whether or not this matter should be 5 investigated? 6 No, he did not provide any 7 input. Did you find out at some point 8 that Mr. Hikus had talked to somebody 9 10 in the Governor's Office about this? 11 Α. Through his testimony, I did. 12 And you didn't know about it, 13 I think you testified earlier today that you didn't know about it before 14 15 that? 16 That is correct. Α. 17 Q. Do you think it was 18 inappropriate for him to do that? 19 Α. Before he talked to me? 20 Q . Yes. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. So he should not have talked 23 to Mary Woolly before he talked to 24 you; right? 25 Α. Yes.

260 1 Now, do you know whether Mary Q . 2 Woolly had told Mr. Campbell anything 3 about the discussions that she had with Mr. Hikus? Do you know whether 4 5 Mary Woolly told Mr. Campbell 6 anything about any conversations she 7 may have had with Mr. Hikus? 8 I do not know. Have you talked with Mr. 9 Campbell since then? 10 I'm sure that I have talked to 11 12 him since then. 13 Have you talked to him since 14 then about this matter? 15 Α. No, I have not. 16 ο. Have you talked to him since then about Colonel Hikus' discussions 17 18 with Mary Woolly? 19 Have I talked to him about the 20 discussions with Woolly? 21 Ο. Sure. 22 Α. No, I have not. 23 Q. Do you know whether Woolly and 24 Campbell get along? 25 Α. No, I do not.

261 1 0. Do you know why ---? 2 Α. I would imagine they do. 3 Q. Why? 4 Α. Because they work together. 5 Ο. Do you and Hikus get along? 6 Α. Yes, we do. Well, have you sat down and 7 taken Mr. Hikus to task for going to 8 9 Mary Woolly? 10 That only happened a couple of 11 days ago, that's the first that I've 12 become aware of it. You haven't had time to sit 13 14 down with him and discuss it? 15 Α. I have not had time to sit 16 down with him and discuss it. 17 Q. Do you plan to sit down with 18 him and discuss it? 19 Α. I'm not sure what I'm going to 20 do. 21 Q. Are you going to discipline him for that? 22 I'm not sure what I'm going to 23 Α. 24 in relation to that. dо 25 Is that not a violation of Q.

262 what you have been concerned about in 1 this case, i.e., a circumvention of 2 the chain of command? 3 4 Α. Yes, it is. So Colonel Hikus circumvented 5 the chain of command by reporting a 6 7 matter of public concern to Mary 8 Woolly in the Governor's Office; am I 9 correct? 10 Before he advised me, yes, 11 sir. Before he advised you, that's 12 13 correct? 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 Q. Now, what motivated him to do 16 that; do you know? 17 I have no idea. Α. 18 Is he a supporter of yours? Q. 19 Α. What do you mean a supporter? 20 Sir, I've been around politics a long time and I think you're a 21 22 professional. Lieutenant colonels 23 are chosen for the Pennsylvania State 24 Police not by you but by the 25 Governor; am I correct?

263 They are appointed by the 1 Α. Governor but selected by me. 2 3 0. So you selected Hikus? It was my recommendation to 4 the Chief of Staff. It was my 5 invitation for Colonel Hikus to 6 7 become involved in the competition 8 for the job and the interview process 9 for the job. 10 Now, do you have no idea why Colonel Hikus would betray you and go 11 12 to Mary Woolly in the Governor's 13 Office about such an extremely sensitive matter and not tell you 14 first? Because it is a betrayal; is 15 16 it not? 17 I don't know if it's a 18 betrayal or not. I don't know what 19 was in his mind. I don't know why he would tell someone outside the 20 21 department before he told me. 22 Do you have a recollection of 23 signing a change order for AR-1 on or about February of 2001? 24 25 No, I do not. Α.

264 Do you know if more than one 1 change order was signed on your 2 3 behalf? The change orders for 4 regulations are signed all the time, 5 so I don't know. 6 So you wouldn't know? 7 ο. I don't know. 8 Do you know if there was a 9 0. Change 68 and a Change 66? 10 I don't know. 11 Α. Have you ever had occasion to 12 Q. have the ink smear on one of your 13 14 signatures on a document that was a 15 year old, if you can recollect? No, I cannot recollect one. 16 Α. Can I --- the document that 17 you have there, I don't know how the 18 19 young lady has that marked. I think it's Number One. 20 Exhibit Number One? 21 Α. 22 Yes, sir. Can I ask you some Ο. 23 questions about Number One? 24 Yes, sir. Α. 25 You don't know anything, I

```
265
  1
     don't assume, about Special Order
  2
     99-102 dated October 7, 1999?
  3
             I'd have to read it and look
 4
        it
          to tell you.
 5
             You know you'd probably be
 6
     talking about hundreds and hundreds
 7
     and hundreds of those kinds of
 8
     things. Isn't that ---?
 9
            There's an awful lot of them.
     Α.
10
     Q.
            Yes.
                   And this one purports to
    be a subject about a training
11
12
    opportunity; right?
13
    Α.
            Exhibit One does, yes, sir.
14
            And it purports to be a
15
    it's routed to the Director of the
16
    Bureau of Personnel; right?
17
    Α.
            Yes, it is.
18
            And it purports to be from,
    and then there's an initial there,
19
    Captain Darrell G. Ober; right?
20
21
    Α.
            No, sir.
22
    Q.
            What is that?
23
    Α.
            It is a typewritten name but
24
    with no initial.
25
    Q.
           Okay. And underneath that
```

```
266
    there's a thing that says reference;
 1
    right?
 2
 3
    Α.
            Yes, sir.
            And there's subsection A;
 4
    right?
 5
            There is a subsection A which
 6
    Α.
    is the reference, the special order.
 7
            To the special order. And the
 8
    0.
    enclosure is a résumé of
 9
    qualifications; right?
10
            Yes, sir.
11
    Α.
            And then it says on your
12
    document, I request to be considered
13
14
    for the subject training; right?
15
    Α.
            Yes, sir.
            And then it lists the
16
17
    requested information is as follows
    and it lists some information and it
18
19
    says, enclosure one is a résumé of my
20
    qualifications, but there's nothing
    attached here?
21
22
           That is correct.
    Α.
23
            And in the lower left-hand
    ο.
24
    corner of that document, there's
25
    nothing there; is there?
```

267 No, sir. Α. 1 Give me just one minute. 2 Q . Colonel, do you know someone named 3 Becky Brown? 4 Yes, I do. 5 Α. What's she do? 6 ο. She's the administrative 7 officer for the executive offices. 8 Do you think you'd know her 9 writing? 10 I have no idea what her 11 12 writing is. Okay. 13 Q. Mr. Bailey, can I 14 Α. 15 ask --- you'd ask if you can ask me about that. Can I ask you to go back 16 to this Exhibit Number Two? 17 Yes. Just a minute. Okay. 18 Q . 19 Go ahead. 20 Where it is page, I think your Α. 21 page 7. 22 Okay. Q. 23 Under number 2? Α. 24 Yes. Ο. And the second sentence under 25 Α.

268 that. The first sentence is, was I 1 subject of investigation or any of 2 lieutenant colonels. 3 Wait a minute. I'm not with 4 5 you. Yes. And right underneath that it 6 if you were I would have been 7 says, told. 8 Right. 9 Q. Sure your name was never 10 mentioned. 11 Yes. 12 0. When you were asking me about 13 Α. just want to make sure that --- I 14 that I have the record clear. When 15 you were asking me about that 16 statement, if you were I would have 17 been told that it's accurate and 18 clear that you understand what I was 19 referring there. This is Rick 20 Mascara, the SAC's response to my 21 22 question, was I a subject of investigation or of any lieutenants 23 colonels. And he said to me, if you 24 25 were I, Rick Mascara, would have been

270 time the way that I took it if I 1 understand what we're talking about 2 3 here, and I had questioned you rather 4 intensely on this area, is that it would have been improper for him to 5 6 tell you. And your response to 7 me --- see, I don't think it makes 8 any difference what Rick meant by Because see, as to Rick, this 10 is hearsay. I was interested in what 11 your response was. And my 12 understanding what your response was 13 --- I had questioned you about 14 whether or not that would have been 15 improper. If Rick meant by that ---16 if I had heard of that, I would have told you putting loyalty above all 17 things. Remember when I asked you 18 19 that? 20 Α. Yes, I do. 21 Okay. If I remember 22 correctly, you didn't indicate to me that that would have been wrong 23 24 unless there was this high degree of 25 probable cause that implicated you

271 1 personally. That's my memory; am 2 correct? 3 Yes. And I just wanted to Α. 4 sure that you understood that when it said, if you were I would 5 6 have been told that. That is 7 Mascara's words. Oh, I understand, that's 8 9 Mascara's words. 10 Α. Okay. 11 I wasn't interested in that. 12 I was interested in what you meant by 13 it and I don't think anything's 14 changed. What you meant by that, I understand it correctly --- you 15 16 better make sure this is clear now 17 because it's an important point to 18 us. As I understand what you meant 19 about this, it was a case of unless 20 there was this high degree of 21 probable cause about you, in other 22 words, implicating you personally, a well developed --- you know, naming 23 24 you, that you were a target, you 25 Colonel Evanko you should have been

272 told. 1 2 Or the Commissioner. Or the Commissioner. 3 Q. That you 4 should have been told? 5 Α. Yes. And then I questioned you 6 7 about an ambiguity about a group, that sort of thing. And you told 8 9 again unless it's about you, you 10 should have been told? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And I questioned you about 13 what if it could've involved you, you said unless it's this probable 14 15 cause, which I defined as a legal 16 standard meaning I can bring charges 17 against you, you should've been told. 18 If I remember correctly, sir, you 19 agreed with me. Now if you want to 20 change it you can change it 21 The only thing I wanted to 22 make sure is that you understood what 23 that ---. 24 I don't think it makes any difference. Mr. Mascara isn't around 25

273 for some reason. I don't know where 1 he is. Do you know where he is? 2 I have no idea. 3 Α. When is the last time you 4 Ο. talked to him? 5 Probably that day. 6 Α. No, you talked to him the next 7 Q. day; remember? 8 The day that ---. Α. 9 Or later that afternoon, you 10 Ο. talked to him again. 11 May 20th of 1999. 12 Α. Thanks. I appreciate Okay. 13 the clarification. Who is Ron Wilt 14 (phonetic)? 15 He is the project manager for 16 Α. the Instant Information Management 17 18 System. Was Captain Ober working with 19 Q. the IIMS project as late as April 20 21 6th, 2000? I don't think so. 22 Α. How about the end of March 23 Q. 2000? Was he still working with them 24 then? 25

```
274
           I don't know.
   Α.
1
           How about February 2000?
2
   0.
   he working with them then?
3
           I'm not sure.
   Α.
4
           Give me just a second, sir.
5
   Have you ever seen this document
6
    here?
7
                   ATTORNEY GUIDO:
8
                   Can you hand that to
9
           the court reporter to mark?
10
                   COURT REPORTER:
11
                   Marking it as Exhibit
12
            Three.
13
                    (Deposition Exhibit
14
                    Three marked
15
                    for identification.)
16
            No, I have not.
17
    Α.
    BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
18
            You don't know what that is?
19
    Ο.
            I know what it is but I have
20
    Α.
    not seen it.
21
            Does it say the reason why
22
    ο.
    Ober wasn't given that assignment?
23
            Your request, part of this
24
    reads, your request was not received
25
```

275 in the Bureau of Personnel by the 1 October 22nd, 1999 deadline. 2 Any facts known to you that 3 would indicate that that is false on 4 behalf of Mr. Coury? 5 No, sir. Α. 6 7 Or an error? Ο. No, sir. In fact, it's not 8 even initialed off by Lieutenant 9 Colonel Coury. 10 Who is it initialed off by? 11 Ο. It appears to be Robert Einsel 12 Α. (phonetic). 13 Who is Mr. Einsel? Ο. 14 Director of the Bureau of 15 Α. Training and Education. 16 Who does it purport to be 17 from? 18 Captain Darrell G. Ober. Α. 19 Who is it to? 20 ο. Lieutenant Colonel Thomas 21 Α. Coury. 22 And who's it from in terms of 23 Q. the response? Let me see it for just 24 a second. Did it indicate --- well, 25

276 wait a minute. I'll give it back 1 You're not Just a second. 2 suggesting it didn't come from 3 Lieutenant Colonel Coury and that 4 Einsel made this up or something; 5 you? 6 I'm suggesting --- I'm saying 7 Α. that this is signed off on by 8 somebody else other than Colonel 9 Coury. It has his initials, slash, 10 and it appears to be R.E. 11 Well, is it possible that ο. 12 came from Mr. Coury to him and then 13 he initialed it and gave it to 14 somebody? 15 My experience in this is that 16 Major Einsel would have probably have 17 been acting or sitting in for that 18 day and came across his desk as he 19 sat there and signed --- I'm sorry. 20 That's okay. We'll talk to 21 Mr. Coury about it and let him see if 22 he can explain it or talk about it. 23 The point is that you don't know 24 anything about it; right? 25

```
277
           That is correct.
1
   Α.
           What was the --- wasn't
                                     it
2
    Ο.
   Major Wertz who made a request of you
3
    to do the transfer move?
4
           Pardon me?
    Α.
5
           Was there some kind of
6
    0.
    relationship here between troopers or
7
    officers, do you know, a personal
8
    type of thing?
9
            I'm not sure what you're
10
    referring to?
11
            Well, involving Transue.
                                        Ιs
12
    0.
    that a she by the way?
13
            It is a female, yes.
14
    Α.
            It is a female.
15
    Q.
            She is a female.
    Α.
16
            Okay. All right. Now, she,
17
    Q.
    the female ---.
18
            Captain Transue is a female.
19
    Α.
            Captain Transue is a female.
20
    Well, who did you say first told you
21
    about Transue, to maybe put Transue
22
    out on this RNC thing?
23
            It would have been Lieutenant
24
    Colonel Wescott.
25
```

278 Did Major Wertz play any role 1 Q. in any of that? 2 I think he requested her 3 assignment or he requested an 4 assignment of an individual to assist 5 him fulltime in the preparation of 6 the RNC. 7 Did Captain Transue have a Q. 8 love partner or a love friend or 9 something that you know of, with 10 anybody? 11 Not that I know of. I don't 12 Α. know her that well. 13 I'm not suggesting you do, 14 and I didn't mean to imply that. 15 sir. But do you know of her friendships 16 with anyone? 17 No, I do not. 18 Α. And I don't mean that in a 19 Q. negative way. Transue was --- how 20 long before that RCN get together was 21 she transferred? 22 One year. 23 Α. Could it have been a year 24 25 a half?

279 No, I thought it was a year. 1 Α. BRIEF INTERRUPTION 2 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 3 Remember Colonel Wescott 4 Q. testifying about being out in San 5 Diego? 6 Yes, I do. 7 Α. Did he discuss that with you? 8 ο. About going out there? Yes. 9 Α. Yes. Did he discuss anything 10 about the discussions and talks he 11 had out there? 12 He would have told me that the Α. 13 highway patrol and the San Diego 14 Police, I believe it was, made a 15 recommendation that you have to have 16 a full team of people working in this 17 fulltime, not just part time, because 18 it's so massive. 19 Who did he talk to? Was it 20 Arizona or Southern California? Was 21 it Wertz that he talked to out there 22 about Ober? 23 Pardon me? 24 Α. He talked to Ober about 25 Ο.

280 investigating Ober to somebody out 1 there. Do you remember? 2 In San Diego? 3 Α. Yes. Or in Arizona someplace Q. 4 or something? 5 I think Colonel Wescott talked Α. 6 about talking to Major Wertz out west 7 somewhere. 8 That's what I remember. I 9 Q . just can't remember the details. 10 you ever take Pennsylvania State 11 Police forces down to Gettysburg? 12 No, sir. Α. 13 Did you ever ride the 14 ο. battlefield down there with anybody? 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 What was that about? No PSP 17 Q. horses down there? 18 I don't think I've ever taken Α. 19 a Pennsylvania State Police horse 20 down there. 21 Okay. Do you remember Colonel 22 Coury, Lieutenant Colonel Coury going 23 to some FBI classes and then hosting 2.4 an event up at the headquarters or 25

281 something? 1 You'd have to be a little more 2 specific. 3 Purchase of a barbecue machine 4 Ο. or a barbecue device or something. 5 Do you know anything about that? 6 I know that several years ago Α. 7 one was purchased by the academy for 8 9 events. Do you know if that was done Q. 10 for Colonel Coury? 11 I don't believe so, but I'm Α. 12 not positive. 13 Okay. I think we talked about Q. 14 the swimming events. You don't know 15 about any swimming events out there, 16 or lifeguards. I was wondering about 17 --- I don't remember clearly on this, 18 maybe you can help me. You were 19 present for Mr. Wescott's deposition; 20 21 right? Yes, I was. Α. 22 I thought you testified ---23 may be mistaken, and I want your 24 attorney to correct me if they 25

282 remember. I thought he testified 1 that o one would ever be promoted 2 order to accommodate that National 3 Governors' Association meeting for 4 that purpose, that no one would ever 5 be promoted for that purpose. Do you 6 have a recollection of him testifying 7 to that? 8 No, I don't. 9 Α. All right. Then I withdraw 10 Ο. the question, sir. Strike the 11 withdrawal of that question and let 12 me rephrase it. Do you remember if 13 questioned him about that? 14 No, I don't remember if you 15 Α. did or not. 16 You don't remember whether 17 questioned him about that or whether 18 he responded; right? 19 No, sir, I don't. 20 Α. By the way, where does Mr. 21 Q. Young reside? 22 In the Philadelphia area. 23 Α. Do you know whether Mr. Wertz 24 and Mr. Transue date at all? 25

283 I do not know. Α. 1 So you don't know whether 2 bottom line, you don't know whether 3 Mr. Wertz had any personal interest? 4 And I'm not indicating that it's 5 improper or that we aren't all human. 6 All I'm asking is whether or not you 7 know Mr. Wertz if he had any kind of 8 personal interest in the transfer of 9 10 Ms. Transue? No, I don't. I don't know Α. 11 either of them personally that well. 12 Okay. I'm just asking you if 13 Ο. you have any knowledge of that. And 14 another thing that's confused me, 15 Colonel, and maybe you can help me. 16 When we had Mr. Pudleiner (phonetic) 17 in, we were asking him different 18 questions about the NGA. And when I 19 questioned you earlier about it, you 20 indicated that the NGA was actually 21 centered up in State College; right? 22 23 Yes, sir. Α. Why was Ober being sent to 24 Washington to help with the NGA up 25

284 State College? I don't mean any 1 I've been up to State College 2 recently about an hour and 15 minutes 3 from Harrisburg, roads weren't as 4 good then, I don't think. But you 5 know, still why would he go out to 6 Washington to help with something up 7 in State College? 8 That's where the area 9 commander is headquartered and that's 10 where the plans were being made. 11 Well, was there anybody 12 ο. assigned to the NGA from like Altoona 13 or Blairsville or anything? 14 I would imagine there were 15 Α. several commanders assigned to that 16 operation because it was so big. 17 But you needed Darrell out in 18 Washington, PA; right? Little 19 Washington as its called. 20 To assist Major Zipinka Α. 21 fulltime, yes. 22 Do you know whether Mr. 23 Zipinka had an immediate need for 24 Ober out there? I mean like 25

285 1 vesterday? I know that Colonel Wescott 2 wanted somebody out there as soon as 3 he could get somebody out there. 4 Wescott ever tell you why? 5 ο. Because Seattle had exploded 6 Α. with the World Trade Organization 7 demonstrations. State of emergency 8 declared curfew, National Guard 9 called out and he didn't want to 10 make sure that state police reacted 11 the same way as Seattle, wanted to be 12 prepared for 13 Okay. Was Mr. Ober at one 14 time, on a book committee, some kind 15 of a book committee? 16 Yes, he was. Α. 17 Why did you take him off? 18 ο. I think Lieutenant Colonel 19 Α. Coury did that. 20 I may be mistaken, sir, but I 21 think you testified that you did. 22 You're testifying now that he did? 23 Yeah, I think he did. I think 24 he's the one that --- I probably made 25

286 the decision and then he drafted the 1 correspondence or he made the 2 decision and I agreed with it. 3 of the two, I'm just not sure. 4 Okay. Can you tell us why? 5 Q. I don't remember the Α. 6 circumstances. I think as they 7 existed Lieutenant Colonel Hikus was 8 continuing to ask for additional 9 people assigned to the IIMS project, 10 he wanted people assigned full-time. 11 There was a continual request of 12 officers and troopers and employees 13 there and they wanted those people 14 full time. I think that was the 15 reason. 16 So the reason to take him off 17 the book committee was he was too 18 19 busy? I think that's what it was. 20 Α. IIMS was very important and 21 about --- well, naturally you asked 22 Captain Ober about that; right? 2.3 mean, the book committee is sort 24 extracurricular so to speak; isn't 25

287 it? 1 I think it's during work 2 3 hours. Okay. Of course, you 4 0. consulted with Captain Ober, you sat 5 him down and talked with him about 6 that; right? 7 No, I didn't. 8 Α. Well, when did you take him 9 Q. off the book committee? 10 I don't remember. 11 Α. No, not you. If it was you or 12 Q. Mr. Coury together or Mr. Coury and 13 you together or however it went, you 14 don't know when you did that? 15 No, I don't. 16 Α. Of course, you're putting Ober 17 back on the book committee; aren't 18 19 you? I don't care if he goes back 20 Α. on the book committee if the head of 21 the book committee wants him back on 22 23 there. You consulted with the head of 24 0. the book committee when you took him 25

```
288
    off; didn't you Colonel?
1
           I don't believe that I did.
2
    Maybe Colonel Coury did.
3
           Well, Colonel, why don't you
4
    ο.
    tell us when the book's going to e
5
    done, when it's going to be printed
6
    or when that project finishes up?
7
    Α.
           2005.
8
           To coincide with the 100-year
    Ο.
9
    history in one of the finest state
10
    police organizations in the entire
11
    nation that prides itself on
12
    integrity and openness; right, sir?
13
           For the 100th anniversary of
14
    Α.
    the state police.
15
           2005; right, Colonel Evanko?
16
    Ο.
           That is correct.
17
    Α.
           Sir, what I would like to do
18
    Q.
    is ask your erstwhile legal eagles if
19
    we can take a 10-minute break.
20
    think I might be able to shorten up
21
    what I have to do if I have a chance
22
    to reorganize some of this material.
23
    Because Syndi wants us out
24
    here --- we all want to be out of
25
```

. .

289 here by five o'clock at the latest. 1 I know the stenographer does. So 2 could we take ten? And would you 3 mind giving me --- shutting off the 4 microphones and giving me this room a 5 little bit or a room to work in with 6 my client? I can go somewhere else. 7 it easier for me to go somewhere 8 else, or you can just shut this stuff 9 You know what, I don't want to 10 be in here with the stuff. Can you 11 give me a little room here somewhere, 12 Colonel, I can sit 13 There are two rooms available 14 Α. right here. 15 Okay. 16 Q. VIDEOGRAPHER: 17 It is 3:18 p.m. and 18 we're suspending. 19 SHORT BREAK TAKEN 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: 21 It is 3:33 p.m., 22 3-27-02, and we're continuing 23 with the deposition of Colonel 24 Evanko. 25

290

BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. Colonel, let me ask about here, find the amended complaint. I just want to go through here by paragraph and read some allegations and give you the opportunity to expound on the reasons why you feel that they're not correct.

In paragraph 20 --- and bear in mind that this complaint's composed by myself, Mr. Ober's attorney, and that pleading rules under Federal Rules under Civil Procedure 8 is as to notice pleading requirements, which is just called conclusary pleading. But there are a lot of inter-related fact allegations here and I want to run them by you. It says on or about September 1998 that Darrell Ober was one of the brightest and best, a rising star the Pennsylvania State Police. you dispute that or that he had a very good-looking career there and was moving along quite well? Could

291 you say that, or was he a 1 subperformer? 2 I think he was comparable to 3 the other captains that we have on 4 the state police. 5 Okay. It says in here on May 6 ο. 2nd, 1998, Captain Ober was named as 7 Director of Internal Affairs Division 8 and later in early September he was 9 named as acting Bureau Director of 10 the Pennsylvania State Police of 11 Bureau of Professional 12 Responsibility. Does that square 13 with your recollection? I'm sorry. 14 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 15 Could you just give us 16 some paragraph reference? 17 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 18 Sure. Paragraph 21. 19 20 I'm sorry. ATTORNEY GUIDO: 21 Thank you. 22 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 23 Does that square with your 24 recollection, sir? 25

292 There was a period of 1 Α. working days that Captain Ober was 2 the Director of Bureau of 3 Professional Responsibility, but I 4 don't know the dates. 5 Okay. Now, it says this 6 Q. career path is customarily associated 7 in the state police with advancement 8 for the various highest ranks in the 9 organization including commissioner. 10 And I've looked at your own career 11 and researched on this case, it seems 12 to be more or less consistent the 13 same way. Is that a good career 14 path, at least, the kind of 15 experience up until October of 16 that Captain Ober had for advancement 17 or movement? 18 I would not characterize 19 Α. assignment to BPR in that way. 20 21 Okay. Q. I would look at assignments to 22 Α. for example, Colonel Walp was in 23 LCE, Lieutenant Colonel Hikus was 24 LCE. LCE. 25

293 And you'd look at LCE as 1 Q. place where a captain filling a 2 lieutenant's position would be an 3 indication of skill and ability? 4 For the three months that he 5 was there until a captain's vacancy 6 opened up in LCE. 7 Now, in paragraph 31 an Q. 8 allegation is made that I think you 9 responded to at least part of this. 10 On being told that the investigation 11 by Plaintiff and Lieutenant Colonel 12 Hikus, Defendant Evanko exploded in a 13 fit of rage. Exploded in a fit of 14 rage, is that a description of what 15 you did? 16 No, it is not. The captain 17 has used at various times, irate, 18 upset, angry and this verbiage here 19 and that is not accurate. 20 He told Hikus and the Ο. 21 Plaintiff, Darrell Ober, that quote I 22 will have Louie Freeh, Director of 23 the FBI on the phone tonight and have 24 the agents involved transferred by 25

294 tomorrow. Now, that's Captain Ober's 1 allegation. You heard the testimony 2 Lieutenant Colonel Hikus. If I 3 understand your testimony, you didn't 4 say anything like that. 5 That is not true. 6 Α. So these two Pennsylvania 7 Ο. State Police officers, a lieutenant 8 colonel and a captain, have agreed 9 upon a lie and that lie is reflected 10 in this complaint? 11 I'm saying that I never said 12 Α. that and I didn't hear Lieutenant 13 Colonel Hikus say that either. And I 14 didn't say it. 15 All right. What do you 16 remember Lieutenant Colonel Hikus 17 saying then? 18 I'm not sure what it was but Α. 19 it wasn't that. 20 Paragraph 33 says, subsequent 21 to learning about the FBI 22 investigation, Colonel Evanko sought 23 the personal and official approval of 24 the Defendant Mark Campbell, to begin 25

295 an investigation into Captain Ober. 1 is simply Your testimony is that that 2 not accurate or correct; is that fair 3 to say? 4 That is not true. Α. 5 Okay. You don't deny that 6 Ο. Campbell was an assistant to the 7 Pennsylvania --- was an assistant or 8 some sort of high staff position on 9 the Pennsylvania Governor Chief of 10 Staff though, that was true? 11 He was a Deputy Chief of 12 Staff. 13 Deputy Chief of Staff. Okay. 14 Paragraph 34 says that the time that 15 Campbell and Evanko conferred on 16 investigating Ober you've already 17 indicated that --- well, did you and 18 Campbell talk about investigating 19 Ober, number one, or number two, the 20 circumstances surrounding the FBI 21 problem? 22 I would have told Mr. Campbell 23 that I was going to do an 24 administrative inquiry or inquiry 25

296 into the facts of this. That would 1 have been the extent of it. 2 So you wouldn't have said I 3 was going to investigate Hikus or 4 Ober or those fellows or anything 5 like that, you would have told him 6 --- there is a difference between 7 would have and what you remember, but 8 your testimony here today is that you 9 would have told him that you were 10 going to look into the circumstances? 11 That's what I recall talking 12 Α. to him about. 13 That's different. Okay. You Q. 14 do recall talking to him about that 15 and saying that you're going to look 16 into the circumstances? 17 Yes, I do. 18 Α. Now, at that time did you 19 suspect Ober of having committed a 20 wrong at the time you talked to Mark 21 Campbell? 22 Other than going past his 23 major and BPR, I didn't know what to 24 think. 25

297 So the allegation here is that 1 Q. you and Campbell, we're really 2 talking about you now or asking about 3 you now, knew that Ober committed no 4 wrong, had broken no law and had 5 violated no regulation practice or 6 custom in the Pennsylvania State 7 Police. That allegation here 8 inaccurate because you didn't know 9 what had happened and although it may 10 not have been a violation of 11 regulation you felt that Ober had 12 committed a wrong by circumventing 13 Mr. Conley and going to Hikus? 14 Yes, I did. 15 Α. Okay. Do you want to read 16 ο. It is not intended to paragraph 35? 17 inflame or upset you, please. 1.8 not meant to be argumentative. I'm 19 going to read it and I want you to 20 tell me if it's accurate. Paragraph 21 35, nonetheless, in order to punish 22 Ober because he had followed proper 23 PSP procedure, obeyed the law and 24 conducted himself in a spirit, 25

298 law enforcement supportive of proper 1 duties and practices consistent with 2 his oath as opposed to demonstrating 3 blind devotion, obedience and 4 subservience to the personal and 5 political interest and concerns of 6 the Defendants, both Evanko and 7 Campbell, eliminating Campbell from 8 this now by virtue of assuming the 9 information you've given us is 10 accurate. So it would read, Evanko 11 decided to use Ober as an example to 12 PSP Officers and members to show that 13 obedience to the political 14 sensitivity of the Pennsylvania State 15 Police leader and his political 16 mentors is a necessity regardless of 17 what the law may require even if that 18 leader himself is a target or 19 potential target of an official law 20 enforcement agency investigation 21 himself. That is simply untrue? 22 Yes, it is untrue. 23 Α. Okay. Now, under the heading 24 investigation on page 10 of the 25

299 complaint, paragraph 37 reads, 1 investigations such as those done on 2 Captain Ober had the effect of 3 destroying an officer's standing and 4 reputation among his colleagues. Dο 5 you believe that to be true? 6 No, I don't. 7 Α. Hey becomes shunned and is Q. 8 subjected to insults and is 9 ostracized. Do you believe that can 10 happen, or have you ever known it to 11 happen? 12 I do not know it to have No, 13 Α. happened. And I don't believe it 14 happened here. 15 Okay. Why don't you believe 16 ο. it happened here? 17 Because I haven't seen it. 18 Α. So you don't know whether it's 19 Q. happened here. You just haven't seen 20 it? 21 I haven't seen it, and I don't 22 Α. believe it to have happened. 23 All right. Plaintiff was 24 subjected to an unlawful and improper 25

300 investigation. It's fair to say you 1 do not agree with that? 2 That is fair. 3 Α. At the direction of Evanko and 4 Campbell --- Campbell, according to 5 you, didn't order any investigation 6 or approve one but you at least did 7 order an investigation. 8 I ordered an administrative 9 10 inquiry. An administrative inquiry. 11 Q. These investigations were conducted 12 for two unlawful reasons. We know 13 you do not agree with that. You 14 don't have to respond, of course. 15 You've already denied that. 16 first was to learn the breadth and 17 depth of Ober's knowledge about the 18 FBI investigation. Now, was there 19 any purpose in ascertaining Ober's 20 knowledge about the FBI 21 investigation? 22 I wanted to know all of the 23 Α. circumstances from all of the 24 involved parties in this incident. 25

```
274
           I don't know.
1
   Α.
           How about February 2000? Was
   0.
2
   he working with them then?
3
           I'm not sure.
   Α.
           Give me just a second, sir.
    Q.
5
   Have you ever seen this document
6
    here?
7
                   ATTORNEY GUIDO:
8
                   Can you hand that to
9
           the court reporter to mark?
10
                   COURT REPORTER:
11
                   Marking it as Exhibit
12
            Three.
13
                    (Deposition Exhibit
14
                   Three marked
15
                  for identification.)
16
            No, I have not.
17
    Α.
    BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
18
            You don't know what that is?
    Q.
19
            I know what it is but I have
    Α.
20
    not seen it.
21
            Does it say the reason why
22
    Ober wasn't given that assignment?
23
            Your request, part of this
24
    reads, your request was not received
25
```

```
275
   in the Bureau of Personnel by the
1
   October 22nd, 1999 deadline.
2
          Any facts known to you that
3
   would indicate that that is false on
4
   behalf of Mr. Coury?
5
           No, sir.
    Α.
6
           or an error?
7
    Q.
           No, sir. In fact, it's not
8
    even initialed off by Lieutenant
9
    Colonel Coury.
10
           Who is it initialed off by?
    Q.
11
           It appears to be Robert Einsel
    Α.
12
    (phonetic).
13
            Who is Mr. Einsel?
14
            Director of the Bureau of
15
    Α.
    Training and Education.
16
           Who does it purport to be
17
    from?
18
            Captain Darrell G. Ober.
19
    Α.
            Who is it to?
20
    Q.
            Lieutenant Colonel Thomas
    Α.
21
    Coury.
22
            And who's it from in terms of
23
    the response? Let me see it for just
24
    a second. Did it indicate --- well,
25
```

```
276
   wait a minute. I'll give it back
1
                         You're not
    you. Just a second.
2
    suggesting it didn't come from
3
    Lieutenant Colonel Coury and that Mr.
4
    Einsel made this up or something; are
5
    you?
6
           I'm suggesting --- I'm saying
7
    that this is signed off on by
8
    somebody else other than Colonel
9
    Coury. It has his initials, slash,
10
    and it appears to be R.E.
11
           Well, is it possible that
    ο.
12
    came from Mr. Coury to him and then
13
    he initialed it and gave it to
14
    somebody?
15
           My experience in this is that
16
    Major Einsel would have probably have
17
    been acting or sitting in for that
18
    day and came across his desk as he
19
    sat there and signed --- I'm sorry.
20
           That's okay. We'll talk to
21
    Q.
    Mr. Coury about it and let him see if
22
    he can explain it or talk about it.
23
    The point is that you don't know
24
    anything about it; right?
25
```

```
277
           That is correct.
    Α.
1
           What was the --- wasn't
                                     it
2
   Major Wertz who made a request of
                                        you
3
    to do the transfer move?
4
           Pardon me?
    Α.
5
           Was there some kind of
    Q.
б
    relationship here between troopers or
7
    officers, do you know, a personal
8
    type of thing?
9
            I'm not sure what you're
10
    referring to?
11
           Well, involving Transue.
                                        Ιs
    Q.
12
    that a she by the way?
13
            It is a female, yes.
    Α.
14
            It is a female.
15
    Q.
            She is a female.
    Α.
16
            Okay. All right. Now,
17
    ο.
    the female ---.
18
            Captain Transue is a female.
19
    Α.
            Captain Transue is a female.
20
          who did you say first told you
    Well,
21
    about Transue, to maybe put Transue
22
    out on this RNC thing?
23
            It would have been Lieutenant
24
    Colonel Wescott.
25
```

```
278
           Did Major Wertz play any role
1
    Ο.
    in any of that?
2
           I think he requested her
3
    Α.
    assignment or he requested an
4
    assignment of an individual to assist
5
    him fulltime in the preparation of
6
    the RNC.
7
           Did Captain Transue have a
8
    love partner or a love friend or
9
    something that you know of, with
10
    anybody?
11
       Not that I know of. I don't
12
    know her that well.
13
           I'm not suggesting you do,
14
    sir, and I didn't mean to imply that.
15
    But do you know of her friendships
16
    with anyone?
17
           No, I do not.
18
           And I don't mean that in a
19
    negative way. Transue was --- how
20
    long before that RCN get together was
21
    she transferred?
22
           One year.
23
           Could it have been a year and
24
    a half?
25
```

279 No, I thought it was a year. 1 BRIEF INTERRUPTION 2 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 3 Q. Remember Colonel Wescott 4 testifying about being out in San 5 Diego? 6 Yes, I do. 7 Α. Did he discuss that with you? 8 0. About going out there? Yes. 9 Α. Yes. Did he discuss anything 10 about the discussions and talks he 11 had out there? 12 He would have told me that the 13 Α. highway patrol and the San Diego 14 Police, I believe it was, made a 15 recommendation that you have to have 16 a full team of people working in this 17 fulltime, not just part time, because 18 it's so massive. 19 Who did he talk to? Was it 20 Ο. Arizona or Southern California? Was 21 it Wertz that he talked to out there 22 about Ober? 23 Pardon me? 24 Α. He talked to Ober about 25 Q.

```
280
   investigating Ober to somebody out
1
   there. Do you remember?
2
           In San Diego?
   Α.
3
           Yes. Or in Arizona someplace
    O .
4
   or something?
5
           I think Colonel Wescott talked
    Α.
6
    about talking to Major Wertz out west
7
    somewhere.
8
           That's what I remember.
                                      I
9
    just can't remember the details. Did
10
    you ever take Pennsylvania State
11
    Police forces down to Gettysburg?
12
           No, sir.
13
    Α.
           Did you ever ride the
14
    ο.
    battlefield down there with anybody?
15
            Yes, sir.
    Α.
16
            What was that about? No PSP
17
    ο.
    horses down there?
18
            I don't think I've ever taken
19
    Α.
    a Pennsylvania State Police horse
2.0
    down there.
21
            Okay. Do you remember Colonel
22
    Coury, Lieutenant Colonel Coury going
23
    to some FBI classes and then hosting
24
    an event up at the headquarters or
25
```

```
281
   something?
1
          You'd have to be a little more
2
   specific.
3
           Purchase of a barbecue machine
    Ο.
4
   or a barbecue device or something.
5
   Do you know anything about that?
6
           I know that several years ago
7
    one was purchased by the academy for
8
    events.
9
       Do you know if that was done
10
    ο.
    for Colonel Coury?
11
           I don't believe so, but I'm
12
    Α.
    not positive.
13
           Okay. I think we talked about
    Q.
14
    the swimming events. You don't know
15
    about any swimming events out there,
16
    or lifeguards. I was wondering about
17
    --- I don't remember clearly on this,
18
    maybe you can help me. You were
19
    present for Mr. Wescott's deposition;
20
    right?
21
           Yes, I was.
22
    Α.
           I thought you testified --- I
23
    may be mistaken, and I want your
24
    attorney to correct me if they
25
```

```
282
               I thought he testified
    remember.
1
    that o one would ever be promoted in
2
    order to accommodate that National
3
    Governors' Association meeting for
4
    that purpose, that no one would ever
5
    be promoted for that purpose. Do you
6
    have a recollection of him testifying
7
    to that?
8
           No, I don't.
9
    Α.
           All right. Then I withdraw
10
                        Strike the
    the question, sir.
11
    withdrawal of that question and let
12
    me rephrase it. Do you remember if
13
    questioned him about that?
14
           No, I don't remember if you
15
    did or not.
16
           You don't remember whether I
17
    questioned him about that or whether
18
    he responded; right?
19
           No, sir, I don't.
20
    Α.
           By the way, where does Mr.
21
    Young reside?
22
            In the Philadelphia area.
23
    Α.
           Do you know whether Mr. Wertz
24
    and Mr. Transue date at all?
25
```

283 I do not know. Α. 1 So you don't know whether 2 bottom line, you don't know whether 3 Mr. Wertz had any personal interest? 4 And I'm not indicating that it's 5 improper or that we aren't all human. 6 All I'm asking is whether or not you 7 know Mr. Wertz if he had any kind of 8 personal interest in the transfer of 9 Ms. Transue? 1.0 No, I don't. I don't know Α. 11 either of them personally that well. 12 Okay. I'm just asking you if 13 Ο. you have any knowledge of that. And 14 another thing that's confused me, 15 Colonel, and maybe you can help me. 16 When we had Mr. Pudleiner (phonetic) 17 in, we were asking him different 18 questions about the NGA. And when I 19 questioned you earlier about it, you 20 indicated that the NGA was actually 21 centered up in State College; right? 22 Yes, sir. 23 Α. Why was Ober being sent to 24 Washington to help with the NGA up 25

284 State College? I don't mean any 1 I've been up to State College 2 recently about an hour and 15 minutes 3 from Harrisburg, roads weren't as 4 good then, I don't think. But you 5 know, still why would he go out to 6 Washington to help with something up 7 in State College? 8 That's where the area 9 commander is headquartered and that's 1.0 where the plans were being made. 11 Well, was there anybody Q. 12 assigned to the NGA from like Altoona 13 or Blairsville or anything? 14 I would imagine there were 15 several commanders assigned to that 16 operation because it was so big. 17 But you needed Darrell out in 18 ο. Washington, PA; right? Little 19 Washington as its called. 2.0 To assist Major Zipinka 21 fulltime, yes. 22 Do you know whether Mr. 23 Zipinka had an immediate need for 24 Ober out there? I mean like 25

285 yesterday? 1 I know that Colonel Wescott 2 wanted somebody out there as soon as 3 he could get somebody out there. 4 Wescott ever tell you why? Q. 5 Because Seattle had exploded 6 with the World Trade Organization 7 demonstrations. State of emergency 8 declared curfew, National Guard 9 called out and he didn't want to ---10 make sure that state police reacted 11 the same way as Seattle, wanted to be 12 prepared for it. 13 Okay. Was Mr. Ober at one 14 time, on a book committee, some kind 15 of a book committee? 16 Yes, he was. 17 Α. Why did you take him off? ο. 18 I think Lieutenant Colonel 19 Α. Coury did that. 20 I may be mistaken, sir, but I 21 think you testified that you did. 22 You're testifying now that he did? 23 Yeah, I think he did. I think 24 he's the one that --- I probably made 25

286 the decision and then he drafted the 1 correspondence or he made the 2 decision and I agreed with it. 3 of the two, I'm just not sure. 4 Okay. Can you tell us why? 5 ο. I don't remember the Α. 6 circumstances. I think as they 7 existed Lieutenant Colonel Hikus was 8 continuing to ask for additional 9 people assigned to the IIMS project, 10 he wanted people assigned full-time. 11 There was a continual request of 12 officers and troopers and employees 13 there and they wanted those people 14 full time. I think that was the 1.5 16 reason. So the reason to take him off 17 the book committee was he was too 18 busy? 19 think that's what it was. Α. 20 IIMS was very important and 21 about --- well, naturally you asked 22 Captain Ober about that; right? 23 mean, the book committee is sort of 24 extracurricular so to speak; isn't 25

287 it? 1 I think it's during work 2 hours. 3 Okay. Of course, you 4 ο. consulted with Captain Ober, you sat 5 him down and talked with him about 6 that; right? 7 No, I didn't. 8 Α. Well, when did you take him 9 off the book committee? 10 I don't remember. 11 Α. No, not you. If it was you or 12 ο. Coury together or Mr. Coury and 13 you together or however it went, you 14 don't know when you did that? 15 No, I don't. 16 Α. Of course, you're putting Ober 17 back on the book committee; aren't 18 you? 19 I don't care if he goes back 20 on the book committee if the head of 21 the book committee wants him back on 22 there. 23 You consulted with the head of 24 the book committee when you took him 25

288 off; didn't you Colonel? 1 I don't believe that I did. 2 Maybe Colonel Coury did. 3 Well, Colonel, why don't you 4 ο. tell us when the book's going to e 5 done, when it's going to be printed 6 or when that project finishes up? 7 2005. Α. 8 To coincide with the 100-year 0. 9 history in one of the finest state 10 police organizations in the entire 11 nation that prides itself on 12 integrity and openness; right, sir? 13 For the 100th anniversary of Α. 14 the state police. 15 2005; right, Colonel Evanko? 16 That is correct. 17 Α. Sir, what I would like to do 18 is ask your erstwhile legal eagles if 19 we can take a 10-minute break. I 20 think I might be able to shorten up 21 what I have to do if I have a chance 22 to reorganize some of this material. 23 Because Syndi wants us out of 24 here --- we all want to be out of 25

. ₹.,

289 here by five o'clock at the latest. 1 I know the stenographer does. So 2 And would you could we take ten? 3 mind giving me --- shutting off the 4 microphones and giving me this room a 5 little bit or a room to work in with 6 my client? I can go somewhere else. 7 Is it easier for me to go somewhere 8 else, or you can just shut this stuff 9 You know what, I don't want 10 be in here with the stuff. Can you 11 give me a little room here somewhere, 12 Colonel, I can sit in? 13 There are two rooms available 14 right here. 15 Okay. 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: 17 It is 3:18 p.m. and 18 we're suspending. 19 SHORT BREAK TAKEN 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: 21 It is 3:33 p.m., 22 3-27-02, and we're continuing 23 with the deposition of Colonel 24 Evanko. 25

290

BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Colonel, let me ask about here, find the amended complaint. I just want to go through here by paragraph and read some allegations and give you the opportunity to expound on the reasons why you feel that they're not correct.

In paragraph 20 --- and bear in mind that this complaint's composed by myself, Mr. Ober's attorney, and that pleading rules under Federal Rules under Civil Procedure 8 is as to notice pleading requirements, which is just called conclusary pleading. But there are a lot of inter-related fact allegations here and I want to run them by you. It says on or about September 1998 that Darrell Ober was one of the brightest and best, a rising star in the Pennsylvania State Police. Do you dispute that or that he had a very good-looking career there and was moving along quite well? Could

291 you say that, or was he a 1 subperformer? 2 I think he was comparable to 3 Α. the other captains that we have on 4 the state police. 5 Okay. It says in here on May 6 1998, Captain Ober was named as 7 Director of Internal Affairs Division 8 and later in early September he was 9 named as acting Bureau Director of 10 the Pennsylvania State Police of 11 Bureau of Professional 12 Responsibility. Does that square 13 with your recollection? I'm sorry. 14 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 15 Could you just give us 16 some paragraph reference? 17 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 18 Sure. Paragraph 21. 19 I'm sorry. 2.0 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 21 Thank you. 22 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 23 Does that square with your 24 recollection, sir? 25

292 There was a period of 1 working days that Captain Ober was 2 the Director of Bureau of 3 Professional Responsibility, but I 4 don't know the dates. 5 Okay. Now, it says this 6 Q. career path is customarily associated 7 in the state police with advancement 8 for the various highest ranks in the 9 organization including commissioner. 10 And I've looked at your own career 11 and researched on this case, it seems 12 to be more or less consistent the 13 same way. Is that a good career 14 path, at least, the kind of 15 experience up until October of 1998 16 that Captain Ober had for advancement 17 or movement? 18 I would not characterize 19 Α. assignment to BPR in that way. 20 Okay. 21 Q. I would look at assignments to Α. 22 LCE, for example, Colonel Walp was in 23 LCE, Lieutenant Colonel Hikus was in 24 LCE. 25

293 And you'd look at LCE as 1 place where a captain filling a 2 lieutenant's position would be an 3 indication of skill and ability? 4 For the three months that he 5 Α. was there until a captain's vacancy б opened up in LCE. 7 Now, in paragraph 31 an 8 allegation is made that I think you 9 responded to at least part of this. 10 On being told that the investigation 11 by Plaintiff and Lieutenant Colonel 12 Hikus, Defendant Evanko exploded in a 13 fit of rage. Exploded in a fit of 14 rage, is that a description of what 15 you did? 16 No, it is not. The captain 17 has used at various times, irate, 18 upset, angry and this verbiage here 19 and that is not accurate. 20 He told Hikus and the 21 Plaintiff, Darrell Ober, that quote I 22 will have Louie Freeh, Director of 23 the FBI on the phone tonight and have 24 the agents involved transferred by 25

294 tomorrow. Now, that's Captain Ober's 1 allegation. You heard the testimony 2 of Lieutenant Colonel Hikus. If I 3 understand your testimony, you didn't 4 say anything like that. 5 That is not true. Α. 6 So these two Pennsylvania 7 ο. State Police officers, a lieutenant 8 colonel and a captain, have agreed 9 upon a lie and that lie is reflected 10 in this complaint? 11 I'm saying that I never said Α. 12 that and I didn't hear Lieutenant 13 Colonel Hikus say that either. And I 14 didn't say it. 15 All right. What do you 16 remember Lieutenant Colonel Hikus 17 saying then? 1.8 I'm not sure what it was but 19 Α. it wasn't that. 20 Paragraph 33 says, subsequent 21 ο. learning about the FBI 22 investigation, Colonel Evanko sought 23 the personal and official approval of 24 the Defendant Mark Campbell, to begin 25

```
295
   an investigation into Captain Ober.
1
   Your testimony is that that is simply
2
   not accurate or correct; is that fair
3
   to say?
4
           That is not true.
5
          Okay. You don't deny that
   Ο.
6
   Campbell was an assistant to the
7
   Pennsylvania --- was an assistant or
8
   some sort of high staff position on
9
   the Pennsylvania Governor Chief of
10
   Staff though, that was true?
11
           He was a Deputy Chief of
12
    Staff.
1.3
           Deputy Chief of Staff. Okay.
14
    Paragraph 34 says that the time that
15
    Campbell and Evanko conferred on
16
    investigating Ober you've already
17
    indicated that --- well, did you and
18
    Campbell talk about investigating
19
    Ober, number one, or number two, the
20
    circumstances surrounding the FBI
21
22
    problem?
           I would have told Mr. Campbell
23
    that I was going to do an
24
    administrative inquiry or inquiry
25
```

296 into the facts of this. That would 1 have been the extent of it. 2 So you wouldn't have said I ο. 3 was going to investigate Hikus or 4 Ober or those fellows or anything 5 like that, you would have told him 6 --- there is a difference between 7 would have and what you remember, but 8 your testimony here today is that you 9 would have told him that you were 10 going to look into the circumstances? 11 That's what I recall talking Α. 12 to him about. 13 That's different. Okay. You 14 Q. do recall talking to him about that 15 and saying that you're going to look 16 into the circumstances? 17 Yes, I do. 18 Α. Now, at that time did you 19 suspect Ober of having committed a 20 wrong at the time you talked to Mark 21 Campbell? 22 Other than going past his 23 major and BPR, I didn't know what to 24 25 think.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

297

So the allegation here is that you and Campbell, we're really talking about you now or asking about you now, knew that Ober committed no wrong, had broken no law and had violated no regulation practice or custom in the Pennsylvania State Police. That allegation here is inaccurate because you didn't know what had happened and although it may not have been a violation of regulation you felt that Ober had committed a wrong by circumventing Conley and going to Hikus? Mr. Yes, I did. Α. Do you want to read Okay. Ο. It is not intended to paragraph 35? inflame or upset you, please. not meant to be argumentative. going to read it and I want you to tell me if it's accurate. Paragraph 35, nonetheless, in order to punish Ober because he had followed proper PSP procedure, obeyed the law and conducted himself in a spirit,

1

2

3

4

5

6

298

supportive of proper law enforcement duties and practices consistent with his oath as opposed to demonstrating blind devotion, obedience and subservience to the personal and political interest and concerns of the Defendants, both Evanko and 7 Campbell, eliminating Campbell from 8 this now by virtue of assuming the 9 information you've given us is 10 accurate. So it would read, Evanko 11 decided to use Ober as an example to 12 PSP Officers and members to show that 13 obedience to the political 14 sensitivity of the Pennsylvania State 1.5 Police leader and his political 16 mentors is a necessity regardless of 17 what the law may require even if that 18 leader himself is a target or 19 potential target of an official law 20 enforcement agency investigation 21 That is simply untrue? himself. 22 Yes, it is untrue. 23 Okay. Now, under the heading 24 investigation on page 10 of the 25

299 complaint, paragraph 37 reads, 1 investigations such as those done on 2 Captain Ober had the effect of 3 destroying an officer's standing and 4 reputation among his colleagues. 5 you believe that to be true? 6 No, I don't. 7 Α. Hey becomes shunned and is 8 subjected to insults and is 9 ostracized. Do you believe that can 10 happen, or have you ever known it to 11 happen? 12 No, I do not know it to have 13 happened. And I don't believe it 1.4 happened here. 15 Okay. Why don't you believe 16 it happened here? 17 Because I haven't seen it. Α. 18 So you don't know whether it's 19 happened here. You just haven't seen 20 it? 21 I haven't seen it, and I don't 22 believe it to have happened. 23 All right. Plaintiff was 24 subjected to an unlawful and improper 25

301 And whether Evanko and someone 1 in the Governor's Office was a target 2 or actually under suspicion. Well, 3 you were concerned about that? 4 record's replete with numerous 5 references ---. 6 That I was what? 7 Α. Well, here's the allegations. 8 The first was to learn the breadth 9 and depth of Ober's knowledge about 10 the FBI investigation, you've already 11 responded to that. And whether 12 Evanko and someone in the Governor's 13 Office was a target or actually under 1.4 suspicion, that was not a purpose? 15 My concern would have been to 16 try to determine why the FBI or 17 Lieutenant Colonel Hikus didn't tell 18 me. So I guess in a way that would 19 be accurate. 20 Thank you. And the second was 21 Q. to harass and injure Ober as a way to 22 send a signal to others that the 23 Defendants as a leadership cadre 24 require the obedience even the

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

25

302 unlawful obedience of PSP members, 1 above all other considerations as an 2 unwritten term and condition of 3 employment. Now, clearly you would 4 not agree with that? 5 I would not agree with that 6 and I will go back to the last 7 question. I would agree to that as 8 far as I am concerned but not the 9 Governor's Office. 10 And the last sentence --- I 11 guess that's not real helpful here. 12 Okay. Now, paragraph 39 reads, 13 towards the end after the meeting 14 that you had in your office, the 15 following. That the meeting in your 16 office in which --- well, let's clear 17 that up first. Paragraph 39 says 1.8 that there was a meeting following 19 Colonel Evanko's meeting with Mark 20 Campbell. We've already indicated it 21 was a phone call and not a meeting; 22 right? 23 That's correct. Α. 24 Where Evanko secured 25 ο.

303 permission to investigate the 1 Plaintiff. You already said that did 2 not occur? 3 That did not occur. Α. 4 Because Evanko proceed to 0. 5 harass Plaintiff have others such as 6 the Defendants Conley, Coury, and 7 Wescott harass him. Now, clearly you 8 don't agree with that? That is not 9 correct? 10 That is not correct. 11 And have him, meaning Ober, 12 officially investigated despite the 13 fact that Evanko was told he should 14 not conduct an investigation. Now, 15 your investigation into Ober ---16 strike that. 17 Your investigation into the 18 facts and circumstances as you put 19 it, we feel it was into Darrell Ober 20 and respectfully disagree with you on 21 that. But we understand your 22 position and assuming your 23 definition, the investigation into 24 the facts and circumstance of the FBI 25

304 probe, the allegation here is 1 you were told that it was not proper, 2 investigation. There being no 3 cause for such an inquiry as required 4 by PSP policies and rules and by both 5 the Pennsylvania and the United 6 States Constitution. Mr. Coury 7 didn't tell you that? 8 The only thing Colonel Coury 9 told me was that this is not a 10 when I said about assigning BPR 11 investigators? This is not a BPR 12 issue, it should be an administrative 13 inquiry. 14 So he was behind the 15 investigation but he said it should 16 be an administrative inquiry? 17 It's not a BPR issue and don't 18 Α. assign BPR investigators to it. 19 Why did Captain Brown, in July 20 20th, 1999, put a BPR number on it? 21 Why did he do that? Did you tell him 22 to do that? 23 No, I did not tell him. 24 Α. Then why did he do it? Do you 25 Q.

305 know why he did it? Did you ever ask 1 him? 2 No, I never asked him and I Α. don't know why he put a number on it. 3 4 Sir, when Mr. Williams talked Q. 5 to Mr. Brown, we've got testimony on б this, they talked about this issue, 7 the nature of this inquiry. We have 8 a deposition from Mr. Brown. You're 9 welcome to read it. I assume you 10 have, I don't know. 11 No, I have not. Α. 12 All right, sir. Was the thing Q. 13 ever a BPR investigation, Colonel? 14 No, it was not. Α. 15 It was not? 16 Q. It was not. Α. 17 It was not, but Captain Brown 18 Q. gave it a BPR number. Who --- why 19 did he do that? Who authorized him 20 to do that? What was the reason for 21 that; do you know? 22 I can speculate as to what it 23 is. 2.4 Then tell me. Q. 2.5

```
306
           As a tracking number.
1
   Α.
           As a tracking number.
2
   ο.
           That's the only reason I could
   Α.
3
   possibly think of.
4
           It wasn't to cover; was it?
5
   0.
           Pardon me?
    Α.
6
           It was to provide cover; was
7
    ο.
    it?
8
            I don't know what you mean.
    Α.
9
            Colonel Conley ever talk to
10
    ο.
    you about this thing as a BPR with a
11
    BPR number?
12
            No, sir.
13
    Α.
            Did you ever discuss Captain
14
    Ober with Colonel Conley that you can
15
    remember?
16
            I think anything that I
    Α.
17
    discussed about Captain Ober was with
18
    Lieutenant Colonel Coury? Although
19
    Captain Conley has an STD directed to
20
     me that he says he talked to me, but
21
     I don't recall any direct
22
     conversations.
 23
            Sir, I'm sorry, what was that
 24
     again?
 25
```

307 What's the question again? 1 Α. ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 2 It can be read back if 3 you want the court reporter 4 --- the answer's on the 5 record. 6 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 7 No, no, let me go on to 8 another question. I'm sorry 9 ma'am. I'm sorry, I 10 interrupted you. 11 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 12 That's all right. 13 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 14 Okay. 15 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 16 I'm finished. 17 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 18 Let me go on to another 19 question. When we talked to Captain 20 Brown, I think, he indicated that he 21 spoke with Major Conley at the 22 request of Commissioner Evanko. 23 Something about the investigation 2.4 ascertaining facts, no personnel 25

```
308
   action involved. Do you remember
1
   that?
2
          No, I do not.
3
           Well, it says on February 14th
4
   of '01 that you forwarded a copy of
5
   the worksheet to the commissioner.
6
   What's that about? Do you know what
7
    that's about, why you requested that?
8
           What is it again?
    Α.
9
           Well, my understand is that
10
    sometime on or about the 14th of
11
    February of 2001, I want you to bear
12
    in mind that the lawsuit was filed on
13
    January 14, '01, I think it was. Why
14
    did you request a worksheet from
15
    Captain Brown?
16
    A. I don't remember that I did
17
    request one.
18
           Okay. Was this an
    ο.
19
    investigation that went into the
20
    events --- was it an investigation
21
    into the FBI at all?
22
            In so much as what they had
23
    told Captain Ober.
24
         In fact that's why Ober
25
    Q.
```

309 mentioned, isn't he, during those 1 notes that you took of the discussion 2 with Mr. Mascara? 3 I'm not sure I know what you 4 mean. 5 Well, the notes speak for ο. 6 themselves, Captain Ober was 7 discussed. Paragraph 50, page 16, 8 there's a number of allegations in 9 there, but it erroneously indicates 10 that Ober was returned to IAD as 11 Director of Internal Affairs for five 12 days before transferring him to Troop 1.3 B, Washington. Let me tell you why I 14 am asking this question. You'd 15 indicated it was for a pay period ---16 at least your recollection is it was 17 a pay period, two weeks? 18 A. I thought that's what it was, 19 20 yes. Okay. You don't have a 21 recollection of it ever being for a 22 period --- I know it didn't take 23 place, but a recollection of it ever 24 being for five days? 25

310 Other than listening 1 Α. Lieutenant Colonel Conley during his 2 deposition. 3 Do historical files have 4 references to the research that's 5 done on them, the recommended 6 changes, drafts of changes and that 7 kind of thing in your experience? 8 I don't have any experience 9 with historical files at all. 10 Boy, are you lucky. That's 11 very interesting. Have you never in 12 terms of any of the changes to 13 Pennsylvania State Police 14 Regulations, you have never reviewed 15 or looked at a historic file? 16 That is correct. 17 Α. In all of the time that you Q. 18 have been with the Pennsylvania State 19 Police where there has been a change 20 in regulations, have you ever looked 21 at what it was like --- you had done 22 a before and after comparison? 23 Of the actual regulation? Α. 24 Yes, sir. Q. 25

```
311
           I've probably looked at before
1
   and after regulations, what was in
2
   existence at a particular time and
3
   what a new one would say.
4
          But you don't have a
   Ο.
5
   particular recollection of when or
6
   which one?
7
           Throughout my career I would
8
   have done that.
9
          You didn't do it with AR
10
    0.
    1.102(c), though?
11
          After the Amended Complaint or
12
    after I found out about it through
13
    these procedures I looked at it.
14
           Okay. And what conclusions
15
    did you reach?
16
          I didn't reach any.
17
    Α.
           First darn time you saw them;
18
    isn't it? First time you recollect
19
    you seeing those proposed changes or
20
    the so-called change that took place;
21
    isn't it, Colonel?
22
            That is correct.
23
         On page 18, paragraph 55, the
24
    allegation is that a representation
25
```

312 that was made to Plaintiff and his 1 Counsel was false. And it says that 2 AR 1-1-02 had just been changed on 3 February 22nd, 2001 and was 4 personally approved by the Defendant 5 Evanko according to file documents. 6 The word personally in there is not 7 correct, that is an error? 8 That is incorrect. Α. 9 All right, sir. Let's talk 10 about Field Regulation 1-1.17(b). 11 And we can finish this up I think 12 fairly quickly. I want to read a 13 paragraph to you out of the Amended 14 Complaint appearing on page 19, sir. 15 Reads as follows, additionally FR, 16 Field Regulation, 1-1.17(b) 17 misrepresented to the court on page 18 12 of the motion to dismiss as quote, 19 requiring members to properly notify 20 their supervisor when they receive 21 any information indicating another 22 member, quote, unquote, might have 23 violated the law. You have 24 familiarity with the language ---25

313 mean you referred to it here earlier 1 in the deposition a couple of times. 2 Do you remember offhand if --- do you 3 have a commanding knowledge of its 4 verbiage, of the words? 5 I think that's an accurate Α. б representation of its contents. 7 And the word might is 8 underlined. It says emphasis added. 9 The subject field regulation, this is 10 in the allegation, paragraph 59. It 11 says, the subject field regulation 12 uses the word and phraseology has, 13 which is underlined, violated any 14 law, rule, regulation or order 15 emphasis added. It does not use the 16 word might. Do you agree or 17 disagree, or do you know? 18 You lost me on the two 19 Α. different ---. 20 Well, I'll let you read it. 21 Read paragraph 59 until your heart's 22 content. If you know the answer 23 and if you don't --- I mean, I 24 realize it's a technical question. 25

```
314
   I'm not trying to be unfair, but tell
1
   me if you know.
2
           I thought it says might have
3
   violated the law. I thought that's
4
   the way the regulation says.
5
                   ATTORNEY GUIDO:
6
                   Well, the regulation
7
           speaks for itself.
8
                   ATTORNEY BAILEY:
9
                   Yes, I mean, it does.
10
           It really does. It doesn't
11
           matter what any of us
12
           attorneys say.
13
    BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
14
           But it does matter what you
15
    recollect.
16
            I thought it said might.
17
    Α.
            You thought it said might?
18
    ο.
            Yes, I thought it says might.
19
    Α.
            When is the last time you
20
    Q.
    reviewed it?
21
            Prior to coming up for this
22
    deposition.
23
            Okay. You don't have a copy
24
    handy; do you?
25
```

```
315
           No, I don't.
   Α.
1
           See if I could get a copy for
2
    Q.
    just one second, because I may be
3
    wrong and I don't want to ---.
4
                   ATTORNEY BAILEY:
5
                   Just suspend for one
6
           minute.
7
                   MR. SOLOMON:
8
                   It's 3:58 p.m., off
9
            record.
10
                   VIDEOGRAPHER:
11
                   It's 4:00 p.m., we're
12
            suspending.
13
    SHORT BREAK TAKEN
14
                    VIDEOGRAPHER:
1.5
                    It is now 4:04 p.m.,
16
            we're back on the record.
17
    BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
18
            Colonel, I'd like to read to
19
    you Field Regulation 1-1 ---.
20
    BRIEF INTERRUPTION
21
                    ATTORNEY BAILEY:
2.2
                    Strike all of my former
23
            comments until the
24
            stenographer is ready, please.
25
```

316 We're back on the record now. 1 Please, strike anything 2 in between when we resumed on 3 the record because of my error 4 in not waiting until the 5 stenographer was able to mark 6 the document involved here. 7 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 8 Sir, can you identify for us 9 --- Colonel Evanko, can you identify 1.0 for us the document that is in front 11 12 of you? It's a copy of FR 1-1 dated 13 March 25, 1992. 14 And I just want to read into 15 the record very briefly under 1.1 16 Section 1.17, Recording of 17 Information, Subsection B, which is 18 the part of the field regulation 1.9 referred to in paragraph 59. Then I 20 just want to ask you to comment if 21 you would. It says, members shall 22 promptly report to their supervisor 23 any information which comes to their 24 attention and which tends to indicate 25

317 that any other member or employee 1 I want to emphasize the word 2 has, last word, third line, violated 3 any law, rule, regulation or order. 4 I realize I was asking you earlier to 5 comment from memory, which is awfully 6 difficult. And in light of paragraph 7 59 in that regulation, unless it's 8 been changed, can you tell me if it 9 has? 10 That would be I don't know. 1.1. Α. my first question, what was in effect 12 September October of 1998. 13 Okay. 14 Q. ATTORNEY GUIDO: 15 Counsel, we'll 16 stipulate that this is the 17 correct version of the 18 regulation, that this is the 19 one that was in effect. 20 However, I would like the 21 question to be clarified when 22 you're referring to paragraph 23 59. I don't have the 24 complaint, Amended Complaint, 25

in front of me. My
recollection is that you're
referring --- the reference is
to a brief which I wrote in
which I paraphrased what the
regulation says, which says
that any information which
tends to indicate that an
employee has violated any
laws. So I just want to make
sure the question is clarified
as to what the reference in
the Amended Complaint is to.

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

I'll read paragraph 59 into the record then.

BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Q. It says, additionally FR

1-1.17(b) is misrepresented to the

Court on page 12 of the Motion to

Dismiss. Here the acronym MTD is

used, as requiring, quote, requiring

members to promptly notify their

supervisor when they receive any

information indicating that another

319 is in quotes member might, it 1 underlined, have violated the law, 2 closed quote. Emphasis added, 3 period. The subject field regulation, 4 the acronym FR is used, uses the 5 words and phraseology quote, has, 6 underlined for emphasis, violated any 7 law, rule, regulation or order, 8 closed quote. Emphasis added, 9 period. It does not use the word 10 might. 11 the reason I raise this Sir, 12 as an issue is that you'd made 13 reference earlier in the deposition 14 to your belief. And I'm not asking 15 you to redact or change your 16 testimony at all, not suggesting you 17 should. But you had made reference 18 to your belief that Colonel Evanko 19 had violated, you thought that he had 20 violated Field Regulation 1-1.17. 21 And that's why I asked you if you 22 knew the wording and that's why I 23 introduced this here to point that, 24 you know, maybe we'll have a 25

```
320
 1
    difference of opinion over that.
                                         The
    Court will have to decide it, but you
 2
    still think that he violated it;
 3
    right?
 4
            Yes, I do.
 5
    Α.
 6
    Ο.
            Okay.
                   That's all ---.
 7
                   ATTORNEY GUIDO:
                   Can we clarify that you
 8
            accidentally said Colonel
 9
            Evanko violated it?
10
            believe ---.
11
12
    BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
13
    Q.
           No, no, I'm sorry. Oh, yes,
    that can clearly be a major blunder
14
15
    on my part. As Napoleon once said,
16
    in war a blunder is worse than a
17
    crime. Of course, we're not involved
18
    in a war here, so Colonel Evanko it's
19
    not an allegation that you've
20
    violated this regulation, but you
    believe that Captain Ober has?
21
22
    Α.
           Yes.
23
    Q.
           Okay. How do you think he
24
    violated it? Last question, quite
25
    frankly, that's the last question I
```

321 have for you. How do you think he 1 violated it? 2 I just think the verbiage 3 tends to indicate a member violated 4 it, would be consistent with my 5 recollection of what he told me. 6 Okay. Sir, I can't --- tell 7 you what, give me one minute to 8 double-check with my client and I 9 think I may be done. 10 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 11 Are you going to have 12 any questions, Syndi? 1.3 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 1.4 probably not. 15 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 16 Sir, my client tells me 17 that we're clear to go. I'd 18 like to thank you very much 19 for your cooperation here 20 today. I realize being a 21 witness is uncomfortable and I 22 appreciate your courtesy. 23 Thank you. 24 You're welcome. 25 Α.

ATTORNEY GUIDO: We don't have any questions. I was just clarifying we don't have any questions. MR. SOLOMON: The 4:08 p.m. deposition is now concluded. VIDEOGRAPHER: It is now 4:10 p.m. and the deposition of Commissioner Evanko is now concluded. DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 4:10 P.M.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA)

COMMISSIONER OF DEEDS

CERTIFICATE

I, Jennifer P. Billstein, Commissioner of Deeds for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, do hereby certify:

That the witness was first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the foregoing deposition was taken at the time and place stated herein; and that the said deposition was taken stenographically by me and reduced to typewriting, and constitutes a true and correct record of the testimony given by the witness.

I further certify that the reading and signing of said depositions were (not) waived by counsel for the respective parties and by the witness.

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee or attorney of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of counsel, and that I am in no way interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and stamp this day of All 2002.

JENNIFER P. BILLSTEIN Commissioner Of Deeds Commonwealth of Pennsylvania My Commission Expires Jan. 4, 2006

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

·OIL CITY, PA

SARGENT'S COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 210 Main Street

		LAWYER'S NOTES
D	Line	
Page	Line	
		/
		,

PITTSBURGH, PA
HARRISBURG, PA
GREENSBURG, PA
ERIE, PA
INDIANA, PA
HOLLIDAYSBURG, PA
STATE COLLEGE, PA



SARGENT'S COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

210 MAIN STREET JOHNSTOWN, PA 15901 (814) 536-8908 PHILADELPHIA, PA
WILKES-BARRE, PA
OIL CITY, PA
SOMERSET, PA
CLEARFIELD, PA
CHARLESTON, WV

SHRUETHO COURT BEFORE THE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

* * * * * * * *

DARRELL G. OBER,

Plaintiff * Case No.

* 1CV-01-0084 VS.

PAUL EVANKO, MARK * (JUDGE CALDWELL)

CAMPBELL, THOMAS *

COURY, JOSEPH

WESCOTT, and

HAWTHORNE CONLEY, *

Defendants *

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF PAUL EVANKO March 27, 2002

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

nn ar anda 15188	SARGENTS CO	URT REPORTING	B14 536	4011 P.03
PR-26-2002 17:09	JANGETH 5 00	Multi-Page"		510,000 - arrains
		42.2.24 RT-24 R5:7 88:3	70,411,40.4	eccusations (1) 33:18
	12th [35] 42:20 45:14 49:13,14,21 50:12 60:8	91:7.8.10.13,15.95:25	59 161 313:11,22 316:20	accused m 19:12
-\$-	61:8.63:13.64:1,7.66:14	132:1 133:25 162:9	317:8,24.318:15	acknowledged [1]
10,000 [1] 191:4	66.21 68.18 70:1.4.9	273:12 304:21	5th [6] 46:15 59:10 60:4	106:24
(100 g) 214:8	71-19 72:3.4 76:25 77:3	21 (2) 84:25 291:19	72:9 137:4 227:1	acknowledgement (2)
20,000 [2] 204:21 205:2	80:4 90:2 91:2,6 127:23	215 [1] 10:13		106:21.107:4
	135-10.16 153-18 155:10	2181[5] 58:3 84:17 100:25		acquire [1] 208:20
4 [1] 205:1	155:14,18 210.17 647.11	101:3 125:5	66 264.10	acquired [1] 207.19
50,000 (1) 188:14	13-month [1] 213:18	221-9500 (1) 8:24	Z P (1) 164:10	acquisition (1) 209:5
7.32 m 185:15	13th [16], 45:18 49:14	22nd [4] 217:22 249:12	6th (3) 3:4 72:9 273:21	acronym [3] 241:8
	59:23 61:9 64:2 86:10 91:6 135:9,11,21 148:9	275:2 312:4	Om [1] 2.4 17:5 210:22	318:21 319:5
_*-	153:11 154:2 156:11	239 (1)-7:5	-7-	acting [3] 44:1 276:18
01 [6] 249:9,11,13,14	178:25 179:8	25m 316:14		291:10
308:5,14	14[1] 308:14	26 m 216:7	7 [3] 28:16 265:2 267:21	action [8] 13:3 30:17,22
96 (1) 115:11	143 (1) 7:4	2629 [2] F.H 12:17	717 [2] 8:24 9:11	30:25 224:14-227:14 234:21 308:1
97 (11) 114:4 115:11	14th (2) 308:4,1.1	26th [2] 224:17 227:13	783-5568 (1) 9:11	
174-24 162:19,22 163.4	15 (3) 16:20 154:12 284:3	27 (4) 17:18 2:13 199:25	7由自 72:9	actions III 252:7
163:18,21 164:10 165:10	15-second [2] 154:4	249:14		activate (2) 15:19 189:1
196:7		275.111-6:9	-8-	activated pg_185:12
98 [27] 60:10 86:10	157:15	27th [5] 12:13 75:23		activating[1] 190:4
100:24 112:10 113:20 114:19 115:19 118:3,12	16[1] 309:8	[27th [5] 12.13 75.23 1 136:8.17 255:16	8 (2) 5:3 290:14	active [1] 162:3
118:22 125:10,24,25	164 [1] 204:24	28 [1] 257:3	Sthip) 33:7 37:14 39:3.10	activities [2] 110:10
126:6,13 127:9 128:10	17101 m 3:13	* -	40:8 72:10 217:17.21	212:11
131:23 137:4 138:18,20	17110[6] 3:5,20 4:7 8:23	29 (1) 6:7		activity [1] 197:9
138:24 163:14 164:13	9:10 12:19	2:32 pj 255:4	-9-	acts [2] 77:3 244:16
165:23 166:5.16	17th(1) 3:12	2:37 [1] 255:9	9727(1) 10:14	actual [1] 310:24
1 99 _[2] 116:24 218:21	18 (1) 311:24	2:39[1] 255:15	99-102 [2] 28:16 265:2	add [2] 14:23 248:20
	1800 [3] 3:19 4:6 9:8	2nd (1) 291:7	9:10(1) 2:14	added [4] 313:9.16 319:
-1-	19[1] 312:15		9:20 [1] 12:14	319:9
I (1) 213:17	1992 [1] 316:14	-3-	- 1	adding (1) 248-19
1-1 [2] 315:20 316:13	1995 [1] 204:25	3-27-02 [1] 289:23	-A-	addition 2 37:21
	1997 [1] 110:2	3/25/92 (1) 6:10		156:24
1-1-02 [1] 312:3	1998 Tray 84:25 86:3	31 [1] 293:8	a.m.joj 2:14 12:14 75:22	additional [4] 182:12
1-1.17 pg 80:19 174:14 180:7 312:11;17 318:19	103:3:113:4,5:124:20		76:8 136:13,16	203:1,5 286:9
319:21	125:17 127:22 139:3	32 (1) 120:6	abbreviation (1) 31:21	additionally (2) 312:1
1-102 (1) 80:22	148:10 162:9 163:13	321 (2) 5:6,7	ability [3] 57:10 230:4	318:18
1.1 [2] 180:6 316:16	165:1 227:2 290:19 291:7		293:4	address [1] 8:20 9:8,17
	292:16 317:13	323 [1] 5:8	able [7] 10:3 117:4 169:1	adhere pr 75:4
1.102(1) 311:11	1999 [21] 28:15;16:42:20	A - (-)	175:12 192:12 288:21	adjudication (1) 209:
1.17 [4] 105:5 109:11 175:17 316:17	62:3,16:153:18 154:18 155:3 156:11 212:23,24	333 m 3:11	316.6	administer (1) 13:14
	212:25 216:7,17,24	34 [1] 295:15	above [4] 143:1 161:4	
10 (5) 5:3,6 154:4,11 298:25	217:18,22 265:2 273:12	35 (2) 297:17,22	270:17 302:2 absent [1] 186:10	Administration [4] 22:17 23:25 24:15 81:5
10-minute (1) 288:20	275:2 304:21	37 [1] 299:1	absolutely [2] 142:15	administrative [27]
	19th (2) 28:15:249:9	39[2] 302:13,18	149:4	112:25 113:9 114:7.9.1
10/19/99 [1] 6:5	1:30 m 199:21	3:18 [1] 289:18	abusing [2] 19:11,11	114:24 115:25 132:6
10/20/99 m 31:19	1:37 [2] 199:24 200:5	3:33 (1) 289:22		0 137:8,17,23 178:7 181:
100-year[1] 288:9	1CV-01-0084[1] 1:7		academy [19] 18:3,16,1 18:23 21:17 42:18 43:2	183:12,14 184:5 185:2
100th [1] 288:14	1CV-010084 (1) 13:3	3:58 [17 315:9]	65:9 83:13:90:17 99:24	196:12,13 197:6-198:2
106(1) 7:5	1stp 164:25	3rd [1] 133:22	100:10 H11:25.157:5	267:7 295:25 300:9.11
10:22 (1) 75:22	PPELIT TOWARD	á	169:2,8,14 171:2 281:8	304:13,17
10:34 (1) 76:8	-2-	-4-	- acceptable (i) 11:7	administratively (2
10K [1] 191:4	-2-	- 40 (1) 190:5	accidentally [1] 320:9	112:17 137:10
10th (1) 222:20	2 (11 267:23	4311 (2) 3:4 8:21	accommodate [1] 282	's tudings and [1] , init
11/1/99 (1) 6.9	20 [6] 16:20 82:9 116:24	48 (1) 7:4	accordance [1] 45:20	actitize [3] 20.2 100.11
116 [2] 6:8 7:6	153:9,10 290:9	4:00 (i) 315:12	according (6) 57:13	admitted [2] 154:23.2
	2000 [9] 218:21,22	4:04 [1] 315:16	107:5 231:25 236:13	advancement [2] 29
		1 1	300:5 312:6	292:17
11:30 (1) 136:7	224:20 227:13 242:17	4-08-TET 122-7	1 300/3 314/0	
11:30 m 136:7 11:36 m 136:13	224:20 227:13 242:17 257:3 273:21,24 274:2	4:08 (1) 322:7		advantage (11 95:5
11:30 m 136:7 11:36 m 136:13 11:38 m -136:16	224:20 227:13 242:17 257:3 273:21,24 274:2 2001 31 263:24:308:12	1	accounted [1] 206:21	72 advise [1] 68.24
11:30 (1) 136:7 11:36 (1) 136:13 11:38 (1) -136:16 12 (8) 153:8,9,10 154:18	224:20 227:13 242:17 257:3 273:21,24 274:2 200F[3] 263:24:308:12 312:4	4:10-[2]: 322:10,14	accounted [1] 206:23 accreditation [5] 249	23 advise (1) 68:24 advised (10) 117:10.1
11:30 (n) 136:7 11:36 (n) 136:13 11:38 (n) -136:16 12 (u) 153:8.9.10 154:18 155:3 292:1 312:19	224:20 227:13 242:17 257:3 273:21,24 274:2 200 F [3] 263:24:308:12 312:4 2002 pt 1:18 2:13 12:1	3 4:10-(2):322:10,14	accounted [1] 206:21 accreditation [5] 249 250:5.17 251:4 252:1 accurate [9] 92:1 97:24	23 advise (1) 68:24 advised (10) 117:10.1
11:30 (n) 136:7 11:36 (n) 136:13 11:38 (n) -136:16 12 (n) 153:8.9.10 154:18 155:3 292:1 312:19 318:20	224:20 227:13 242:17 257:3 273:21,24 274:2 200 F [3] 263:24:308:12 312:4 2002 [9] 1:18 2:13 12:1 75:23 136:8,17 199:25	4:10 (2):322:10,14 3: -5- 5,000 (1): 205:1	accounted [1] 206:21 accreditation [5] 249 250:5.17 251:4 252:1 accurate [9] 92:1 97:24 268:18 293:20 295:3	23 advise 11 68:24 advised prop 117:10.1 127:12 178:8 183:7 210:19 212:14 262:10
11:30 (n) 136:7 11:36 (n) 136:13 11:38 (n) -136:16 12 (u) 153:8.9.10 154:18 155:3 292:1 312:19	224:20 227:13 242:17 257:3 273:21,24 274:2 200 F [3] 263:24:308:12 312:4 2002 pt 1:18 2:13 12:1	3 4:10-(2):322:10,14	accounted [1] 206:21 accreditation [5] 249 250:5.17 251:4 252:1 accurate [9] 92:1 97:24	adviscin 68:24 adviscing 117:10.1

APR-26-2002

175:23 197:11 210:6

215:25 218:11 291:8

309:12

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING

Molfi-Page"

1:191:1

affect [2] 30:16 46:19 affected [2] 126:14,22 allowed [4] 18:22.22 affiliated (5) 58:9 83:24 40:19,20 85:17 86:21 99:8

afraid [2] 34:10,13 afternoon [4] 64.7 66:13 | alone [1] 59:1

200:8 273:10

again [28] 27:4 30:19 38:18 47:13 56:25 86:18 92:7 94:6 99:11 103:17 113:17 131:20 142:21 154:13,14 179:4 193:7,12 194:9 201:17,24 202:22 203:14 272:9 273:11 306:25 307:1 308:9

against [e] 20:11-71:10-71:15 131:15,18 224:14 227:15 233:12 272:17

agency [9] 1:25 73:16,17 74:14 77:23 241:7.11 251:7 298:21

agent [37] 44:4 55:12,24 56:6,8,22 57:25 59:2 60:10,13 61:21 63:9 75:8 82:1 93:20 95:20,22 96:9 98:1 99:17,22 102:1 106:14 107:23 111:20 118:15 121:12,21 129:5,6 148:13 151:9 164:7.8 177:8 191:8 193:5

agents [5] 92:14 190:2 195:11 205:22 293:25

agitated Til 154:24 ago [9] 15:24 17:25 67:19 67:21 105:9 131:11 172:6

261:11 281:7 agree [10] 124:18.22

129:12 146:2 160:22 169:5 170:15 176:21 177:3.4 228:16 233:20 246:2 300:2.14 302:5.6.8 303:9 313:17

agreed (*) 86:1 176:18 214:23 236:4 240:8 272:19 286:3 294:9 agreeing (1) 234:12

agreement (4) 214:18,22 215:9 240:21

ahead (14) 12:1,7 53:22 54;23 79:13 111:4.5,6 135:15 141:12 145:25 220:17 234:18 267:19

airplanc [4] 181:11,23 182:5,5

alcohol (2) 205:10 206:12

Alfono [2] 29:1.4 allegation [15] 41:12 56:11 109:18 118:2,11 177:7 180:10 293:9 294:2 297:1.8 304:1-311:25 313:11 320:19

allegations (2) 20:11 22.8 64:21 115:3 290:5 290:17 301:8 309:9

alleged is 58:6 192:20 209:5 230:5,20 allegedly [3] 47:23 64:1 Allentown [1] 203:18 87:20,21,23 allowing 11 76:13

along [7] 17:11 19:21 36:22 145:9 260:24 261:5 290:25

altered (3) 23:13 30:14 13:20 alternative [1] 12:2

Altoona (1) 284:13 always (II 108:11 ambiguity (1) 272:7 amended [5] 290:3 311:12 312:14 317:25 318:13

among [5] 5:3.7 33:7 37:17 299:5 analysis (1) 175:16

analyzo(1) 23:18 Andrew [2] 4:10 76:3 anger (4) 70:21 71:5,9,14 angry [4] 44:22 52:24

152:21,25 154:25 293:19 Ann [2] 29:1,4 anniversary [1] 288:14 answer[14] 49:19 89:17 144:2 176:4 178:17 235:10,12,14,14 244:19

254:11 256:9,17 313:23 answer's [1] 307:5 answered[3] 184:11,12

184:14 answering [1] 56:2

auswers [2] 198:23 256:3 anyway [2] 168:23 234:16

apologize [3] 98:13 142:4 153:17

appear [2] 38:6,19 appearing [1] 312:15 applaud (1) 100:17

applicant [7] 58:6 83:20 85:14 86:15:18 148:15 171:1

applicants [2] 21:16

applications [1] 213:25 applies [1] 73:21 appoint[2] 178:20,21 appointed [10] 43:21

46:13,16 53:2.5 100:10 178:24 213:1 214:16 263:1

appointment [2] 42:19

111:25 appointments [1], 83:13 appreciate [4] 36:5 204:2 273:13 321:23

approach[1] 126:23 approached [2] 140:6

appropriate (4) 179:23 180:4 206:16 246:1 appropriations (3)

approval [1] 294:24 approve[2] 16:7 300:7

approved (3), 16:8 30:21 312:5 approving [2] 252:16,17

April (p) 212:25 216:7 273:20

AR (3) 80:22 311:10 312:3 AR-1 [4] 248:4,19 252:17

263:23 AR-1.102(2) 248:19

250:5 AR-101 [1] 249:22

arca [9] 85:22 238:13,14 240:12,22-269:10 270:4 282:23 284:9

argue (1]. 235:18 argumentative (2) 17:21 297:19

Arizona [2] 279:21 280:4 arm (1) 183:19

arrest[1] 199:2 arrested [5] 19:5.7.10.13

20:9 articulate [1] 235:15

ASAC [4] 129:4,5 161:5 161:7 ascertaining (2) 300:20

307:25 aside (111.25:15.27:13 124:8 165:19,22,24 166.2 166:7,9,15 237:23

aspect (1) 127:10 assaulted [1] 187.1 asscis [4] 185:12 186:8

189.4 190.9 assign (5) 112:19 179:10 183:2-227;4.304:19

assigned [14] 161:24 167:15,21 178:24 209:25 220:22 225:2,7 233:1 239:20 284:13.16 286:10 286:11

assigning [1] 304:11 assignment [23] 79:11 80:7 213:12,14 214:17,25 215:15:17 216:5 219:5 222:5,7 223:10 225:4 229:6 230:23 233:24

241:21 242:10 274:23 278:4,5 292:20 assignments [1] 292:22

assist pop 16:25 218:25 220:10,25 229:7 233:25 257:12 258:13 278:5 284:21

assistance (2) 19:24 20:4

assistant [5] 4:4 9:14 129:6 295:7,8 associated [1] 292:7 Association (4) 219:2 221:1 257:10 282:4

assume (9) 26:22 65:22 72:4 192:10.13,17 253:7 265:1 305:10

assumed [2] 65:20 167:25

288uming [3] 80:24 298:9 303:23

assumption [1]: 27:2 attached [1] 266:21 attachment [1] 215:23

attempting (1) 208:4 attention (3) 52:7 63:1 316:25

attorney [169] 5:6 7:3 8:16,25 9:5,12,20 10:7,15 10:22 11:16,22 12:3.5 13:9,15,20,23 14:21 15:1 15:3 28:20,24 29:3,5,10 29:13.18.20,23 30:3,8,10 30:12 31:24 32:2.8,19.23 33:1,3,11,22 34:2,12 35:2 35:8,11,13,17,22,24 36:3. 36:9,18,24 37:6 38:10.16 38:21,23 39:6,13,18 40:2 40:10,15,18,23 41:1,3,7 41:22 42:5,7.15 48:3,8 66:25 68:6 75:18 76:1,3 76:11 97:2 105:21,23 106:6 116:1,6,10,18 134:23 135:1 136:4,20 143:7,20,22,25 144:5.8

144:14,16,18,20,22 146:24 169:18 199:10 200:6,25 202:21 203:11 203:16,20,23 204:7,12,17 238:23 239:9,12.22 240:16 254:23 255:11,18 255:22,24 256:2,6.8.11

256:13,16,19 274:8,18 279:3 281:25 290:1,12 291:15.18.21,23 307:2.7 307:12.14.16.18 314:6.9 314-14 315.5,18,22 316:8

317:15 318:14,17 320:7 320:12 321:11,14,16 322:1

Attorney's [1] 198:8 attorneys [8] 13:6 25:5 26:23 76:15 159:12 254:21 255:20 314:13

audiotape (1) 58:4 suditorny 253:1 August [1] 212:21 authority [14] 75:7

244:13,18,21,25 245:1,4 245:8 246:5,7 247:2,15 249:2,4

authorization [1] 1:24 authorize (2) 15:25 253:20

authorized [5] 18:2 253:4.12.12 305:20 auto 161 243:1,20.24 244:3 244:22 246:10

attect - occome

auto-pen [1] 249:1 automation (1) 214:15 available (8) 203:1,14 219:6-223:9-236:17 237:5 246:10 289:14 Avenue (3), 1:19 4:6 9:9 AVL [1] 213:25 awarc [15] 11:14 22:13 26:25 42:17,21 43:1 62:17 66:19 126:3,5 159:3 211:20 227:23 252:21 261:12 awareness (1) 107:20

awful [1] 265:9 awfully pr 317:6 awkward[1] 153:16

-B-

b [7] 53:20 168:21 309:14 312:11,17 316:18 318:19 background [3] 18:21 100:6 169:15

badner 127:15 128:4 139:18 170:5 194:15:20 195:1,3 196:19 239:18

Bailey (111) 3:3 5:6 7:6" 8:13,16,18 9:20 10:7.15 10:22 11:22 12:5 13:19... 13:20,23 15:1.3 28:24-29:3,13,20 30:3,10.12 31:24 32:2.8,23 33:11 34:2 35:2.11.13.22 36:3.9 36:18,24 38:10.21 39:6 39:18 40:10.18 41:1.7.22 42:15 48:8 75:18 76:1,11 97:2 106:6 116:1.6.10.18 134:23 135:1 136:2,4,20. 143:20,25 144:8,16,18,20 144,22 146:24 169:18 199:10 200:6,25 202:21 203:16.23 204:12,17 239:9 240:16 249:16 254:23 255:11.18,24 256:6,11,13,19 267:14 274:18 279:3 290:1

314:9,14 315:5,18,22 316:8 318:14,17 320:12 321:11,16 Baker (1) 21:6 bank (5) 15:16:18 16:24

291:18.23 307:7,14.18

Barbara [2] 3:16 9:6 barbccue [2] 281:4.5 based [1] 55:3

185:13 186:8

basic 131 74:3 98:13 123:20

basing [2] 143:11.11 basis [5] 114:18 123:23 137:25 253:5,22

battlefield [1] 280:15 bear [4] 163:6 164:21 290:9 308:12

became pg 20:18 43:1 44.71

Booky 11 267:4 become [10] 18:23 19:15 APR-26-2002 17:10

SARGENIS COURT REPURITING

Multi-Page" buying (1) 184:23

21:12 42:16,21 169:21 170:9 252:21 261:12 263:7

becomes [1] 299:8 beg [1] 106:16 bcgan (1) 43:20 begin (2) 39:23 294:25 beginning [10] 2:13 58:16 60:9 83:18 93:4 139:2 219:17 221:18

223:25 229:13 behalf [3] 2:3 264:3

behavior(i) 212:11 behind (1) 304:15 belief pp 319:15,19 below (1) 269:19 bend (r) 172:15

benefits (1) 189:23 Berrings [2] 110:17 111:21

best [19] 59:10 69:20 117:3 135:3 138:18,21 160:20 174:5 203:24 204:16 227:12 235:15 290:21

Beth [1] 21:5. betray [1] 263:11

betrayal [2] 263:15,18 better [3] 120:25 190:4 271:16

between tiel 50:21,23 51:4 59:11 64:1 66:11 106:19 107:22 153:4.7 161:17 195:17 196:1 277:7 296:7 316:3

beverages [1] 184:23 beyond (1) 93:18 big [2] 257:14 284:17

bill (1) 16:14 billion [4] 186:14 187:20

187:23 188:11

billions (ii 190:13 Billstein [2] 2:7 10:11 bit [14] 38:12 41:24 42:3

67:24 117:4,5 186:22 203:9 204:19 211:22 213:21 242:25 250:9

289:6

Blairsville [1] 284:14 blanche [1] 150:16 blank [2] 122:24 123:3

blind [2] 150:21 298:4 blunder [2] 320:14,16.

Bodack [23] 86:25 87:9 87:14 124:21.22 125:8,23 126:5,6,12,16.18 127:1,8 131:21 132:4 138:14.19

138:23 139:1 162:8 166:3 198:15 Bodack's [1] 125:1

book [9] 285:15,16 286:18,24 287:10.18.21 287:22,25

book's[i] 288:5

bottom[4] 31:8,17 32:18 283:3 bought [1] 188:12 Boy [1] 310:11 BPR (32) 20:14,17 44:5 55:14 60:14 78:2,7 79:21 115:1 167:19 168:1 179:11,19,22,23 180:5 183:9 209:25,25 218:13 228:1 292:20 296:24 304:11,12,18,19.21 305:14,19 306:11.12

BPRs[1] 211:19 breadth [2] 300:17 301:9 broak [13] 35:4,7 36:8 42:13 75:25 136:11 199:12,22 204:4 255:7 288:20-289:20 315:14

breakdown (i) 114:4 brick m 18843 Bridges [13] 86:15,22 99:6,11,12,13.16 100:22

101:3,9,15 138:15,17 Bridges* (1) 100:23 101:11,16

brief per 53:1,18 75:17 97:1 146:23 169:17 202:20 279:2 315:21 318.4

briefed [5] 45:13 135:16 161:11,13 162:18

briefing (3) 47:5 50:17 158:21

briefly (2) 213:7 316:16 brightest (1) 290:21 bring [4] 123:23 149:15

219:19 272:16 brings (1) 83:1 Brinks [1] 190:11

broken |11 297:5 brought [4] 63:1 148:19 149:18 201:8

Brown [12] 32:12 36:13 36:17,21 199:19 267:4 304:20 305:6,9,18 307:21 308:16

BTS (2)-31:18,19 bucks [2] 188:11 190:18 build pg 208:5

building [1] 214:9 Bungo [6] 244:9 245:7 245:4 247:19 252:24

253:25 bureau [40] 2:10 12:16 25:11,12 27:25 28:2.3.13 31:2,21 43:18 44:2 72:19 80.8 94:1 119:9 173:16 173:20 174:25 177:13 204:20 213:3,5 214:24 215:4,24 217:14 219:8 220:5 225:4 237:11,19 247:13 248:2 265:16 275:1:15 291:10,12 292:3

bureaus [1] 28:4 business [1] 182-12 busy [1] 286:19

c [15] 3:1 4:1,1 8:1 80:22 248:20 249:22 250:4 252:1,4,14,22 254:7.15 311:11

CAD:[2]: 214:1,1 cadets [2] 42:19 111:24 cadre (1) 301:24 Caldwell [3] 1:8 76:16 199:23

calendar[1] 211:24 California (1) 279:21 . Campbell [29] 1:9 12:25 64:5.7.14 66:13 68:19,19 256:23 257:7,16 258:24 259:3 260:2,5.10,24 294:25 295:7,16,19,23 296:22 297:2 298:8.8

300:5.5 302:21 cannot [4] 117:23 150:4 191:21.264:16.

capability [1] 251:21 capable [1] 215:12 capacity [1] 244:17 captain (213) 6:7 25:13

26:15 27:8.10.15,25 28:11 31:5 32:4,10 39:14 40:4,8 40:12 41:6 42:24 43:8,23 46:13 49:18.9.22.23 50:15,19,21,25 51:3,7,11 53:3,13,15 55:3 56:12,21 57:2,24 58:3 60:15 61:11 69:25 70:3,14 71:6,11,15 71:20 72:16:18 77:4 78:4 78:5,12 79:4,10 80:11,12 80:24 82:18 83:2 84:14 90:24 92:17 97:11,18 99:15 102:7.9 103:18 104:7,14,17,24 107:1 108:22 110:9 112:9 125:4

127:12,25 134:7 137:19 139:14 140:6 148:16 153:21 154:19 155:5,14 159:1 160:16 163:10;12 165:17 173:12 174:12 175:13 176:7,9,11,22

177:12,17,21 178:1,11 184:18 189:7 195:17 205:19 209:4,22 210:19 210:25 211:5,19 217:1,17 213:1.10 214:17 215:1.7 215 22 216 6.9,14 217 3

217:4,13,18 218:15 219:3 219:19,24.25 220:14,18 220:22,23 221:2,15 222:2 222:4,9 223:8 224:5 226:11.14-227:4-229:23

230:2,12,19,22 231:4 232:12.25 234:8.8 235:17 237:14,15.18.24 238:2.5 238:9.14 239:21 240:11 241:10,19 242:1 257:2,16 257:18,20 258:9,10,15,17

258:21 265:20 273:19 275:19 277:19:20 278:8 286:23 287:5 291:7 292:2 292:17 293:2,17 294:1.9 295:1 299:3 304:20

36:14,18,20 305:18 307:20 308:16,24 309:7 320:21

captain's[1] 293:6 captains [3] 223:5.8

caption[1] 12:20 capture [1] 13:11 care [4] 38:22 126:17

245:21 287:20 CAFCCT [10] 226:14 235:24 236:2,7 237:25 290:24 292:7,11,14 311:8

careful [1] 252:18 carried (4) 70:20 71:4.9

71:14 CETS (1) 204:22 carte [1] 150:16

Case [39] 1:6 11:1 12:20 13:3 19:3 25:1 32:14 39:23 41:11,13 68:15 78:11,12 92:6 103:11 115:18 127:13,16,17 128:9 131:15,17 166:17 166:20 167:1 190:1

193:18,25 209:22,24 210:5 233:22 234:1 239:3 240:2 241:18 262:2

271:19 292:12 Cascs [2] 124:5 166:24 cashed (1) 187:3 cast [1] 204:24 catch (1) 39:8

caught [2] 729:20.21 caused (1) 134:2 caveat [i] 232:25

center [3] 2:10 12:16 208:7

centered [1] 283:22 centers [2] 214:2,11 ceremony [1] 43:5

cert (e) 15:20 16:17 17:3 185:11 189:1 190:4 certain [4] 19:1 142:15

244:20.22 certainly (4) 39:1 154:11 157:14 158:4 234:20 250:14

CERTIFICATE [1] 5:8 certifying [1] 1:25 cetera (a) 19:20,20 139;25

chain [8] 105:2,3 165:3 211:6 217:3 248:22 262:3 262:6

challenging 77 23:8 chance [2] 204.4 288:22 change (26) 10:3 23:12 41:23 125:10 126:7 136:9 204:18:211:22 242:24 246:16 247:17,20 248:18 248:18 249:8 255:6 263:23 264:2,4,10,10 272:20,20 310:20 311:21

319;16. changed [6] 248:7.9,13

271:14 312:3 317:9

changes (s) 245:16 252:17 310:7.7.13 311:20 changing (2) 188:18 252:16

character (i) 172:14 characterization [2] 140:7 158:19 characterize (1) 292:19 charge [8] 61:21 75:9

95:20,23 123:23 129:7 164:8 174:13 charged [1] 185.15

charges [i] 272:16 charitable in 1415 check [15] 8:14 70:6 88:12 89:18 111:22 112:20 127:6 171:22 173:2,5 188:22 196:1,5

198:11 199:16 checked [5] 31:7 34:3 127:8 148:21 201:23

checking (4) 94:5 103:1 114:18 196:9 Chief [8] 3:17 9:6 22:18

24:1 263:5 295:10,12,14 children [1] 206:15

choose [1] 237:1 chosen [1] 262:23

Christic [26] 3:16 7:4 9:5 9:6 29:10:18.23 30:8 33:3 33:22 37:6 38:16.23 42:5 48:3 105:21 143:7,22 144:5,14 203:11,20 204:7

307:2,12,16 Christie's [1] 9:19 CI[12] 58:24 59;21 62:19

62:24 83:6 86:3.13 87:13 122:25 138:15 190:24 191:7

CIA (1) 62:17 circumstance [3] 78:9 1 15:5 303:25 circumstances [26] 26:5

26:11 108:14 113:4,10,19 113:23 115:9 136:24 137;4 149;7 164;14,20,22 183:17 186:10,13 190:21 196:17 212:9 286:7 295;21 296:11,47 300:24

303:19 circumvented (2) 165:4 262:5

circumventing [1] 297:13

circumvention(1) 262:2 cite [2] 89:2,5

citizen (14 20:12 Civil [4] 2:5 13:2 68:15 290:13

civilianization[1] 27:21

claim [4] 114:17 173:5 185:8 202:10 clairvoyant (+) 57:3

Index Page 3

HFK-50-5005 11.11

318:11

320:8

class [1] 21:16

clean (1) 216:3

289:7 321:9.17

cloud [1] 49:3

190:2 206:1

154:23 155:4,19,20,22

156:3,15,18,21 157:18,20

157:21 158:14.25 159:6

160:2,7 164:11 173:7,15

74:19

commissioners [5]

113:16

128:22

DHMUDHITU CUUNT Multi-Page "

clarification (11 273:14 clarified (2) 317:22 clarify [3] 103:17 128:6 clarifying (1) 322:4 classes [1] 280:24 clear [6] 98:14 268:15,19 271:16 302:17.321:18. cleared [3] 34:21 128:21 clearly [5] 269:2 281:18 302:4 303:8 320:14 client [5] 174:4 190:17 Clinton's pp 73:18 close [2] 49:24 78:24 closed [15]: 47:8-52:14 -69:13 128:9 139:16 192:23 193:9,14,17 194:2 194:3,4 211:2 319:3,9 Cobra [1] 204:25 Codefendants [1] coffee [4] 17:23 189:9 coincide [1] 288:9 colleagues (1) 299:5 Colleen [3] 22:15,20 24:4 College [7] 231:13,15,16 283:22 284:1,2.8 colonel (318) 9:3 10:23 13:24 14:13 21:19,23 24:23 30:13 34:20 35:7 36:2,11 37:1 41:23 42:23 43:7,19,22 45:16,17 46:11 46:17.25 47:12 48:20,22 48:24 49:2,5,11,12 50:4 50:25 51:1,10 52:25 53:25 54:17,17 55:7.20 56:13 58:8,14,25 59:17,20,22 59:24 61:10,12 62:23,23 67:6,23 69:9,11:17,24 70:3 71:10,16,25 72:13 72:14 74:16 76:12,20 77:3 78:7,16,16,22,22 79:8.9 79:18 80:12,13 81:7 82:7 83:22 85:16 86:12,20 90:12,15,21,22 92:24 95:25 96:10,12 98:11 99:8 99:14 101:20 102:1.9,19 103:16.24.25 104:15.15 105:7 107:2,13 110:4,7 110:23 116:7 117:14 120:1 127:24 133:19,19 133:21,23,25 134:3,4,7 134:11,14,15,17 135:6,9 135:11,13,23 137:18 138:9 139:14,19 141:16 147:4,11 150:22 151:16 151:18,20 153:13,13,15 153:19.20 154:2,8,10,18

78:4,9 179:1,1,2,4 179:5,8,15,16,17.18,21,25 180:1 181:10,15,21 182:9 182:11.21 183:1,4 184:17 186:16 195:12 203:3,14 204:8,14,19 209:3 210:18 210.19,20,22 211:4,8,15 212:10,14 216:10,13,21 217:2,6,16 218:24 219:15 221:22 222:3,15,16,17,18 222:19.23 223:20,22 224:2 225:21 226:18 229:8,18-230:8,9,10 231:2 231:20,25 232:4 233:7 236:4 237:21,23 241:13 241:16,23 242:10,12,15 242:16,20 245:23 247:11 247:12 248:17 250:1 253:11 254:6.18 255:19 256:9,20 258:22 260:17 262:5 263:6:11 267:3 269:12 271:25 275:10.21 276:4,9 277:25 279:4 280:6,22,23 281:11 283:16 285:2,19 286:8 288:1,3,4,16 289:13,24 290.2 292:23,24-293:12 294:3,9,14,17,23 301:18 302:20 304:9 305:14 306:10,15,19 310:2 311:22 315:19 316:10 319:19 320:9,18 colonel's [2] 232:6 269:22 coloncis [25] 54:19,21 59:18 85:5 86:4 95:17 133:13 134:10 140:17,19 141:6 147:2,17,18,20,22 147,24 148:5 177:19,20 246:24 254:12 262:22 268:3,24 combat(1) 231:5 coming [3] 130:9 205:8 314:22 command [14], 28:13 47:14 54:10 105:2,4 165:3 167:24 168:1 211:5 217:4 217:15 248:23 262:3.6 commander [5] 79:8 93:25 176:13,24 284:10 commanders (1) 284:16 commanding [1] 313:4 commands [2] 47:16 54:5 comment (3), 212:16 316:21 317:6 comments (5) 103:4 106:8 107:19 145:4 315:24 commissioner [28] 2:8 21:24 22:16 23:25 24:15 30:1 43:23 48:6 54:16 75:1 81:4 113:24 143:13 143:15 144:2 147:7,10 201:15-218:2-238:1-242:22 253:8 272:2,3 292:10 307:23 308:6 322:11

54:18 246:8,21 249:6 commissioners' (2) 47:16:54:5 commitment [1] 215:21 committed [3] 296:20 297:4,13 committee [12] 87:22 207:5,23 285:15,16 286:18,24 287:10,18.21 287:22:25 common [3]. 108:6 168:20 169:5 Commonwealth [3] 2:9 206:13 227:15 communicate (1) 16:9 communicating [2] 54:7 115:13 community [1] 206:11 companies [2] 188:1 180.1 comparable (2) 190;21 compare [3] 189:6,10,19 compares [1] 190:15 comparison [1] 310:23 compassionate [1] 228:25 competition [1] 263:7 complained [2] 176:25 242:19 complaining [1] 202:11 complains (1) 218:8 complaint [22] 22:11.13 22:15,19 23:7,23 24:2,14 78:4,6 209:12,18 242:19 244:20 290:3 294:11 299:1 311:12 312:15 317:25,25 318:13 complaint's na 290:10 complaints [2] 218:7 244:19 complete (2) 203:3 228:13 completed [3] 122:4.7 214:4 completely [1] 164:1 completion (2) 214:25 215:23 composed [1] 290:11 computers [1] 214:13 concept[1] 146:3 concern [26] 96:15.18,20 96:25 97:3,5 102:16.19 102:21 103:5,19,22,23 104:13 105:6 106:14 107:10 122:10 148:23 164:16-174:6-196:19 212:8 251:17 262:7 301:16 concerned (22) 45:24 45:3 47:4,11 53:12 60:25 61:2.5-100:20-104:10 123:9 125:15 148:17,18 commissioner's 121 6:8 148:20 149:2 157:24 191:24 250:16 262:1

2:9 301 concerning [17 258:18 concerns [7] 46:5,9,11 81:12 85:24 251:12 298:6 concluded pr 322 8,12 conclusary [1] 290:16 conclusion in 85:10 91:24 92:1 105:9 108:24 275:3 236:14 conclusions [7] 59:13 77:2 178:6,9 210:17 212:15 311:15 concurrence [1] 230;11 concurrent pr 197:18 condition (1) 302:3 conduct [13] 45:20 47:22 69:5,8.77:2 113:8 115:3 123:24 124:2,3,10,12 303:15 conducted [2] 297:25 300:12 conducting [5] 44:7 45:3,9 47:23 73:5 Conference [2] 227:8 235:2 conferred [1] 295:16 confess [2] 19:9 117:2 confidential [9] 57:18 58:5 62:18 97:13 99:20 125:2 138:22 191:12 244:15 confidentiality [3] 97:11 103:10 106:18 confirm [1] 193:8 confirmed[1] 226:19 confluence [1] 170:20 conforming (1) 189:14 confused [1] 283:15 confusion [1] 128:23 congressman [1] 253:2 Conley [30] 1:12 13:2 71:17 79:24 80:14 81:1,2 81:3 104:8 167:14,18,18 167:24,25 173:22 175:2 217:7 219:6,13 221:23 222:17,19:24 297:14 303:7 306:10,15.20 307:22 310:2 connection [1] 171:17 connections [1] 139:11 consensual [1] 87:10 consider [3] 36:21 72:1 223:6 consideration [3] 49:4 103:12.45 considerations [1] 302:2 considered [4] 174:2 175:14 223:7 266:13 considering [1] 23:19 consistent (5) 17:4,6 231:1 238:11 239:13 292:13 298:2 321:5 consolidated (2) 214:2

clarification - correct 214:10 conspiracy (1) 169:3 Constitution (1) 304:7 consult [2] 22:17 24:1 consulted (4) 222:25 223:1 287:5.24 contact [2] 66:14 76:14. contacted (3) 57:25 71:6 127:18 contacting (i) 127:2 contend (1) 38:24 content (1) 313:23 contention [1] 39:2 contents (1), 313:7. context [4] 106:3 T07:15 107:22 118:22 Conti [1] 209:11 continual [1] 286:12 continue [2] 44:17,19 continuing [2] 286:9 289:23 contradict [2] 227:18,20 contrary in 178:16 control [1] 251:20 Convention (1) 220:12 conversation [11] 47:2 49:16 50:21 59:11 89:23 105:16 132:2 146:12 150:13 154:5 180:25 conversations [5] 61:19 135;5 191:18 260:6 306:23 convicted [1] 19:14 cooperate [1] 104:2 cooperation (1) 321:20 coordinated pp 17:15 coordinating [1] 54:7 COP [1] 196:19 copies [4] 29:15.17.22 243:16 copy [16] 10:2 29:11 38:3 38.7.13,20 40:20 42:14 201:19,20 211:9,16 308:5 314:24 315:2 316:13 corner (1) 266:24 corporal (1) 43:4 corporate [1] 188:18 correct [92] 11:1 20:13 24:22 27:17 37:4,5 51:19 52:3,17 57:11 58:1 63:3.4 67:3 69:3,15,16 74:17 77:18 79:22 82:10 83:2 85:23 86:4 91:10 94:13 98:19,20 99:3 104:4 105:17 107:12 115:6 117:12 128:14 132:2 147:6 148:7.8.11 152:12 153:23,24 154:2 155:6,16 155:21 156:8,9,16 168:2 171:11 175:16 177:23 183:21,22 216:1,17.18,25 217:5 218:4,5 221:8 236:16,18 238:10 239:21 242:23 246:25 253.17.18 254:17 256:23-259:16 262:9,13,25 266:22 271:2 HEK-KO-KONK 11.11

277:1 281:25 288:17 290:8 295:3 302:24 303:10.11 310:17 311:23 312:8 317:18

corrections (1) 249:10 correctly [7] 75:16 158:7 163:8,9 270:22 271:15 272:18

correspondence [11 286.2

corruption [19] 44:8,9 45:4 55:16 77:20 83:12 117:24 118:2,11 119:17 124:5 130:21 139:10 142:23 143:5 166:17 167:1 190:3,19

cost [3] 17:16;23 214:4: could've[1] 272:13 counse! [33] 3:6.9;10;14 3:17,21 4:4,8 9:2,7,15 29:12 33:4,23 36:15,16 36:19 37:9 38:11,18 42:6 68:3 105:22 143:8,21 144:7 200:10 202:16 238:17 240:6,7 312:2

317-16 Counsel's [3] 22:18 24:1 36:6

Count (1) 122:22 country [1] 190:14

County (2) 17:24 218:16 couple [17] 17:24 47:13 57:23 58:23 112:19 120:8 153:5 179:10,19 189:9 190:1 204:10 221:3 224:10 242:25 261:10

313:2 CONTECTION 30:16:57:6 85:2 95:6 98:13 216:12 235:6 287:4,17 300:15 320:17

court [25] 1:1 2:7 4:16 10:5,10,12 12:11,21 13:13 42:11 227:15 234:1 239:2 239:2,14 240:1,18,24 241:1 274:10.11 307:4 312:18 318:20 320:2

court's [1] 238:12 courtesy (3) 76:13 203:6 321:23

Coury [48] 1:10 13:1 20:2 30:21,24 90:12,15,21 110:7,16,20,23 139:19 140:1 153:14 154:9 155:20:156:18 179:2,5,16 179:18.21 180:1 183:4 209:3 219:16 226:18 230:8 254:6 275:5,10,22 276:4,10,13,22 280:23,23 281:11-285:20-287:13:13 288:3 303:7 304:7.9

Coury's [6] 96:10.13 110:4 160:8 229:23 231:2 cover[2] 306:5.7 credence [1] 148:13 credentials (2) 250:16 251:20

credibility (1) 102:25

306:19

224:10 225:8 261:11 (1) 250:25

crime [2] 112:2 320:17 criminal [20] 20:15 74:9 112:24 113:7 114:8,11,13 114:21 115:23 136:22 137:22 196:13,17 197:9 197:15,18,21,25 198:19 199:1 criminally (2) 112:18

137:10 criminals (1) 113:21 criteria (2) 23:14 213:16

crossed (1) 133:3 cup [1] 17:23 cups (2) 189:9 190:1

curfew [1] 285:9 curfews [1] 229:18 curious pr 33:24 37:20 41:16

Currency [1] 186:17 current [2] 64:17 211:18. Cush psi 58:12 59:11 65:2 81:16 82:12,13 83:14 84:2,9,19 85:1,3,11,14 91:22,25 96:4.9 97:8 98:21 99:13 101:14,18

102:21,25 106:19 111:17 111:20 112:12 151:11 161:15 166:18 177:8 193:2,3 205:22

Cush's m 101:15 custodian [1] 200:15 custom [1] 297:7 customarily (1) 292:7 cut in 79:12

-D-

D rat: 5:1 8:1 daily (3) 253:5,10:21 damage [1] 202:9 Dan (1) 239:16 darn (ij. 311:18 Darrell [12] 1:5 8:18 12:23 199:15 233:15 257:2 265:20 275:19 284:18 290:20 293:22 303:20 dash (11) 123:5 150:4 163:10.165:18 167:5

191:24 193:18 194:1.5,15 194:16 date [13] 12:12 31:8:16 32:17 46:22 162:25

217:20 224:23 228:6.9 257:9 258:2 282:25 dated [3] 28:16 265:2

316:13 dates (3) 217:25 252:19 292:5

daughter (4) 18:7 20:24 22:24 23:5

daughter's [1] 22:22 David (21 132:15.20 Dawire (1) 201:4 days (6) 47:14 53:24

Multi-Page 1^m

292:2 309:13,25 deadline pr 275:2 deal (4) 19:8 48:25 202:12

251:13 dealing in 25:2 debriefing [1] 183:24 December 171 217:22

218:19,20 219:17 221:7 223:24 229:13

decide [6] 175:12 218:18 244:19 245:2,8 320:2

decided [4] 66:6 180:1 236:19 298:12 decipher (1) 117:3

decision [13] 146:5 218:15 226:20,25 227:24 231:20 238:4,12 245:9 246:5 247:9 286:1.3

decisions [2] 212:1 244:14 declared [2] 229:16

285:9 declined [3] 193:19

198:4.7 Deeds til 2:8 deeply [1] 36:5 defect (1) 172:13

294:25 312:5 Defendants pop 1:13 3:14,21 4:8 9:4.16 108:12

Defendant [3] 293:13

298:7 301:24 303:7 defense (2) 120:16 240:5 deficiencies [1]-230:20

deficit (1) 230:3 defined [2] 208:10 272:15

definition [3] 120:13 123:20 303:24 degree [2] 270:24 271:20

delay[1] 34:24 deliver (1) 192.12 delivered (1) 205:14

demand [1] 232:3 democrats [1] 124:7 demonstrate (1) 178:15

demonstrating [1] 298:3. demonstrations (2)

229:15 285:8 denied [3] 185:8 189:16

300:16 deny [1] 295 6

department [15] 23:11 27:22.46:19,21-53:9-73:1 73:2 109:6 205:11.14 207:25 224:15 250:21 251:1 263:21

depend 111 247:7 deposed [2] 202:17.24 deposition (38) 1:16 2:1

11:25 12:15 14:8 29:7 32:22 34:15,20,24 39:17 75:24 76:9 96:11 110:5

corrections - documents

36:10 140:10 116: 181:2,16 182:18 200:1 201:16 202:14 209:9 210:21 255:17 274:14 disagree (3) 108:5 281:20 289:24 305:9

310:3 313:2 314:23 319:14 322:8,11:14 depositions (1) 10:25 depository (1) 190:9

depth [2] 300:18-301:10 deputies [4] 54:9 246:2 246:22,22

deputy [19] 3:9-22:16 23:24 24:14 43:22 47:15 54:4.16.18 81:4 230:8 242:22 246:1.8 247:9 249:5 254:3 295:12,14

describe (2) 243:7 244:10

describing [1] 252:6 description [2] 6:4 293:15

deserve [1] 113:2 design [2] 213:18,22 desk [1] 276:19 despite (1) 303:13

destroying [1] 299:4 detached (3) 213:3 217:14 228:1

details [2] 139:23:280:10 determine [1] 301:17 develop pr 213:16 developed (1) 271:23

Development [6] 25:11 220:6-237:20 247:14,25 248:3

device [11 281:5 devotion [1] 298:4 dic [1] 204:24

Diego [3] 279:6,14 280:3 difference [5] 137:2 270:8 272:25 296:7 320:1

different pay 11:5 113:12 114:20:22 196:21 196:24 230:1 253:4 283:18 296:14 313:20 differently (1) 137:1 difficult [2] 203:3 317:7

difficulty [2] 101:19 215:6

direct [3] 36:16 52:6 306:22 directed [2] 103:10

306:20 directing (1) 36:13 direction (3) 181:4

211:22 300:4 directions 121 53:7 70:4 directives (1) 72.7 directly [4] 95:21,21

158:2 173:14 director(21) 6:6 25:10 44 1 45:1-72:19 79:19 80:8.94:1.173:16,20 174:25 177:14 237:11

248:2 265:15 275:15 291:8,10 292:3 293:23 309:12

303:21 313:18 disapproved [2] 30:21 30:25 disciplinary [1] 114:15 discipline [2] 175:24 261:21

disciplined in 177.24 disclosed up 125:4 disclosure (1) 68:14 discovery [1] 32:1

discretion [5] 97.15.21 9.7:21 98:6 106:23 discrimination pr 218:9

discuss [10] 64:24 65:18 219:20 224:1 261:14,16 261:18 279:8,10 306:14

discussed [10] 107:6 149:19 154:10 174:8 179:3 210:16-241:25 257:15 306:18 309:8

discussing (1) 97:10 discussion [7] 5:3.7 42:9 106:18,22 135:17 309:2

discussions (7) 68:2.6 100:13 260:3.17.20 279:11

disloyal [1] 70:15 dismiss (4) 173:6,9 312:19 318:21

dismissed [3] 238:24 239:3,8 dispatch [2] 214:2,10

dispense [2] 11:3 13:25 displayed [1] 206:4 displeasure [1] 52:8

dispute [1] 290:23 dissatisfaction (1) 104:6

dissemination pg-74:5 distinction [1] 66:10 distinguish 121 50:23 51:4

District [4] 1:1,2 12:21 12:22

division [8] 79:21 111:18 112:2 129:16 130:1-216:1-228:1-291:8

document [17] 6:10 26:23 28:19,22 30:15 31:5 31:9.11.16.18 32:16 33:14 37:24.24 38:7 42:9 106:1 128:25 200:13,17 201:9 201:22 202:1.4,7.254:20 255:21 256:15,18.21 264:14,17 266:13,24

274:6-316:7,11 document's pr 42:10 documents [13] 24:24 24:25 27:15 34:6 37:13

38:4 76:17 139:3 243:15 243:17 244:2.22 312:6

APR-26-2002 17:12

doesn't (14) 72:23 75:4

77:22 85:8 86:10,11,11

234:19,23 246:4 314:11

dollars [6] 16:4 186:14

186:15 187:20,23 190:13

donated [4] 207:9,11,13

done [32] 25:19,21 30:4

145:23 162:4 164:17

108:22 111:14 H5:16.18

170.6 171:24 172:5 175:4

206:23 222:5 223:11,12

223:20 254:21 281:10

288:6 299:2 310:6.22

311:9 321:10

door (1) 24:18

321:9

double-check [5]

158:20 204:3 246:17,18

53:6,18 84:15 90:8 105:19

253:24 254:2 257:1 261:7

133:5 146:18 149:17,20

down (30) 9:24 43:19

168:7 182:7 188:12

192:22 219:25 243:12

261:14,16,17 269:19

dozen [1] 29:14

drafted (1) 286:1

drafts [1] 310:7

drew [1] 91:24

due [1] 243:19

DUI [1] 24:19

driving [1] 190:7

280:12,15,18,21 287:6

draw [2] 66:10 188:25

drug [2] 205:10 206:12

during [23] 32:1 35:10

35:20 36:7 39:3 42:13

140:10 158:8 176:24

182:18 201:9 205:5.9

206:8 252:22 254:10

duties [2] 244:11 298:2

duty 191 39:8 48:18,23

287:2 309:1 310:2

173:2 236:5

47.5-50:16 96:10 132:1

89:5 147:16 171:19

Don (2) 3:3 8:17

donate [1] 207:3

207:14

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING

Multi-Page 1M

escort [2] 15:25 [85:12 4:3,11

207:4 208:6 275:16 122:5.6 doggone [2] 82:4 164:10 | Educational [1]: 207:23 EEOC(1) 218:7

effect (6) 59:1 216:4.22 299:3 317:12,20 effective[2] 224:23

eastern [1] 16:17

cducation [7] 43:18

204:21 205:11 206:12

efficient [1] 251:5 eight[1] 123:4 66:9 80:24 81:2,20 100:11 Einsel [4] 275:12.14

> 276:5.17 either (17) 23:14 62:22 69:24 72:5 82:16 83:3 147:13 169:25 201:5 221:21 222:14,22 236:1 245:25 250:12 283:12

294-14 eligible (1) 100:2" eliminating (1) 298:8 Elmerton pp 3:19 4:6

embarrassed [1] -73:15 cmbarrassing [3] 46:1 46:5 195:24

emergency [4] 229:16 241:3,6 285:8 cmphasis is 313:9,16

319:3,7,9 emphasize[1] 317:2.

cmployed [1] 12:10 employee [2] 317:1 318:9

employees [1] 286:13 employment pg 302:4 empty (i) 191:14

enclosure [2] 266:9,19 end [14] .42:12.45:17. 59:23 79:14 118:2,3

133:23 160:21 201:14 202:13 219:17 223:24 273:23 302:14

enforcement [10] 74:23 77:12.98:5 120:3 142:25 145:19,25 251:7 298:1,21

enhancement[1] 236:7 enhancing [2] 235:25 236:2

entered [1] 65:10 entertain (1) 230:18 entertained (1) 240:1

cntire [4] 21:16 112:16 : 114:6 288:11

equal (1) 206:2 equipment [2] 188:8 214:12

erroncously [11 309:10 error [e] 81:17,19 151:23 176:12,22 275:7 312:8 316:4

CTFOTE [2] 172:12.18 crstwhile 121 76:15 288:19

ESQUIRE (3) 3:3,8,16 established (3) 85:18

cstate (2) 207:15 208:22 estimates [1] 16:5 estimation py 232:1 ct [3] -19:20,20 139:25

ethical Int 45:21 ethics [1] 145:18 evaluate [1] 242

evaluation [1] 184:15 Evenko (57) 1:8,17 2:3 5:4 8:4,8,9,10 9:3 12:25 13:5 76:9 78:7 107:13 120:1 129:2 136:10,19.21 138:7.150:22.173:8

190:22:23 200:2,10 203:4 203:14 204:8 237:23 241:16 245:23 250:1 254:18 255:17 256:20

271:25:288:16 289:25 293:13 294:23 295:16 298:7,11 300:4 301:1,13 302:25 303:5,14 307:23

312:6 316:10 319:19 320:10,18 322:12 Evanko's [4] 34:21

74:16 201:15 302:20 Evans [2] 18:25 19:4

event [5] 43:3 108:16,17 183:17 257:14 280:25

events (15): 18:9,12 53:8 72:8 114:19 164:3 165:9 170:21 183:20 227:1 252:20 281:9.15.16 308:21

evidence [2] 125:6 202:8 cxactiv [4] 11:21 55:22 171:23 236:10

examination [4] 5:5 10:21 19:18 100:5,6.8 example [8] 83:5 95:16 121:2 126:21 207:9

244:21 292:23 298:12 except [2] 127:14 201:19

excerpt[i] 143:12 excluding [1] 248:13

cxcu8c [4] 11:9:42:6 62:17 136:2:

executive [3] 244:16.17 267:8 exercise (3): 94:15 97:20

98:6 exercised (1) 175:14

exhibit [13] 6:1 29:6.7 38:9 116:15 160:12 256:4 256:10 264:21 265:13 267:17 274:12.14

exigent (2) 186:10,12 exist [1] 107:21 existed [2] 31:5 286:8 existence (ii 311:3 exists [1] 200:19

exotic [1] 129:24

expect[14] 93:12 94:15 94:19 95:11,19 97:12,17 98:4 119:19.24 121:1 187:21 197:24 251:24 expectation [9] 45:23 47.19 54:8 75:3,5 94:23 104:22 120:19 217:1 expected (i) 211:3 expenditure [2] 205/18 206:2 expenses (2) 206:16,17

experience [8] 14:17 18:17 230:3,5-276:16 292:16 310:8,9 explain [4], 120:24 165:11 174:15 276:23

explained (1) 194:16 exploded (4) 229:14 285:6 293:13,14 expound[1] 290:7

CXPTCSS [2] 96:14 258:3 expressed (9) 102:16.18 102:21 103:18,21,23 106:13 107:10 148:23

expresses [1] 174:5 extended (1) 203:7 extent [4] 69:18 100:23

139:10 296:2 cxtra[1] 202:19 extracurricular [1] 286:25

extremely [1] 263:13 eye (2), 23:19 124:19

eyeball [1] 102:5 cycballing 41 102:5

face [1] 225:21 facetious [2] 17:21 57:1 fact 1331 44:25 48:22 49:18 52:24 53:23:57:18 61:4,6 87:13 90:10 101:23 102:3 104:7.21 111:17 148:10 167:13 175:25 176:1,4,5,6 179:16 191:11 201;13 202:11 218:1 253;14 254:24 275:8 290:17 303:14 308:25 factor [2] 104:23 105:1

facts [32] 56:3,15 57:8,14 69:6,8 103:1 108:13 113:3 113:10,18 115:9 122:2 136:24 137:3 149:7 154:16 164:19,22 179:15 179:20 183:16 196:16 212:9-227:18 239:25

240:15 275:3 296:1 303:19,25 307:25 failed [2] 24:4.7 fair (27) 14:4 23:20,22 49:12 56:14 67:12 68:1

68:11 80:10 81:15 109:21 124:1-147:14,15 176:1 230:15.236:21.250:19 251:8,9,10,15 255:25 256:14 295:3 300:1.3

fairly (1) 312:13 fairness 167 23:9 108:25 128:7 140:1.4 163:25 falls [2] 242:14 247:14 false [2] 275:4 312:2 femiliar (4) 18:19 152:18 248:4 250:7

familiarity (1)-312:25 far (5) .21:22 32:3 41:17 100:19 302:9

Fargo [1] 190:12 fashion [1] 121:4 faster (1) 117:13 father [1] 208:21

favors [1] 162:12 FBI [144] 42:17 44:4 47:7 47:22 51:12 52:10,13 53:14 55:2,9,23;24 56:5,6 56:7,8 57:15,17,21,25

58:5 59:2 60:10,11,13,20 61:13 62:20 63:9,19.22 65.5,12 66.6,19 69:12,19 70:24 71:6 74:8 75:8 78:13 79:5 81:10 82:1 86:3 87:8 92:14 93:8.19 94:4,10,12 96:15,21 97:6

98:1 99:17 102:1 103:9 103:13.19.22 107:4,20,23 108:14 109:17 115:10 117:15 118:15 119:4 121:12,21 124:7,12 125:7

125:13,24 126:4.11 127:12,17,25 128:8 129:20,21 137:5,19 138:22 139:3,9.15,20

141:4 148:13.14.19 153:22 154:23 159:19.19 162:10 164:6 165:9 166:7 171:18,24 172:25 174:2,6

176:16 177:1,15 183:20 184:24 189:10 190:2 192:6 193:5 195:11.17.20 196:2 198:2,7,19 205:22 206:19 212:10 216:11.12

258:19 269:14 280:24 293:24 294:22 295:21 300:19,21 301:11,17 303:25 308:22

FBI'S [4] 73:5 125:2.2 126:22

fear(1) 119:11 fcars (1) 51:14 February [10] 162.9 166:5 249:11,12,14 263:24 274:2 308:4.12

312:4 federal (5) 68:14 119:9 193:19,20 290:13 feds (n. 193:23 feeling [2] 96:24 231:11

fælings (1) 125:11 feet (1) 231:4 fcll 111 247:8 fellows (1) 296:5

felt (9) 52:2 77:41 108:22 140:5 198:24 215:1.11 239:19 297:12

FEMA (1) 241:2

-E-

74:2 93:23.109:15.171:21

e (9) 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 8:1,1 27:18 288:5 e-mail [2] 27:13 256:22

eagles [1] 288:19 carly [15] 44;4 48:14 53:24 60:4.14 99:18.18 115:10 127:22 139:2 166:3,4 218:20 258:17

291:9 cars [1] 85:3 easier [1] 289:8 east[1] 15:21

217:19 221:11

218:3 221:3,10

grieve (1) 190:17

group [10] 21:16 22:2,4

APR-26-2002 17:13

SARGENIS COURT REPORTING

Multi-Page'

green [1] 37:22 full-time (1) 286:11 gricvance (3) 185:8 fulltime [3] 278:6 279:18 284:22 gricvances (4) 217:24

function (1) 245:11 funeral [1] 80:5 future (1) 57:4

77:14,16 95:15 121:14 -G-250:7 251:24 272:7 guard [3] 186:8 229:17 265:20 275:19 785.9 gained [1] 95:5 gcars [2] 41:24 204:18 geez [1] 32:7 general [4] 3:9,10 9:2 253:2 generally [2] 77:16 97:23 gentleman [3] 226:23 230:2 256:22 gentlemen [4] 76:2 157:11 200:8 205:23 Gettysburg [1] 280:12 Gigliatti pr 64:17,22,25

126:20

206:8

274:23 298:10-

giving (2) 289:4.5

glance [1] 168:25

177:13 200:11

gong [1] 191:25

184:9 199:14 200:8

213:12 284:5 292:14

good-looking[1]

301:2.13 302:10

115:14 251:13

290:24

295:10

God [2] 171:7 172:10

guy [5] 15:7 171:20 173:4 193:4.4 guys [3] 72:12 151:3

-H-

half [9] 129:10,14 194:21 194:23,24.25 195:6 229:24 278:25 hand [6]. 8:5 122:16,17 173;7,10 274:9 handed [1] 42:10 handle [2] 243:15 244:2 handled [2] 115:13 220:18 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 hands [1] 244:4 handwriting [1] 123:7 fiandy [1] 314:25

> heraes [3] 301:22 303:6 303:8 hard 121 63.8 142:9

3:13,20 4:7 8:22 9:9 12:18 highly (11 251:6 239:18 240:22 284:4

Harrisburg/[1] 240:11 Harrisburg/Hersbey [1] 238:13

Hewthorne [2] 1:12 13:2 he'din 139:21

heading [1] 298:24 headquartered (1)

headquarters [17] 32:6 32:7 33:10 34:23 64:8 157:20 188:19 200:21.24 201:1.202:6 280:25

hear 31 102:8 110:7

female - Hikus

294:13 heard [15] 14:2 15:13 110:4 142:13 160:1 177:17,18 234:4 239:11 241:17 254:7,9.15 270:16 185:24,25 284:7 240:22 177:10

female [8] 19:11 20:12 277:14,15,16,18,19,20 few [5] 18:16 98:17 117:7 172:6 190:18 Finidiz [2] 207:15 208:23 fiasco [1] 73:20 field [13] 77:12,19 80:15 80:17 180:6 312:11,17 313:10,12 315:20 316:19 319:4,21 fight [1] 234:18 figure [1] 196:15 figured n1 198:19 file [51] 25:16 26:6.8 27:7 27:10,25 28:3,7 30:15 31.6,10,12,16 32:4.15 33:6,14,16,17,21 34:4.7 35:6 36:22 37:1,19 38:1,5 39:1,16 40:1,6,14,21,22 199:17 200:12,18,19,22 201:2.7.19,21,24 202:2,4 202:5 217:18 310:16 312.6 filed (11) 22:11 185:7 217:23 218:3 220:22 221:3 224:14 227:15,21 228:4 308:13 files [15] 24:25 25:12 26:10,12,16,21 27:3,6 41:15 162:3 201:5 233:15 234:9 310:4.10 filling (1) 293:2 final [3] 220:25 245:8,24 finally (1) 100:9 finding [2] 210:4 212:8 findings (1) 57:22 fine pp. 12:7-89:15 128:23 141:11 149:8 159:2 199:19 215:12 226:17 finest m 288:10 finish [8] 33:25 77:5 160:11 178:18 220:17 256:7.12 312:12 finished in 307:17 finishes (1) 288:7 finishing [1] 219:4 fired (1) 231:18 first [59] 24:5,7 39:20 42:16 46:15,23 47:2,13 54:1 55:5 64:4 66:19,23 67:4,13 68:7,8 83:19 94:5 117:8 122:18 124:25 129:3 132:12 138:19 145:5 155:7 160:22 162;18,20,24 163;1,16,17 164:9 168:25 174:16 177:6 179:5 181:24 196:7

205:3 212:13 219;13,16

221:16 252:21 254:9,15

261:11 263:15 268:1

277:21 300:17 301:9

fitness [4] 19:19 22:10

122:23 186:14 187:20,23

188:11-225:8 289:1

fit [2] 293:14,14

23:10 100:7

New [2] 181:12 226:24 Floorm 3:12 flying [1] 182:5 focusin 178; folder (1) 27:22 folders nr 28:5 folks m 186:8 follow [2] 104:19 147:18 | G [6] 1:5 8:1,19 12:23 follow-up (11 153:6 followed (s) 104:17 227:2 297:23 following [11] 55:21 72:7 85:8 104:14,21,24 152-15 201:11 234:8 302:16,19 follows (2) 266:17 312:16 foolish [1] 108:4 football [1] 120:16 forces [1] 280:12 Ford in 204:25 forget (3) 205:23 248:11 GIS (1) 213:25 Forgive [1] 141:23 giveaway [1] 206:21 forgot [1] 241:8 form [3] 14:25 48:7 269:11 given [13] 37:9 38:14 formality [4] 225:16,17 227:3 228:7 format [2] 23:14 245:25 former [1] 315:23 forward (1) 240:10 forwarded [2] 23:24 308:5 found (5T 46:14 60:16 93:19 114:5 311:13 founded (1) 82:3 four [11] 6:10 48:11.12 52:21 54:11,14,24,25 122:23 157:1 179:12 fourth [2] 54:2 257:1 Foy [1] 81:7 FR [7] 80:19 174:14 175:17 312:16 316:13 318:18 319:5 Frank [1] 112:21 frankly [2] 178:10 320:25 fraternal (1) 14:15 Frech [5] 45:1 63:14 152:5,11 293:23 friend (6) 44:24 45:1 107:9 151:1 164:7 278:9 friends (2) 57:15 151:4 friendship [2] 142:25 161:17 friendships [1], 278:16 302:18 311:18,19 317:12 front [5] 116:19 249:12 269:11 316:11 318:1 full [6] 205:6 206:9 225:11,12 279:17 286:15 five (12) 16:3 37:16 75:20

full-size (1) 206:10

guess (11) 16:20 26:7 62:20 83:6 162:20 192:8 198:25 222:18 230:17 301:19 302:12 Guido [38] 3:8 7:5 8:25 9:1 11:16 12:3 13:9.15 14:21 28:20 29:5 32:19 33:1 34:12 35:8,17,24 39:13 40:2,15,23 41:3 42:7 105:23 238:23 239:22 255:22 256:2,8,16 274:8 291:15,21 314:6 317:15 320:7 321:14 322:1 giveeweys (2) 205:4 155:1 181:4 207:10.18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 BO:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 happy [11 29:16 good (22) 14:19 15:4-45;21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 [23:18:21:21:23 175:14 Governor [6] 16:7 87:17 187:16 262:25 263:2 Governor's rast 3:10 9:1 16:10 44:12 51:16,17 hcad (B) 73:16 74:14 51;24 56:20 62:22 64:13 77:14,16,23 78:1 287:21 64:22 66:15,18 67:19,20 287:24 78:18 82:5,17,25 84:6 89:4 96:8 126:20 162:13 177:11 187:13 235:1 284:10 259:10 262:8 263:12 Governors' (5) 219:2 221:1 227:R 257:10 2R2:4 great [4] 19:8 100:11

hearing (8) 14:3 47:21 76:19 79:7 101:19 110:11 110:13 203:18 hearsay [1] 270:10 heart's m 313:22 held [1] 18:13 helicopter pr 17:8 helicopters (1) 190:8 Helmets (1) 208:13 help [16] 19:21 57:17 117:6,6 169:3 170:7 189:2 190:8 227:7 233:2 250:10 257:18 281:19 283:16,25 helpful (1) 302:12 helping [31 166:19 169:20 229:11 herein [1] 2:4 Herm [2] 207:15 208:22 herself (1) 9:23 Hershey [3] 43:2 240:12 hey [4] 63:8 78:23 179:21 high (u) 89:2 134:9 250:18 251:3,21 270:24 271:20 295:9 high-ranking (9) 44:10 56:18 62:21 64:12 74:23 82:15 96:6 121:21 134:8 higher (12) 45:5 55:H0.17 58:14 74:9 78:14.17 82:3 93:13 118:13,17 130:23 ... higher-ranking (4) 51:20 93:1.7 98:24 higher-up (2) 84:4 higher-ups [10] 59:18 69:14 73:7 77:21 82:2,24 83:16 84:3 130:13 177:18 Harrisburg [11] 2:12 3:5 highest p. 292:9 highway [1] 279:14 Hikus 11491 42:24 43.7 43:19 45:16 46:11 48:22 48:24 49:2,6,13,21 50:4 50:25 51;2,11 52:25 53:25 56:13 59:17,22 67:3.18 67:23 68:10 69:9.11.17 69:25 70:3 71:10.25 72:15 77:3 78:22,22 79:8,9,18: 80:12 85:20 90:7,22 98:11 99:14 102:19 103:24.25 104:9,15,15 105:7 107:2 113;21 115:20 117:14 127:24 133:17,21 134:7 135:4,5,9,12,25.137:19 139:14 151:16,19,21 153:13,19,20 154:3,10,19 154:24-155:4,19-156:4,15 157:18 158:7,11,18.25

APR-26-2002 17:13

SHKUENIS LUUKI KETUKIINU

22:7.10 223:10

219:5

Multi-Page IM 51:13 69:10,11,18 77:9 78:14 92:10 98:12 102:15 111:17 112:5 125:14 126:18 138:12.16 139:20 158:12 202:18 205:20 212:7 283:21 295:18 302:21 307:21 309:16 indicates [4] 123:17 216:20 256:21 309:10 indicating [5] 57:2 170;4 283;5 312:22 318:25 indication [1] 293:4 indications [1] 131:21

individual m 22:14 55:14 109:8 207:20 209:10 229:7 278:5 individuals (a) 44:11 51:21 56:19 64:12 82:16 84:4 121:22 177:10 ineligible (1) 100:2 inflamc (11 297:18 influence pg 127:3 167:2,5 168:5 169:1.25

170:14.25 171:4 info [2] 105:14 191:25 inform(s) 48:19,23 69:3 200:9 222:19

informant [8] 57:18 62:19 99:20,22,25 125:3 138:22 191:13 226:25 information [48] 47:7 49:24 67:17 74:5 78:24 79:5 84:3,12 96:21 97:7 97:13,22 98:17 99:1 100:17,21 101:7,9 102:17

103:6,20 106:9 107:11 109:13 110:16 115:13 122:11 124:15 125:16,22 145:23 149:3,10 158:25 172:21,25 173:1 174:7 195:10 266:17,18 273:17 298:10 312:22 316:18,24 318:7.25

informed (21) 43:6.10 46:22 47:3 48:14 53:8 61:1 72:8 77:17 81:4 153:22 198:14 210:4.13 216:13,15,16 218:4.6 221:16,16

informing [2] 174:1 184:17 initial [3] 165:14 265:19

265:24 initialed [3] 275:9.11 276:14

initials (1) 276:10 initiated (n. 115:8 initiatives (1) 46:20

injunction (15) 220:21 227:21 228:3 229:2,3 233:16 234:13,18 236:24 237:1,3,4 239:5,7 240:9

injunctive [1] 234:9 injure (r) 301:22 ink (s) 37:22 243:19,23 244:3 264:13 indicated [27] 28:18 31:1 input [3] 226:23 259:3.7

69:6,8 108:15 109:17 112:7 113:9 114:7 132:6 137:5,9,17 144:25 176:16 178:7 183:13,14 184:5 185:2 196:12 197:7 198:21 295:25,25 300:10 300:11 304:4,14,17 305:8 insistent [2] 229:9,19 inspection [4] 33:9 39:4 201:9.10

Instant [1] 273:17 instead [1] 104:9 instructions (3) 68:20 70:12 85:21 instructor [4] 20:25

22:23 29:2,2 instructors [3] 21:2,7 21:12

instruments (4) 186:18 186:19,21,23 insult_[1] 225:23 insults [1] 299:9 integrate [1] 230:6 integration [1] 213:22 integrator [1] 213:17 integrity [2] 143:1

288:13 intended (1) 297:17 intensely [1] 270:4 intention (1) 25:24 intentionally [3] 33:20 96:16 97:6

inter-related [1] 290:17 intercept [2] 121:2:125:3 interception (1) 87:11 interdepartmentally

[1] 114:15 interest [5] 66:20 258:4 283:4,9 298:6 interested [6] 162:25

257:23 258:1 270:10 271:11.12

interesting (2) 59:6 310:12 interfere [6] 119:3 145:7

197:15,21,24,25 interfered [2] 33:21 37:2 interject (1) 11:10

internal [9] 79:19 114:22 175:23 197:11 210:6 215:25 218:10 291:8 309:12

interpretation (2) 99:5 269:25 interrupted [2] 34:1

307:11 interrupting (1) 34:14 INTERRUPTION 75:17 97:1 146:23 169:17

202:20 279:2 315:21 interview (5) 19:19 87:10.11 159:7 263:8 interviewed [1] 159:5 interviews (1) 180:3

inquiry 1321 47:24 62:7,9 introduced [1] 319:24 investigate [11] 126715 126:16.17 172:19 173:11 187:8,9-227:1-258:25

296:4 303:1

investigated [14], 20:7,8 20:10 39:22 95:9 112:14 112:17 135:23 182:3,14 208:25 209:4 259:5 303:13

investigating [7] 74:1 93:22 164:14 177:2 280:1 295:17,19

investigation [166] 20:15,16,17 42:18 44:8 44:10 45:4,10:22 51:12 55:10.16 60:12 62:1.5.9 65:5,7 69:12,13,19 70:24 73.6 74.6.9 77:21 78:8 83:10,12 87:8 92:4,15,20 92:21.93:11.94:4,9,18 98:7,9,16,24 108:9.11 109:24 110:22 111:8 112:24,25 113:3,7 114:9 114:12,13,21,23,25 115:8 115:17,23,25 117:16 1 19:4,9,17 122:3,7 123:15 123:16,17,25 124:2,4,11 124:13,24 126:23 128:2 128:11 130:12,21,22 133:12 136:22 137:9,15 137:22,24 139:16 140:16 140:20 141:1,15 142:14 143:2.17 145:8 147:23 149:24 150:7,16,18 158:9 160:14,15 162:10 174:3 178:19 179:22 182:24 183:10 184:2,21 190:3,19 192:9,23 193:8,14,17 194:2,3 196:7,14 197:12 197:16,19,22 198:1,20 199:1 206:18 210:7.14 211:1,9,10,13 216:22 217:9 218:11 268:2.23 269:15,21 293:11 294:23 295;1 298:21,25 300:1.6 300:8,19,22 301:11 303:15,16,18,24 304:3,16 305:14 307:24 308:20,21 investigation's [1]

196:18

investigations (6) 114:16.17 118:17 142:23 299:2 300:12

investigative III 146:5 investigator (4) 32:13 94:19 109:16 172:24 investigator's [1] 57:22

investigators (11) 57:16 169:15 178:21.22.23 179:11,19 195:25 196:4 304:12,19

investigatory (1) 74:3 invidious [1] 218:9 invitation [1] 263:6 involve [4] 93:1.6 169:13

170:19 involved [43] 44:10 47:15 54:4 61:7 64:11 69:23 73:9.13 74:12 77:14

159:5,6 164:17,24 173:15 176:7 178:5,9 179:1,8,15 179:17 184:17 193:1 195:13 196:20,23 210:18 210:19,23 211:4.8,15. 212:5,15 216:10,14,21 217:2,16 221:22 222:3,15 222:16,23 223:20,22 226:5.8 236:15 237:21 247:11,12 258:22 259:9 260:4,7 261:5,8 262:5 263:3,6,11 269:13 286:8 292:24 293:13.21 294:3 294:14,17 296:4 297:14 301:18 Hikus' [6] 48:20 67:6 210:21 212:11 224:2 260:17 himself [2] 297:25 298:19,22 hire [2] 188:6 190:11 historic [4] 25:16 26:5 208:8 310:16 historical [4] 207;4,22 310:4,10 history (3) 92:3 208:6 288:10 hit (1) 24:18 hold pg 103:15 136:21 137:21 235:3 honest (i) 137:2 hope 11 17:19 hopefully (1) 175:10 horse (1) 280:20 horses (1) 280:18. bost 111 254:4 hosting [1] 280:24 hotel 151 184:23 189:8,25 205:25 206:4 hour (2) 229:25 284:3 hours [2] 37:17 287:3 miman [2] 172:9 283:6 hundreds [3] 265:6,6,7

I' [1] 178:13 i.c[1] 262:2 IAD [21] 79:20 111:2,18 163:8,18,21 164:10 165:9 165:16 196:8 198:12 215:22 224:6,23 225:2,7 227;6 228:1,7,17 309:11 idea [10] 31:3,13 64:19 127:4 161:18 166:6 262:17 263:10 267:11 273:3 identification (2), 29:9 116:17 274:16

identified [4] 6:4 94:20 94:25 95:10

identify (5) 9:23 10:8 109:4 316:9,10

ignorance [7] 62:11 88:5 88:7.8.96:1 131:2 150:19 IIMS [19] 213:1,6,7 214:3 214:6,17 215:23 216:1,6

227:25 228:11 236:13 273:20 286:10:21 illegal (1) 121:3 Illinois (17 18:20 image [1] 251:20 imagine (5) 26:19 27:4 221:18 261:2 284:15 immediate [1] 284:24 impacted pr 157:25 158:2,3 imparted (1) 62:24 implicate[1] 121:9 implicated [2] 62:20 270:25 implicating (1) 271:22 imply [1] 278:15 implying [4] 40:11.16 40:21,24 importance [4] 87:17 103:7,8 115:14 important [11] 53:9 88:25 129:25 146:19 149:15.16 168:15 251:16 252:12:271:17 286:21 imposed[1] 229:18 impressed (t) 21:21 impressive (IT 187:24 improper [7] . 105:24 106:4 142:20-270:5.15 283:6 299:25 inaccurate (1) 297:9 inappropriate[1] 259:18 Inaudible (17 88:10 INC rig 4:17 inception (1) 52:10 incident (6) 24:19 113:5 113:11 137:18 179:3 300:25 incidentally [1] 200:14 incidents (2) 46:18 115:19 include [1] 134:12 included B1 45:12 134:18 214:9 including (3) 38:4 148:4 292:10 inconvenience [2] 34:8 34:18 incorrect[1] 312:9 incredible pg 83:4,4 177:25 incurred [2] 206:17,19 indeed [3] 57:16 158:13 166:2 Indiana [3] 17:23 184:24 205:24 indicate (20) 49:6 56:16 57.9 91:21 97:9,17 99:2 100:21 101:8 111:12 125:7 132:1 162:1 195:10

270:22 275:4,25 316:25

318:8 321:4

HFK-26-2002 17:14

SHKRENIS COOKE KELOKEING

Multi-Page "

77:24 78:20 82:5 83:8,10
83:13,17 86:23 90:20 93:5
94:10 119:22 121:6
126:12 131:22 134:19,21
139:24,25 141:6 146:4
192:15,20 195:2,4 197:9
263:7 272:13 293:25
300:25 308:1 316:7
320:17
involvement[1] 176:9
involves [2] 109:18
206:14
involving [4] 19:4 92:6

involving [4] 19:4 92:6 115:18 277:12 irate [1] 293:18 issue [16] 41:10 49:1 56:18 65:19 70:23 102:24 113:15 146:3 210:24 218:8 221:12 258:18 304:13,18 305:7 319:13 issues [2] 27:23 218:13

issues (2) 27:23 218:13 items (7) 37:11 205:3 206:6,7 207:2 208:8,15 itself (2) 288:12 314:8

-J-

J [i] 4:10 J-A-N [i] 163:3 J-U-N-E [i] 163:2 Janc [i] 205:3 January [22] 162:19,21 162:22 163:3.5,17,18 164:9 218:20,21,21 219:18 221:19 222:20 223:25 224:18 227:13 232:15 242:17 249:9 257:3 308:14

Jennifer [3] 2:6 10:11,16 Joanna [2] 4:3 9:13 job [15] 58:6 100:11 111:24 148:15 174:5 181:13 184:10 213:12 219:11,12 223:10 226:17 230:13 263:8,9

Joe [2] 15:9 87:4 John [2] 15:11 161:5 Joseph [2] 1:10 13:1 Judeo-Christian [1] 172:16 Judge [4] 1:8 76:16

199,23 239:16 judgment [5] 120:14 172:12 175:15 184:16 206:3

July (1) 304:20 jump (1) 181:22 jumped (1) 181:11 juncture (1) 131:24 June (3) 161:21.25 162:21 162:23 163:1

jurisdiction [1] 247:8 jury [1] 175:11 justification [2] 108:8 [108:10

108:10 justified (1) 205:18 -Kkeep [14] 27:18 28:4 46:22 47:3 49:24 53:7 78:24 97:12 98:16 213:20 238:12 240:10,21 258:2

keeping [2], 72:7 257:8 Kelly [1] 193:5 kept [2], 27:22 239:18 key [4], 41:10,11 102:23 202:7

killed [2] 65:5,7 kind [23] 18:8 51:13 96:14 114:14 121:8 169:3 170:17 188:2,7,8 197:1,2 -208:13 213:13 246:16 251:6 254:19 258:4 277:6 283:8 285:15 292:15

310:8 **kinds** [2] 190:5 265:7 **Kip** [2] 176:12,23

knew [25] 49:9 66:23 67:5 67:7,12,13,22,24 68:7,8 99:20-112:22-125:7 134:18,21 138:13 161:19 163:12 164:24 196:22 223:9 236:3 258:10 297:4

319:23 knowing [6] 139:7 150:15,17 187:14,16 249:19

knowledge (21) 18:17 19:2,9:25:4 26:17 37:4 64:20 67:2 69:20 100:23 101:15.132:4 138:19.21 210:25 227:12 283:14 300:18.21 301:10 313:4

known (14) 56:15 100:21 101:2 122:3 138:4 227:14 227:18 250:19,22,22 251:2,4 275:3 299:11 knows (2) 59:21 129:25

-L-

L [4] 3:8.16 134:3,3
Labor [2] 212:20 216:24
ladder [1] 172:1
Ladies [2] 76:2 200:7
lady [1] 264:19
landline [1] 181:24
language [1] 312:25
large [3] 15:25 17:1 170:3
last [1] 21:6 67:14
212:21 248:6 273:4 302:7
302:11 314:20 317:3
320:24,25

late [6] 31:2 44:3 60:13 127:21 203:12,21 218:19 273:20

latest [1] 289:1 laughed [2] 142:4,11 laughing (2) 141:23

laughter [1] 142:3 law [23] 74:23 77:11 83:5 93:21 98:4 109:20 120:2

142:25 145:18.24 197:13
251:7 297:5.24 298:1,18
298:20 312:24 313:15
314:4 317:4 319:2,8
lawful [1] 104:16
laws [1] 318:10
lawsuit [1] 308:13
LCE [1] 200:24 201:1
237:8 239:21 292:23.24

292;25 293:1,7 LCEE[1] 201:23 leader[3] 213:15 298:16

leak[1] 97:6 leak[1] 97:6 leaking[1] 96:20 learn[7] 55:19 108:7-134:1 216:15 224:13 300:17 301:9

learned [3] 101:14 212:5 239:11

learning [1] 294:22 least [27] 10:24 19:12 23:16;19 26:25 58:24 60:7 66:2 69:14 83:17 84:25 112:7 120:14 138:4 148:10 159:20 166:4 170:6.7 171:21 199:13 231:10 238:18 292:15 293:10 300:7 309:17

leaving (2) 204:9 222:10 left (2) 179:8,15,18 left-hand (1) 266:23 legal (8) 76:20 227:14 234:21 235:7 236:20,22 272:15 288:19

legally (1) 120:12 legislators (1) 244:24 LEMA (1) 241:2 Len (2) 124:21 162:8 length (2) 153:4,7

Lconard [2] 86:25-242:3 less [3] 68:9,12-292:13

lesson_[2] 226:5,8 letter_[6] 6:5,9 209:11,14 209:17,18

letters [1] 244:20 letting [1] 106:1 level [3] 124:9,10 206:2

liar[1] 177:21 lie[4] 151:22 177:25 294:10,10

lied [3] 151:21 177:25 178:2

lieutenant page 42:23
43:7,19,22 45:15 46:11
46:16,24 47:12 48:20,23
49:2,6,12 50:3,24 51:1
52:25 53:24 54:17,19,20
56:13 58:8,14,25 59:19
61:10 62:23 67:6,22 69:24
72:14 78:16 79:8,18 81:7
83:22 85:5,16 86:4,12,20
90:22 95:17 99:7,14

101:20,25 102:9,19

103:23 105:6 107:1

133:21,24 134:7,10 135:8 135:13 137:18 138:8 139:14 140:16,19 141:5 141:16 147:2,17,18,20,21 147:24 148:4 155:4,19,20 155:22 156:3.15,18.21 157:17 158:24 159:5 160:7.16 173:15 176:7 177:19 178:4,8,25 179:1 179:2,7 181:1,20 182:9 182:11:21 183:1,4 184:16 195:12 210:17,18,20,22 211:4,8 216:10,20 217:2 217:16 219:15 221:22 222:3,15,16,23 223:19,22 224:1 229:8-231:2,19,25 232:13 237:20 241:13,23 242:14,15,20 246:24 247:10,12 254:12 258:21 262:22 268:3 269:12,22 275:9,21 276:4 277:24 280:23 285:19 286:8 292:24 293:12 294:3,8,13 294:17 301:18 306:19 310:2

127:24 133:13,19

licutemant's [5] 237:8 237:16 238:6 239:20 293:3

licutenants (1) 268:23 lifeguards (2) 18:11 281:17 light (2) 174:13 317:7

likos [2] 35:10,19 limit [1] 74:4 limited [1] -98:17 line [21] 122:19,24 123:3 - 151:1,8,14 132:11,24 140:15 150:2 162:17,24 163:1 188:25 191:15,16 192:22 194:6,18 283:3

317:3 lines (2) 122:18 145:10 list (3) 252:25 253:6.19 listen (2) 90:21 159:16 listened (6) 44:16.18 50:7.8 58:3 84:16

listening [6] 44:22 85:4 165:13 209:8 241:13 310:1 lists [2] 266:16,18

litigation [7] 30:17 250:3 252:12,22 254:8.10 254:16 live [2] 128:11 172:15

local [1] 150:7 location [1] 200:20 lone [1] 176:11 lonesome [1] 226:22 lock [23] 35:6 36:22 41:19

load [1] 166:20

88:14,22 89:6,11 92:7 r09:3 116:7 137:1 179:14 224:24 236:1,6,7 254:19 254:22 265:3 292:22 293:1 296:11,16 looked [13] 20:21,22

24:11 32:15 162:24

200:11 239:15 255:20 292:11 310:16:21 311:1 311:14 coking [91:102:24]

involvement - marked

looking pp. 102:24 113:18 125:21 135:7 164:19 180:8 183:16 196:16 254:25 looks pp. 163:3 165:19

167:2 191:16 194:14 lose (21 238:19:22 lost (8) 98:10 111:6

125:18 146:2 243:18:22 244:1 313:19 Louis (2) 63:14 293:23

Louic (2) 63:14 293:23love (3) 29:15 278:9,9 lower (1) 24:5,9 lower (1) 266:23 loyalty (7) 70:16,18

146:1,5,10 150:22 270:17hecky [s] 58:22,23 59:3 59:16 83:3 310:11 hench [s] 35:4,7,10,20

36:7 199:13 lying [2] 151:16 177:24 Lynn [1] 198:15

-M-

ma'am (4) 35:14 38:15 39:19 307:10 machine [1] 281:4 mail m 27:19 major [49] 25:9,15.20 48:13,16 52:21 71:16 81:1 81:2,3 160:17,17 167:24 167:25 173:22 175:1 180:1,2 184:19 195:8 199:4 201:3 218:25 219:6 220:24 221:22,23,24 222:1.5,23 229:7 230:13 231:8 233:1,25 235:5 237:10 242:7 257:12.19 276:17 277:3 278:1 280:7 284:21 296:24 307:22 320:14

majors (5) .112;20 .182;23 .182;23 .182;23 .183;3 .210;15 makes (3) .173;4 .270;7 .272;24

man (3) 174:11 190:16 228:25

Management [2] 241:7 273:17 manager [1] 273:16

manner [1] 210:23 March [16] 1:18 2:13 12:13 33:6 37:14 39:3.10 40:7 75:23 136:7,17 153:8 199:25 255:16 273:23 316:14

mark [14] 1:8 12:25 28:25 68:19:19 149:16 256:23 _ 257:6,16 274:10 294:25 296:21 302:20 316:6

marked p2j 28:22 29:8 38:8 116:13,16 149:20 255:23 256:5,10.18 264:19 274:15 HLK-5P-5005 11:12

SHRUENIS COURT REPURTING

Market [3] 2:11 3:11 12:17 Marking [1] 274:12 Mary [13] 66:24 244:9 303:12 245:7 246:4 247:18 253:25 259:23 260:1,5.18 261:9 262:7 263:12 Mascara [24] 61:22 62:2 62:25 63:10.20 64:25 65:16 107:8 116:23 130:8 140:18 142:16 144:24 161:23 162:14 191:8 193:10,12,13 199:6. 297:19 268:21,25 272:25 309:3 Mascara's (5) 62:14 63:21 143:19 271:7,9 Mason [1] 14:14 Masons [2] 14:14 15:22 massive [1] 279:19 material [5] 39:5 41:10 41:12 202:7 288:23 matter (28) 8:20 24:11 25:1 64:18,25 66:6 70:22 76:5 89:1 90:10 105:10 115:24 156:2 160:2,5 164:23 175:10 238:25 240:3,4,12 258:25 259:4 260:14 262:7 263:14 318:23 memorabilia [2] 208:11 314:12,15 209:7 matters [3] 87:17 88:12 176:24 may [89]: 11:9-13:13-18:17 29:24 41:15 42:20 45:14 317.6 45:18 49:21 50:12 59:23 60:8 61:8,9,24 62:3,15 63:2,13,23 64:1,1,7 66:21 68:18 70:1,4,8 71:19 72:3 72:3 73:7 75:16 76:22 80:4 81:23 82:8 85:7 88:3 91:8,10 95:25 99:18 112:11 116:24 127:2,23 128:13 130:18 132:1 133:22 135:9,11,16,20 136:3 142:6 143:2.24 146:10 153:9,9,10,10,18 154:1,18 155:3 156:10 158:19 165:3 172:11 178:25 201:13 202:18 204:2 216:17 224:9 230:16 238:16 260:7 273:12 281:24 285:21 291:6 297:10 298:18 315:3 321:10 McCann (i) 21:5

mean [74] 11:24 15:4 26:8 40:3 57:6 58:21 60:2 70:5 73:20,21,25 75:14 81:18 82:12 98:2 104:15 105:18 107:18 108:5,7 114:20 118:10 119:7 120:18,23 124:13 125:19 126:9 129:17 136:24 145:22 146:9 147:13 149:5,6 161:1,22 163:23 167:7 169:10,22,24 170:2,5 171:18 177:24 179:7 188:16 189:5,17 192:3 193:22 195:23 207:7 227:20 230:16 231:14 233:3 234:19,23 238:17 245:6 262:19 269:7

9 284:1,25 286:24 306.9 309.5 313:1 313:24 314:10

meaning [2] 272:16

means (12) 12:2 62:15 123:21,22 130:25 132:22 161:23:171:3,6 172:4 192:11 251:13

meant [14]: 52:22 57:1 106:13 128:15 146:14 147:19.21 192:13 270:8 270:15 271:12,14,18

measure [17 205:19 meet [1] 251:3

meeting (27) 90:11,14 153:12,19 154:1,19 155:3 155:7,10,13,18,23,156:12 156:14 157:3.8,15,17 178:25 181:7 183:24 282:4 302:14,16,19,20,22

meetings [2] 156:2,6 member 151 95:14 312:23 317:1-319:1-321:4

members [6] 28:6 298:13 302:1 312:20 316:22

memorial (1) 208:7

memory (3) 90:3 27T:1

mention (10) 37:21,22 37:23 58:24 82:15.19 83:6 96:6 110:2 126:5

mentioned [46] 59:16 59:21 61:10 85:6 86:16 87:7,9,14 101:12 105:12 121:23 124:22,23 125:1.9 125:23 138:14,17,20,23 139:2 141:17 142:1,17 145:1,20 146:7,22 147:1 147:12 148:1:2,3-149:9 149:25 150:20 162:6,7.9 166:3 172:8 177:9 194:21 198:16 268:11 309:1

mentioning (2) 82:24

mentions [2]: 83:21 86:3 mentors in 298:17 mere [1] 227:3

merely (1) 69:2 Merryman (5)-25:10,16 25:20 180:17.19

mess [3] 84:9,10,19 mcssagc (2) 216:3 226:2 messed [3] 81:16 83:14 84:11

met [4] 25:13 91:22 181:2 | Monico [4] 110:9,19 205:22

methodology [2] 140:2 . 180:22 Michael [2] 4:14 12:9

micromanage (1) 253:16

microphones (1) 289:5

Multi-Page 'm

middle [3] 1:2 12:22 32:21 might [20] 18:25 21:20 26:17 37:7 38:5 45:25 99:2 133:17 140:3 234:1 288:21 312:23 313:8,17 314:3,17,18,19 319:1.11 million [2] 16:4 214:8 millions m 167:23 mind [13] 36:11 53:4 65:10 76:24 146:16 150:24 164:21 178:3 182:16 263:19 289:4 290:10 308:13

minds* [1] 124:19 mine [2] 44:24 45:1 minute [9] 63:13 134:24 255:1 267:2,18 268:4 276:1 315:7 321:8

minutes pp 75:20 172:6 284:3 misconduct(2) 115:3.

180:11 misconstrucs [1] 239:25

misconstruing [11 240:14 misleading [1] 143:24 misread [1] 123:8 misrepresented [3] 60:3 312:18 318:19 missing [4] 37:25 38:25 202:1,5

mission [3] 17:4.5.7 misspoke (1) 128:7 misstated [2] 212:12.13 mistake (11 207:11 mistaken [4] 133:17

238:16 281:24 285:21 mistakes (2) 172:11,17 mistreatment [1] 20:12

misunderstand (1) 231:23 misunderstood (2)

128:8,18 mitigated [1] 176:9 mitigating [2] 104:23 105:1

mobile [2] 213:24.24 model [4] 204:22,25 205:6 206:9

moment [6] 14;22 49:19 108:10-136:3 172:14 254:21

Monday [2] 67:9 121:24 moncy [7] 15:21 16:1,2 17:1 186:8 188:14 189:13

112:21,23 monthly rr 253:21

months (1) 293:5 moot 131 238:25 239:3.8 morning [5] 34:4 121:25 201:25 203:15,19

most [2] 128:3 139:17

motivated [1] 262:15 move [6] 144:21 189:3 190:9.13 235:25 277:4

moved[1] 220:4 movement [1] 292:18 moving [2] 222:2 290:25 MS (3) 9:18 252:24 283:10

MTD nr. 318:21 multi-page (17 255:21 municipalities (1) 150:8

municipality [1] 92:6 museum [7] 207:1.2,5,23 208:2,5 211:13

must [4] 19:9 59:15 105:8 107:6

Mustang [2] 204:25 206:10

-N-

N [5] 3:1 4:1,1 5:1 8:1 name 1361 8:6,17 9:13 12:9 13:4 15:8.14 18:25 21:6 22:14 99:21 101:11 125:1,8 129:8 132:4 138:23 141:17,25 142:17 144:25 145:11,20 146:7 146:21,25 147:3,12,13 172:7 209:10 243:9 244:7 258:21 265:23 268:10

named [4] 256:23 267:3 291:7,10

namcs [2] 13:7 21:3 naming [1] 271:23 Napoleon [1] 320:15 nation (1) 288:12 National [10] 219:2 220:12 221:1 227:8

229:17 235:1 250:7 257:10 282:3 285:9 nationally [2] 250:14

251:2 naturally [3] 107:19 200:16 286:22

nature [4]: 57:19 235:19 235:22 305:8

necessarily (2) 40:8 73:21 necessity (3) 54:3

176:15 298:17 nced (17) 10:3 100:14 117:5.6 124:16 198:11 202:19 203:4,9 223:2 230:6 236:3 249:1 250:9 250:11 255:23 284:24

needed [12] 184:12,14,15 228:11 231 7.18,22,24 232:5 236:12 250:5 284:18

needs (2) 11:17 236:8 negative 111 278:20 negotiable তে 16:4 186:17,19,20,23 Neutral [1] 233:19

Market - now motion 21 312:19 318:20 never [42] 15:13 18:12 62:16 65:10 71:22,23 96:4 96:17 108:24 115:8.15 121:23 141:17,25 146:21 146:25 147:12 148:2.3 149:24 151:18 152:10 162,7 177.9,17,18 182:16 195:22 197:20 201:4 206:25-212:3-221:14 228:17,20 240:1 244:5 268:10 294:12 305:3 310:12,15

new [4] 166:19 214:11 255:15 311:4newly [1] 46:16

news.jij 131:24 next[11] 131:1,14 132:10 152:16 156:10 160:25 191:15 192:22 194:6,18 273:7

NGA (4) 283:19.21.25 284:13

ninc [1] 123:4 Nobody (3) 164:12 194:20 195:1

non-profit[1] 208:3 non-responsive (2) 116:3.5

none [3] 20:1 70:13 254:12

nonetheless (1) 297;22 nonsense [2] 73:24 171:8 POT [2] 65:11 110:24 normal [1] 115:5 137:25

138:1 normally [4]: 95:6 97:18. 218:11 232:22

North [3] 3:4 8:21.21 notation [2] 31:8,17 note [5] 37:7 38:6.17

106:12 174:10 notes [25] 6:8 88:14,23 89:6.12.16 90:4.6.13 92:8 100:14 105:11,15 106:3 107:5 116:9 117:3 118:7 122:8 129:1 132:19 157:7

157:12 309:2,6 nothing (10) 101:5 111:14 123:5 127:14 191:23 192:2,7 194:15 266:20,25

notice (i) 290:14 potification (1) 52:10 notified [11] 52:16 112:3 163:8:13,16,18 164:3:10

165:9,16 196:8 notify (2) 312:20.318:23 notifying [2] 94:16 98:8

November [3] .217:17 217:21 221:7 now [153] 11:12,15 13:14

21:9 29:19,22 38:8 41:19 41:23 43:6 48:18 49:11 50:3.11.11.14.51:10.52:1 52:6.19 56:14.15 57:20 59:9 60:16 62:14 63:9 66:12 68:18 71:16 74:15

HFK-50-5005 17:10

76:8 77:9 79:1,10,24

80:10,20 81:21 82:11

84:10,18,24 85:18 86:1

87:12 88:2 89:9.17 91:16

109:11,22 112:1 117:2,21

138:7,11 140:1,7,14 142:8

120:12 122:18 123:6,13

123:15 124:19 128:24

129:2 130:1 132:10

133:16 135:24 136:7

149:4,22,23 152:13

155:12,22 156:1,14

164:21 165:15 168:4

171:7 172:2.9 175:7

190:14 191:14 192:22

193:8 194:17 195:5 205:6

213:7 214:16 216:5 220:2

221:2 222:8;17 223:22

226:18 228:23 246:11

247:16 252:4,8,11,18

258:23 260:1 262:15

316:1 322:8,10,12

263:10 269:25 271:16

272:19,20 277:17 285:23

292:6 293:8 294:1 296:19

297:3,4 298:9,24 300:19

number [44] 6:4 8:24

9:10,18,24 10:13,24,25

46:10 53:21,23 54:11,13

122:19 130:3 133:6 182:8

304:21 305:4,19 306:1,2

-O-

o'clock [2] 150:12 289:1

obedience [4] 298:4,14

Ober [253] 1:5 6:7 8:19

12:24 25:13 27:8.11.15

28:1.11 31:1 32:11 35:5

42:24 43:8,24 46:13 49:8

49:9,22,24 50:15,20.22

50:25 51:3,7,11 53:3,13

53:15 55:3 56:12,21 57:2

57:24 58:3,13,21,22 59:3

61:11 66:2,7 69:25 70:3

70:15 71:6,11,15,26 72:16

72:18 77:4 79:4 80:11.13

90:7,24 92:17 97:11 99:12

80:24 82:18 83:2 84:14

99:15 100:22 101:8,24

102:7.9.15 103:1,4,4,18

107:9,23 108:22 109:10

112:9 113:20 115:20

104:7,14.17 106:19 107:1

122:10 123:9 125:4,14,14

59:12,15 60:1.2.11.15

39:15 40:5,9,12 41:6

54:23,25 116:13,24,25

182:10 187:22 190:22

210:1 264:20,21,23

306:12 309:9

267:17,23 295:20,20

numerous [1] 301:5

0 [3] 4:1 8:1 282:2

Oaks [2] 15:8,9.11

301:25 302:1

oath [2] 13:14 298:3

13:3 16:19 21:1 33:7

302:4,13 303:8,15 315:16

157:10,24 158:5 159:9

161:4,9 163:6,12 164:10

93:3 97:8 99:10 107:14

SHKUENIS COURT REFURITING

Multi-Page"

2,18,25 134:8

137:15.19 139:15 140:6

153:21 154:19 155:5,14

158:13 159:1 163:10,13

148:16,22 149:2,5,7,9

164:12,23,24 165:18

176:8 177:12,17,21

173:12 174:12 175:13

178:11 180:5 184:18,22

189:7 191:18,24 192:21

193:2 195:17 196:20,21

205:20 206:3 209:4

200:23 201:14 202:17,23

210:19,25 211:5.19 212:2

217:4,13.18 218:15 219:3

219:14,20 221:2,16 222:2

226:11 227:4,13 228:16

236:12 237:15,24 238:9

242:1 257:2,16,18,20

258:9,10,15,17 265:20 .

279:23,25 280:1 283:24

273:19-274:23 275:19

284:25 285:14 286:23

297:23 298:12 299:3

301:22 303:12,16,20

306:15.18 308:24,25

Ober's 1271 26:15 27:3

78:13 79:11 85:3 104:24

106:8 107:20 176:9,11,22

30:15 31:6 32:4 49:1

200:12.209:22.217:3

222:4 230:19-237:4

300:18,20 301:10

obeyed (1) 297:24

objecting (1) 48:7

35:15 36:12 144:12

objections[1] 14:24

144:4 251:13 253:13

occasion[2] 243:14

occurred per 57:23

occurs ## 153:7

113:19 128:10 154:1

264/12

258:21 290:11 294:1

object (6) 48:4 106:5

116:2,4 143:8 239:23

objection (5) 7:11:24

obviously (5) 67:1 121:5

occur [3] 195:19 303:3.4

176:14 195:16,22 197:4

October [61] 28:14.16

60:4,4,9,14 71:12 72:9

80:3 83:17.18 84:17.25

86:3.9.10-99:19 100:24

112:9 113:5.20 114:19

124:20 125:4,9,16,24,25

126:6,13 127:22 128:9

115:18 118:3,11,22

131:23 132:9 137:4

100:25 101:3 102:7 103:2

44:4 46:14 38:3,16 59:10

309:7,11 320:21

287:5,17 290:20 291:7

292:2,17 293:22 295:1,17

295:20 296:5,20 297:4,12

239:18 240:19 241:10,19

229:23 230:2,22 231:4

233:15 234:9 235:18

212:17 213:1,10 214:17

215:1.8,22 216:6.9,14

222:9,19 223:8 224:5

138:17,20,23 148:9 163:14 164:25 165:23 166:15 227:1 265:2 275:2 292:16.317:13

odd [4] 60:17,19 135:20 166:1

off [18] 79:13 94:24 95:8 133:4 245:25 246:9.12 247:1 275:9,11 276:8 285:18 286:17 287:10 288:1 289:4.10 315:9

offer[1] 163:24 offered [1] 232:24

offhand [1] 313:3 office [56] 3:10 9:2,10 16:10 22:18 24:2 43:17 44:5,12 51:17,24 55:9 56:20-62:22 63:17 64:13 64:22 66:15,18 67:19,20 76:14 77:20 78:18 82:5 82:17,25 84:6 88:9 89:4

96:8 126:20 130:7,14,15 148:14-161:8,25 162;2,13 177:11 187:13 198:9 208:9 213:24 249:12 252:25 253:7 259:10 262:8 263:13 301:2.14

officer (n 20:19 115:4 120:3 215:13 226:17 244:17 267:8

302:10.15,17

officer's m 299.4 officers pri 16:18 45:19 65:23 143:1 206:11 232:22 236:6 277:8 286:13 294:8 298:13

offices (1), 267:8 official (3) 145:19 164:5 198:17 294:24 298:20 official's [1] 74:24 officially (1) 303:13

officials [9] 44:11 56:19 62:21 84:4 89:3 93:1 96:6 98:25-134:9

old [2] 109:23 264:15 older 121-92:19,21omissions (1) 77:3 OD-CAMCTA(1). 14:10.

once [1] 320:15 one (89) 6:5 14:22 21:8 26:13 29:6,7 38:9 46:6 48:11,12,16 49:16 53:14 54:12,14 65:8 69:21,21 77:10 85:19,24 90:11 102:10 122:22,23 123:14

129:1,10,14 134:14,15 142:9 147:4 149:23 151:25-152:1 167:20:22 169:11 182:8 184:20 189:25 195:4 199:19 200:19 208:21,22 214:14 221:10.23 222:24 225:10 227:5 234:6,6 238:7 247:6 251:11.11 254:25 256:25

264:1,13,16,20,21,23 265:10,13 266:19 267:2 278:23 281:8 282:2,5 285:14,25 286:3 288:10 290:20 295:20 300:7

311:4,7 315:3.6 317:20 321:8 ongoing [5] 114:8 115:21 115:23 137:11 196:14

opened [3] 194;21 195:5 293:7

openness [1] 288:13 operated (1) 243:11 operating (1) 27:1 operation [1] 284:17 operational (2) 230:20

operationally [1] 230:3 Operations (3) 242:15 242:23 247:10

opinion [5] 137:3 232:5 232:7 239:2 320:1 opportunity [12] 29:25 30:6 33:5 37:10 38:3 41:14,18 154:21 159:15

178:17 265:12 290:6 opposed pi 134:4 190:3 298:3

202:16 OPS (1) 230:9 oral [11 19:18 order [24] 22:2 28:15 30:16 104:16 137:12

opposing (2) 200:9

189:2 190:1 218:2 224:25 248-18.19 249:14 263:23 264:2.265:1 266:7.8 282:3 297:22 300:6,8 313:15 317:4 319:8

ordered [11] 21:15 48:25 49:7,23 71:11 158:9 160:15 210:14 212:6 216:23 300:9

ordering [2] 10:1 22:3 orders [1]. 104:14,18,20 176:8 189:9 196:23 264:4

organization [10] 14:16 75:2 169:9,12 170:3 208:4 229:15 245:22 285:7 292:10-

organizational [1] 245:2 organizations [1]

28811 organized (2) 112:2

245:10 originally (1) 45:13 ostensibly m 85:20 ostracized pr 299:10 Ostrowski [2] 4:10 76:4 ought-12) 44:24 45:6 outcome (2) 194:8,13

outside (2) 85:22 263:20 over-concerned m 105:13 overail[1] 27:20

overtime [1] 194:7 own 181 75:10 106:3 170:19 188:17 195:21 208:18 249:25 292:11

P [5] 3:1.1 4:1.1 8:1 p.m [12] 199:24 200:5 255:4,15 289:18,22 315:9 315:12,16 322-7,10,14 page [23] 6:1,3 7:1,3 116:22 122:14.14.15 123:14 129:1 148:24 149:22 160:22 161:1 190:23 267:20.21 298:25 309:8 311:24 312:15.18

318:20

paged (11 90:15 pager [1] 116:25 pages [1] 116:12 paid (1) 186:1 paper [3] 70:9 243:8.12 paragraph [24] 129:3 133.6 257.1 290:5,9 291:17:19 293:8 294:21 295:15 297:17:21 299:1 302:13,18 309:8 311:24 312:14 313:11,22 316:20 317:7,23 318:15 paraphrased (1) 318:5

paraphrases [1] 118:7 Pardon [5] 226:6 243:21 277:5 279:24 306:6

parentheses |4| 117:22 118:1,3,4

parking (1) 25:13 part[14] 44:9 52:18 60:24 109:16 128:20 185:1.8 212:13 269:11 274:24 279:18 293:10 316:19 320:15

partially pp 117:19 particular [5] 78:11 118:6 241:11 311:3.6 particularly [2] 124:4,6 parties (s) 5:3,7 13:7

18:3 300:25 partner (1) 278:9 parts [1] 92:11

pass [1] 120:16 passed[3] 78:21,21 107-22

past 141 33:19 79:7 248:9 296:23

nath [2] 292:7.15 patrol [1] 279:14 Paul [8] 1:8,17 2:3 5:4 8:9 8:10 12:24 13:5 pay(6) 191:4 225:11.12

227:5 309:16,18 payer(1) 214:5 PD₁₁₁ 229:21

Pellegrini [2] 239:16.17 PEMA-[4] 241:2,3,21 242:13

pen [6] 243:2.20.24 244:3-244:22 246:10 pending (1) 118:17 Pennsylvania [81] 13

Index Page II

APR-26-2002 17:16 SHKUENIS LUUKI KETUKIINU

Multi-Page 'm ons [2] 220:25 | proficient [1] 251:5 prepara 185:16,20 186:5,7 189:15 program [1] 214:6 207:16 221:24 273:15 189:21,23,24 199:5 207:2 2:9,12 8:23 9:7 12:19,23 programs [2] 205:11 preparatorics pr 14:1 275:13 283:17 207:4,21,25 208:5.11 16:18 17:11,24 18:23 phraseology [2] 313:13 preparatory (1) 11:6 206:12 209:6-215:13-226:15 19:16 20:19 21:8,12 24:17 prohibited [1] 1:24 227:16 236:9 238:2 245:3 51:15 65:24 69:22 71:21 prepared (1) 285:13 project pj 213:6,18 214:15 223:11 228:13 physical [4] 19:19 22:10 245:10,17 251:15 253:9 72:2 73:8 78:3,19 87:18 presence ni 201:3 262:24 269:14 279:15 87:24 92:16 93:5,7 94:7 23:10 100:7 present (10) 4:10 13:6 280:12,20 285:11 288:11 273:16,20 286:10 288:7 95:18 97:19 112:3.8 physically (11 80:1 43:10 49:23 156:4 182:18 113:25-115:12.15 118:18 288:15 290:22 291:5.11 prolong [4] 229:6 233:23 pick [4]: 44:24 55:12,18 201:6,25 206:11 281:20 292:8 294:8 297:8 298:16 147:8 168:24 170:18 234:11 236:20 presentation (3) 205:5 182:3 185:14,16,20 186:5 182.6 310:14,20 prolonging [1] 234.14 picked [2] 45:2 102:10 186:6 189:3,14,21,72,24 policeman [2] 18:24 205:10 206:8 promote [2] 232:11,23 190:5 208:10 209:5 picture [1] 49:18 presented (1) 245:16 19:15 promoted [6] 220:23 piece (5) 39:9 70:9 202:8 215:13 223:3 226:15 Policementy 93:6,8 President [2] 73:18,22 232:8 233:11,13 282:2,6 227:16 236:9 238:1 241:3 243:8,12 nolicies (1) 304:5 Preston (1) 87:4 241:6 245:3,9,17 250:15 promotion (i) 43:4 Pittsburgh (7) 44.5,23 pretty [3] 124:5 129:24 political pr 44-7,9 45-4 253:8 262:23 280:11,20 116:24 151:6 161:8.24 promptly [2] 316:23 55:15 166:16.25 298:6,14 290:22 291:11 294:7 250:7 188:20 190:10 231:14 318:23 295:8,10 297:7 298:15 prevented[1] 234:22 298:16 place [8] 2:11 12:18 18:5 proof(1) 32:17 previous [2] 110:21 politics (3) 73:24 86:23 304:6 310:14.19 35:16 157:3 293:2 309:24 proper (6) 119:15-145:18people [33] 16:17 18:8 262:20 196:6 145:21 297:23 298:1 311:21 34:19 75:10 93:21 94:3 polygraph (i) 100:4 previously [1] 226:16 placed [4] 152:10 215:20 98:8,18,18-99:24 121:14 304.2 5001 (z) 18:4,4 prides (1) 288:12 142:13 156:25 159:21 properly (3) 143:3,10 238:2,5 portion [1] 202.9 169:11,16 170:20 172:16 Plaintiff [12] 1:6 2:4 3:5 primarily [2] 51:1 312:20 173:25 188:6 190:5,7,12 8:19 12:24 252:13 293:12 portrayed [1] 56:12 247:11 proposal (1) 247:2 293:22 299:24 303:2,6 195:21 196:2 206:22 primary [6] 21:7 47:25 posed[1] 130:11 proposed (1) 311:20 253:3 254:13.14 279:17 Plaintiff's [4] 33:6 38:1 position [20] 41:21 43:21 104:5 105:6 164:16 212:7 prosecute [3] 193:23 286:10,11,14 principles (2) 45:21 74:3 198:3.5 performing [1] 241:20 39:1 240:7 170:13 171:4 232:24 printed [1] 288:6 prosecution (2) 193:20 237:8.17 238.6 239:13,20 performs[1] 244:11 plan (1) 261:17 priorities (1) 251:11 242:16,21 293:3 295:9 198:7 perhaps [1] 172:12 planning [1] 257:13 private [2] 188:1 189:2 prosecutors [1] 193:19 period [11] 199:13 224:12 202-23 plans (1) 284:11 prized [1] 208:15 prospective [1] 227:17 positions (10) 177:17 225:11,12 227:6 292:1 play [1] 278:I 167:5 168:6 170:14,15,25 provide (31 38:12 259:6 309:16,18,23 319:4,10 probability (1) 83:5 played [5] 17:14 169:19 171:5.176:17 177:3 probable (20) 73:12 306:7 periodie (1) 253:10 169:22 209:3 213:14 provided [6] 27:14 32:1 269:16 74:12 77:13,22 119:21 periodically [1] 51:3 plead 151 62:11 88:7,8 positive [3] 14:19 15:5 120:9,13,20,22 121:5,8 34:16,17 253:5 259:3 perked (1) 85.3 96:1.131:2 121:17 123:18,21,22 281:13 provision (1) 186:9 126:14 172:22 270:25 permanent[i] 239:6 pleading [4] 150:19 possessed [11 67:1 PSP (9) 117:15 194:4.5 permission [2] 36:6 290:12,14,16 271:21 272:14 possibility (2) 123:18 250:16 280:17 297;24 probe [7] 153:22 154:23 pleads [1] 88:4 303:1 298:13 302:1 304:5 183:20 212:10 216:11 person [2] 63:21-258:4 PNC [11] 15:16,17,22,23 possible [4] 74:4 98:18 psychological pp 100:8 16:1,14.24 185:12 186:1 personal [7] 70:21 71:4 258:19 304:1 229:10 276:12 psychologists [1] 188:5 190:8 problem (6) 104:20 277:8 283:4,9 294:24 possibly [4] 40:17 45:10 169:14 145:14 234:12,14 235:1 point (39) 44:20,21 50:4 298:5 62:21 306:4 public [12] 83:11 124:4 50:9,15,16 51:10 52:1 295:22 personally (s) 121:9 potential [4] 24:19 74:1 139:10 142:23 143:5 problems [2] 18:21 99:3 66:1 74:16,20,24 80:23 158:1 164:4 271:1,22 164:5 190:2,19 198:17 94:17 298:20 82:3 83:7 84:18,20 89:16 procedure |41 2:5 95:7 283:12 312:5,7 potentially (1) 134:10 239:1 240:13 262:7 90:11 122:13 178:19 personnel [25]- 26:16,21-290:14-297:24 Pudleiner (1) 283:17 179:25 180:9 183:19,23 powers[1] 21:23 27:3,6,25 28:2,7,14 31:18 procedures (2) 249:21 184:3 195:11 199:12.15 punish [6], 25:22 58:21 PR.[1] 4:32 37:19 38:1,5 40:6,13 311:14 199:18 205:21 218:14 60:1 183:19 226:10 51:17 94:10 98:5 115:11 practice [4] 73:1.2 109:6 proceed [3] 11:13 199:1 222:8 235:9 250:25 259:8 169:14 199:17 214:13 297:22 297.6 271:17 276:24 319:24 punished (*) 75:6.11 224:25 265:16 275:1 practices (2) 189:23 proceeded [1] 137:25 pointed (1) 101:25 175:19 177:22 307:25 298:2 police (109) 3:18 4:5 9:8 proceedings [4] 248:8 phase [3] 124:24 213:17 punishing pp 75:8 practicing (1) 14:8 9:15 15:20 16:18 18:11 248:12,14,16 punitive [2] 235:19.21 214:14 pre-October (1) 127:9 process [5] 76:21 175:23 20:19 25:18 28:12 44:12 Purchase in 281:4 Phil (1) 209:11 45:6 51:16.23 55:11.17 precautionary [2] 245:20-252:16 263:8 Philadelphia (6) 188:13 purchased [1] 281:8 56:20 58:10 64:13 65:8 processes (1) 245:23 205:19,21 188:19 190:10 220:1,8 65:24 69:22 71:21 72:2 purport [1] 275:17 precisely 111 56:4 procured [1] 57:17 73:8 74:10 75:2 78:3,19 282-23 purports [3] 265:10.14 predilection na 183:18 produced (2) 33:8 37:11 Phoenix [2] 129:15 130:1 82:4.17.25 83:20,25 84:5 265:18 85:6:17 86:21 87:25 89:3 preface [1] 118:25 phone [14] 8:23 9:17.24 product pr 253:7 purpose [6] 34:16 206:5 92:16 93:2 95:18 96:7 prefaced 121 145:4 44:25 45:2 55:13,18 99:17 production [1] 37:13 282:5,6 300:20 301:15 97:19 98:25 99:9,24 116:23 150:12,12 177:15 147:22 professional [13] 44:2 purposès (3) 227/3 111:13 112:8 113:25 preliminary (4) 11:11 293:24 302:22 80:9 164:4 175:1 213:4 115:15 118:14,18 121:22 244.23 250:6 214:24 215:4,24 219:8 phonetic [18] 15:9 21:5 11:15.18 239:4 130:13,23,24 139:24 pursuant (2) 2:4 37:12 25:10 29:1 42:24 58:12 preparation (3) 219:1 237:12 262:22 291:12 147:9 168:5 170:15 171:4 64:18 66:24 81:8 110:9

220:10 278:6

176:18 177:11 182:4

110:17 127:6 198:16

292:4

APR-26-2002 17:17

SHKUENIS LUUKI KETUKIINU

Multi-Page im

put [25] 9:24 11:17 56:9 70:9 106:2 107:15 142:24 145:24 146:9 168:6 175:22 186:22,25 213:10 216:1 232:8 235:3 237:7 237:16 243:8,12 277:27 303:19-304:21-305.4 putting [3] 24:24 270:17 287:17

-Q-

qualifications (2) 266:10,20 qualificd (3) 98:4 188:7 251:6 quality [2] 251:14,20 Guantity [1] 205:1 quarterbacking[1] 121:25 questioned [7] 270:3,13

272:6,12 282:14.18 283:20 questioning (3) 76:22

241:15.23 questions [33] 18:16 36:1 51:7,8 56:2 67:2 68:11 77:10 108:20 138:12 141:9 145:6 153:5 153:6 160:11 165:14,17 168:14,17 178:14 184:11 184:13 197:3 198:23 211:24,25 252:9 256:4 264:23 283:19 321:13

322:3,5 quickly (2) 214:21 312:13

quict[1] 104:1 quite [5] 52:22 208:15 250.8 290:25 320:24

quoto [19] 26:9 45:16,17 59:23,24 62:10 84:3 88:4 88:6 127:15 133:22,23 293:22 312:19,23 318:22 319:3,6,9

quotes (1) 319:1

-R-

r[5] 3:1 4:1 8:1 266:9,19 R&D [4] 246:15 247:1 249:9,10

RE[1] 276:11 race (1) 218:9 Fage (2) 293:14,15 raise [2] 8:5 319:12

range [1] 244:24 rank (8) 59:20 62:23,24 78:15 98:5 135:18.22

147:13 ranking [2] 89:3 134:9 ranks [8] 45:5 55:10,17

93:14 118:13 130:23 160:18 292:9 rather (2) 98:12 270:3

RCN (1) 278:21 reach (2) 311:16.17 reached (4) 59:12 85:10

reaches [1] 51:15 reacted[1] 285:11 reaction [1] 44:15 read [48] 106:12 112:15 115:22 117:4,9,11,23,23 117:24 123:5,6 129:9 131:9 132:7 133:9 143:13 150:1,4,5 160:4,7 163:7 167;3 180:12,13,15,17,18 180:20 184:6 191:22 209:13.19 221:14 239:1 265:3 290:5 297:16,20 298:11 305:10 307:3 312:13 313:21,22 315:19 316:15 318:15

8 108:24

reading [5] 58:18 114:6 163:9 166:18 178:7

reads [4] 274:25 299:1 302:13 312:16 ready [2] 11:13 315:25

real (2) 49:17 302:12 realize [4] 39:22 313:25 317:5 321:21

really [15] 14:9 29:15 70:19 71:24 115:16 150:14 161:19 231:17,22 249:16,18 297:2 314:11

reason [22] 48:1,13 60:25 73:6 83:15 95:4 111:10 121:13 142:11 148:21 180:24 205:7 207:17 219:22 220:9 273:1

274:22 286:16,17 305:21 306:3 319:12 reasonable of 47:21

55:1,5,6,8 74:11 reasons (11) 21:25 48:11 48:12,17 52:21 71:1 85:19 248:19 141:4 155:2 290:7 300:13

reassigned (31 223:17 237:19,22

receive [4] 24:13 78:3 312:21 318:24

received [7] 23:23 47:6 79:4 145:22 220:15 249:10 274:25

receives III 78:6 recently [4] 31:7 117:14 269:13 284:3

reclassified[1] 100:1 recollect 1111 23:6 49:20 82:20 88:24 96:22 158:6 180:24 264:15,16 311:19 314:16

recollection [26] 28:11 51:6 59:10 78:13 89:9.13 89:19 92:10.13 97:10 135:3 140:8 204:20 241:9 241:12,19 263:22 282:7 291:14,25 309:17,22,24 311:6 318:2 321:6

recommend (i) 170:1 recommendation [4] 183:2 230:11 263:4

181:18 182:22 230:23

reconstruct [1] 154:14 reconvene [1] 199:21 record(31) 8:7 10:9 11:18 25:18 33:13 35:15 36:4 37-8-57-8-70:5-116:11 122:6 136:14 144:13 177:8 200:4,5 213:8 239:1 240:4,13 255:9,12 268:15 307:6 315:10,17 316:1.4 316:16 318:16

record's (1) 301:5 recorded to 92:11recording [3] 12:1 132:8 316:17 recordings [2] 157:7,12

redact (1) 319:16 refer [1] 201:16 reference [8] 28:15 266:1,7 291:17 318:3,12 319:14,18

references (2) 30116 310:5 referred (4) 200:17 269:18 313:1 316:20

referring [6] 114:25 192:5 268:20 277:11 317:23 318:3 reflected (1) 294:10

reflections (1) 103:3 refresh (il 90:3 refuse [1] 32:24. refusing [1] 41:18

regard [4] 21:18:20 81:18 203:8 regarding (2) 106:9

regardless [1] 298:17

regards (3) 114:3 125:12 126:24 regular (2) 93:11 112:24

regulation (92) 26:1,3 71:21 72:3,24 80:16,18 175:22 180:6 186:5 212:4 249:7 297:6.12 310:24 312:11,17 313:10,12,15 314:5,7 315:20 316:19 317:4.8.19 318:6 319:4.8 319:21 320:20

regulations [10] 109:9 109:20 174:19 189:15,22 245,18 264.5 310:15,21 311:2

reimbursement (1) 185:9 189:16 190:18 rejoinder [1] 38:13 related [2] 99:16 104:24 relating pp. 115:19

relation [1] 261:24 relationship (1) 277:7 relatives [1] 169:20 relent [1] 233:20

relief (1) 227:17 recommended [5] 170.6 remember [70] 16:11,13 18:10 52:20 55:19 83:5

82:0,14,23 89:14,21,25 90:5 91:5 100:13 101:17 102:6,14 106:7 120:10 130:16 131:12,17 133:14 133:20 140:9,14 147:3 150:6 152:21 153:3 158:14 170:10:11 181:6 181:10,15,17 182:16 183:6.184:21,25 185:1,5 209:8 229:12 257:4 269:16-270:18,21-272:18 273:8 279:4 280:2.9,10 280:22 281:18 282:1,13 282:15,17 286:6 287:11 294:17 296:8 306:16 308:1,17 313:3 remembered (1) 102:3

remembers (1) 96:5 remotely (1) 150:6 remove [1] 39:25 removed [9] 25:12 26:7 26:8,10.11.12 31:11 39:15 40.12

rent [1] 189:25 rented [1] 205:25 renting [2] 184:22 206:4 rents [1] 189:8 reorganization [1]

reorganize [1] 288:23 rep (3) 191:23 192:1.3 repeat [3] 14:5 33:18 38:18

repeatedly [1] 40:5 rephrase (2) 46:2 282:13 replete (rg 301:5

героні 249:14 report (a) 49:25 104:1,3 109:16 112:16 218:12 228.6 316:23

reported [4] 84:7 92:14 198:13 218:12 теротіет [8]: 2:7 10:5,10 13:13 42:11 274:10.11

307:4 reporting [7] 4:13,17 10:12 12:11 109:7.13

reports [3] 58:18 115:10 115:22

represent [7] 8:18 9:3 9:16:13:8 31:5 35:12 182:15 representation [3]

35:21 311:25 313:7 representations (3) 171:20 201:12 250:2

representative (6) 39:24-87:6 192:3,14,18 192:19

Representatives [1] 87:16 represented [3] 138:25

227:11 238:18 representing pp. 31:15 33:12 36:25 reproduction pp 1:23

Republican (1) 220:11 reputation (2) 250:13 299:5

put - rotaliation

request (12) 16:24-22:5 23:2,16 26:24 35:18 36:20 37:12 154:20 202:24 266:13 274:24,25 277:3 286.12 307:23 308:15,18 requested (6) 153:20

159:14 205:2,3 266:17 278:3.4 308:8 requesting [2] 203:6

241:20 requests [1] 170:4

require [2] 298:18 301:25 required [2] 249:23

requirement (1) 252:2 requirements (1) 290:15 requires (i) 234%

requiring [5] 312:20 318:22.22

roscarch (a) 25:11 208:6 220:6 237:19 247:14.24 248:2 310:5

researched (1) 292:12 reserving [1] 14:24 reside (1) 282:22

resources [2] 182:4 189:25

respect [1] 116:4 respectfully [2] 202:15

respond [4] 50:3 164:1 244:23 300:15

responded [4] 68:10 282:19 293:10 301:12 responding [1] 174:17

response [20] 38:15 67:5 67:6 77:10 88:10 116:5 130:17 138:11 144:24 145:12.15 165:14 197:2 241:4 268:21 269:23

270:6,11,12 275:24 responsibilities [2] 145:25 173:25

responsibility (17) 44:3. 73 17 74:13 80:9 85:23 93:17 175:1 213:4 214:24 215:5,25 219:9 237:12 242:11 247:13 291:13 292.4

responsible [2] 164:5 242:13 rest [5] 26:20 27:5 100:18

117:25 245:21 restraint [1] 94:16 resubmitted (2) 249:8

249:11 result [2] 197:8 243:23 results [6] 137:14,16 184:1 210:4,13 218:10

resumed 121 76:10 316:3 resuming (1) 200:1 retained [1] 222:6 retaliation [2] 202:9

221:12 refest [2] 23:17.20 retested (4) 21:17 22:2,4 22:6 seturn [3]: 214:23 215:21 224:5 returned [4] 219:7 224:9 237:13 309:11 reveal [1] 49:8 revealed [2] 69:19 191:12 review [11] 29:25 30:6 37:10,17 41:14 105:11 125:22 201:21 246:2 247:18.19 reviewed [7] 40:6 132:6 162:3 201:2,4 310:15 314;21 reviewing (1) 100:12 Reynolds [3] 4:3 9:12 9.14 Rick [55] 61:20,22 81:21 81:22,23,24 82:2,9 84:20 85:7,12 88:2,11 90:1 91:5 91:9 98:22 100:13 102:14 105:16 106:7,25 107:9 109:23,23 110:1 111:1,7 112:5 116:23 118:8 131:25 134:3 142:16 148:21 149:5,9.14 150:10 150:14 152:20 161:12,17 163:20 165:5,8 172:7 193:7,12,22 268:20.25 270:8,9,15 Rick's [2] 82:6 194:9 rid (n. 143:5 ride (1) 280:14 Ridge [1] 187:16 riding (6) 20:25 21:2,7 21:11 22:23 29:2 right [190] 8:5 11:25 14:7 15:5 17:19 24:3,5 27:16 27:24 29:19,22,24 30:11 41:19 50:11,14 54:13 55:5 56:14 57:10,12,25 58:17 59:3,21 60:12,16 61:23 65:21 67:14,21 70:2 72:12 72:13,17,22,24 77:8 79:2 79:6,21 80:25 81:10 82:11 83:8.17.23 85:1 86:17 88:5 89:17 90:14 93:9 94:12 95:2,9 96:11 97:16 97:22 104:3,9,18 107:23 108:1,15,18 109:1,24 110:5 113:25 114:1,19 115:5 117:7,21 118:5.8

119:23 120:7 121:18

123:23 124:18 126:1

128:13 130:10 131:25

138:18 139:12 141:12

147:5 148:5,24 149:21

157:6.10 159:10 160:8

163:14 167:11,14,19

173:22 174:20 175:5

178:13 180:22 181:13

151:17 152:13 153:14,25

155:9,12,24 156:10 157:2

170:22 171:9.10 173:6.13

122:4:12:16:16 123:13:14

133:5,7 134:3,12,20 138:2

92:12 193:18,18 195:2 197:10,16,22 198:2 200:7 207:12,21 208:2 213:2 216:24 218:16 221:4 231:6 232:13 234:5 236:9,15 237:5 241:16 243:5 251:18,22 252:10 259:24 265:12,16,20 266:2,5,10.14 268:6,9 276:25 277:17 281:21 282:10,19 283:22 284:19 286:23 287:7 288:13,16 289:15 294:16 299:24 302:23 305:13 307:13 312:10 320:4 rights (#1 180:12,14,16 180:17,18,20 rising (1) 290:21 RNC pr 277:23 278:7 roads in 284:4-Robert 11 275:12 rode n 23:5 17:14 101:16 נק role 169:19,22 209:3 213:14 278:1 Ron [1] 273:14 room (12) 8:15 85:4 90:8 91:16 184:23 189:8.25 205:25 206:4-289:5,6;12 100ms (1) 289-14 roughly [2] 214:5,7 route [2] 17:10-244:18 routed pp 265:15 rude (2) 142:7,10 rule (71 105:5 109:11.12 175:21 313:15 317:4 319.8 rules (10) 2:5 12:6 68:15 109:9,19 174:18 181:5 290:12,13 304:5 run ist 24:19 166:12,14 166:16 290:18 -S-S (3) 3:1 4:1 8:1-

SAC [14] .44:23 45:6,8 55:11 61:19 77:19 84:7 94:2 107:7,8 116:24 119:1 121:1 168:8 SAC's(1) 268:21 safely 111 13:25 safety (2) 253:17,19 San [3] 279:5:14 280:3 Sargent's [9] 4:16 10:12 12:11 sat [9] 10:24 43:19 53:6 84:15 90:8 198:18 261:7 276:20:287:5 Saturday (n. 2049 save [1] 168:18 saved [2] 188:14 249:25 311:18 (i) 38 Says (59) 88:2 117:9,18 117:20 123:4 129:2,4.4 129:12 130:6 133:9

140:15 147:11,25 150:18

Multi-Page 152:16 154:24 161:4,9 163:6,9,16 164:8 165:15 165:17,18,19 166:25 171:15 186:6 191:1,19,21 195:5.8 256:25 266:1,12 266:19 268:7 269:11 290:19 291:6 292:6 294:21 295:15 302:18 306:21 308:4 312:2 313:9 313:12 314:3,5,19 316:22 318:6.6,18 scale (3) 66:5 204:24 206:9 scenario [2] 77:15 113:2 schedule (3) 34:21 138:1 | series (2) 42:1 252:9 244:23 scheme (1) 58:7 school [2] 28:12 206:14 SCIEW [1] 84:19 screwcd [2] 82:12 85:11 Scattle [7] 229:13.21 230:7 231.9.12 285:6,12 second[21] 8:21 41:25 54:1 103:16 122:14,14,15 128:20-136:18 153:14 155:13,17 162:17 178:11 190:23 267:25 274:5 275:25 276:2 301:21 315:3 secondary pp 251:18 secondis (1) 154:12 SECTEMBY 131 244:8,16 248:1 Section (1) 316:17

secured [1] 302:25

security [1] 16:25

8**cc** (27) 16:16 32:15 34:7

43:15 89:7 93:3 111:22

122:19:25 124:16 131:9

132:23 133:16 138:9

152:14 190:24 191:16

234:2 270:7,9 275:24

scoking pr 227:16

226-22 315:2

sees [1] 252:13

269:15

169:5

162:11 166:2

195:9 196:24,25 226:24

sceing (2) 247;3 311:20

seem [2] 47:20 131:25

selected (2) 263:2,3

selection (1) 213:16

117:16 176:17 177:2

Sepators (i) 87:15

226:1 234:20 247:3

257:12,18 301:23.

sending [1] 235:4

sense [3] 108:6 168:20

sensitive [1] 263 14

227:6 232:16 233:11

sensitivity (1) 298:15

sent (5) 22:15 28:13 220:7

send (e) 24:14 199:18

selling [6] 58:7 111:24

Senator [4] 87:3 124:21

249: 283:24 centence (1e) 106:2 117:8,10 152:15.16 161:20 168:15 267:25 268:1 302:11 separate [2] 114:14 207:24 September (7) 44:3 60:14 99:18 127:21 290:19 291:9 317:13 sequenced [1] 154:17 sequences [1] 252:20 Sergeant [1] 111:21 service (3) 4:17 12:12 206:11 Services [6] 2:11 12:17 31:22 213:5 217:15 225:5 serving [1] 241:10 set (7) 165:22,24 166:2,7 166:9,15 251:22 settle [2] 229:4 233:21 settled pm 233:22 236:23 237:1,2,3 238:20 239:5,7 240:3,5 settlement [1] 229:3 settling rr 234:25 seven (1) 123:3 several [3] 15:24 281:7 284:16 severe in 18:20 shall [1] 316:22 share [3] 50:19 97:22 122:11 shared (1) 57:14 Sharon [2] 247:23,24 sheets pr 149:17 shirts (2) 243:18,22 short in 75:25 136:11 199:22 224:12 228:8 255:7 289:20 315:14 shorten [1] 288:21 shortly [5] 43:20 46:12 53:2,5 158:11 should've nr 272:17 show [5] 36:4 116:11 123:12 255:12 298:13 showed [2] 123:12 255:19 showing [1] 105:25 shown (1) 28:23 shunned (1) 299:8 shut (1) 289:9 shutting [1] 289:4 sic [1] 182:21 sick [1] 14:3 sign [4] 246:3 247:1 248:17,18 signal (1) 301:23 signature (p) 243:5,13 254:1 signatures (1) 264:14 signed (9) 243:15 245:25 246:9.12.249:13.264:2.5

276:8,20 gignificance (s) 85:13 130:3 208:9 significant [5] 46:19,20 53:8 72:8 218:13 significantly [1] 46:18 signing [1] 263:23 signs |2| 243:4.9 silly (1) 57:7 similar (1) 220:13. simply (2) 295:2 298:22 sin (1) 172:17 single [1] 190:14 singular[1] 176:12 Sit 1171 53:18 56:1.16 89:13 90:2 96:12 135:2 175:12 227:19,25 249:15 253:24 254:2 261:13,15 261:17 289:13 sites [1] 214:10 sitting [6] 85:1,4 102:2 121:12 174:4 276:18 situation [9] 20:7 23:18 73:25 173:21 176:15 177:1 189:7 229:20 231:5 situations (2) 189:20 190-16 8ix [3] 16:4 37:16 123:2 Sixth m 8:22 8izc pt 205:6 skill (1) 293:4 slap [1] 225:20 slash [1] 276:10 smear(1) 264:13 smeared [3] 76:16 243:19 243:23 so-called [1] 311:21 society or 172:16 sold(n) 207:18 Solomon per 4:14 11:8 11:20 12:8,10 13:12 136:12 255:8 315:8 322:6 solve [1] 234:25 someone [18] 33:19 40:24 41:5 58:9 74:1 83:21,24 85:15,16 86:20 87:9 99:6,8 218:25 263:20 267:3 301:1,13 someplace [1] 280:4 sometime (10) 58:15 60:8 86:2 131:11 212:20 212:25 216:23 218:19 232:15 308:17 sometimes (a) 96:19 120:17.249:24 somewhere (s) 25:14 28:8 78:5 223:17 280:8 289:7,8,12 son [1] 170:8 sons[1] 169:20 SOOD (5) 30:4 46:25 229:10 254:20 285:3 SOIFY (34) -33:24,25 39:20. 79,12,13 80:17 91:7 93:3 105:22 107:14 111:2

recest - somy

ארג־בס־בששב

SHKUENIS CUUKI KETUKIINU

131:9 134:15 150:5 153:8 (start) 123:16 started [4] 46:25 53:1 153:9,10 157:22 160:17 160:19 193:11 194:12 133:2 145:5 220:16 225:17 241:9 starts [1] 171:14 242:3 249:24 276:20 state [145] 3:18 4:5 8:6 291:14,20 306:24 307:9 9:7,15 11:12 13:6 15:19 307:10 320:13 16:18 18:11.24 19:16 sort[13] 14:11 49:14 20:19 21:9,13 25:17 44:11 52:20 59:19 120:15 45:5 51:15,23 55:11,13 154:12 172:13 187:25 55:17 56:19 58:9 64:12 188:9 195:9 272:8 286:24 65:8;24:69;22:71:21 72:2 295:9 73:8 74:10 75:2 76:24 78:3,19 82:4,16,24 83:20 sought[1] 294:23 83:25 84:5 85:6,17 86:21 sound [5] 8:14 55:1,5,6,8 87:2,2,5,15,16,18,24 89:3 sounds (2) 129:24 187:24 92:16 93:2,5,7,21 94:7 source [3] 102:3 191:23 95:18 96:7 97:19 98:25 192:1 99:9,24 111:13 112:8 113:25 115:15 118:14,18 Southern [1] 279:21 121:22 124:21 130:13,22 southwestern [1] 130:24 139:24 144:12 168:24 147:8 162:11 168:5 SP (31 167:2.5 170:14 169:20,21 170:8.9.14 spark pp 130:17 171:4-176:17 177:10 speak [5] 43:25 50:15 182:4 185:13,16,20 186:5 186:6 189:14,21,22,24 154:21 286:25 309:6 190:6,9 192:3 207:2,3,21 speakerphone [11 91:19 207:24 208:1,5,11 209:6 speaks (1) 314:8 215:13 226:15 227:16 special [13] 28:15 61:21 231:12,15,16 236:9 238:2 75.8 95:20,22 129:6 164:8 245:3,10,17 251:14 253:9 191:8 205:4 206:7 265:1 262:23 269:13 280:11,20 266:7,8 283:22 284:1,2.8 285:8 285:11 288:10;15 290:22 specialize [1] 188:2 specific [4] 49:5 131:15 291:5,11 292:8 294:8 297:7 298:15 310:14,19 131:18 281:3 statement [15] 77:24 specifically [1] 134:2 82:14 99:6 106:11 112:12 specify (1) 9:25 119:2 135:25 150:25 speculate [4] 168:9,12 154:8,9 158:8 166:18 230:17 305:23 191:22.254:17.268:17 spending (2) 189.11.13 statements [2] 160:5,8 spent (1) 37:15 states [9] 1:1 12:21 73:19 spirit[1] 297:25 73:23 80:16 86:18 142:24 spoke (4) 50:16 60:15 229:15 304:7 91:9 307:22 statey pj 194:20 195:1,3 square pj 291:13,24 stating (1) 181:16 squiggly [1] 132:24 stationed in 168:23 staff [13] -25:5 28:12status [2] 100:2,3 43:23 159:22 247:10 statutes (T) 93:18 253:19 254:13,13 263:5 STD (1) 306:20 295:9,11,13,14 stenographer (4) 9:22 stage [1] 250:4 . 289:2.315:25.316:6 standard (v) 272:16 stenographic (1) 10:1 standards (4) 114:13 stepping [1] 85:22 250:18 251:4,22 still run. 33:16.50:12 standing [2] 218:1 299:4 149:22 157:20 233:11

standpoint (2) 242:11

Stanton [31] 83:8.11

99:16,21,22 111:19,23

113:8 114:10.12 115:1.24

129:25-136:23 137:11.23

137:24 167:6,10 170:16

170:17,24 171:3 191:1

192:11 194:14 196:18

Stanton's [4] -110:10

197:7 198:4.20,21

176:13,23 197:12

star[1] 290:21

242:12

235:11 237:4 273:24

stipulate (i) 317:17

stop [2] 63:12 144:10

stopped pt. 133:3

strange (1) 39:12

strangely [1] 57:13

street [4] 3:4,11 8:22

284:6 320:3

160:19

55:12

Multi-Page 1m strike (7) 69:10 92:12 144:21 282:11 303:17 315:23 316:2 strong (s) 73:11 74:11 77:22 119:21 121:4 strongly [2] 152:2-215:1 struck [1] 135:20 structure [1] 245:2 structured (1) 147:9 stuff[n 87:20 174:2 177:17,19 188:16 192:22 289.9.11 subject [21] 117:15 133:12 140:15,19,25 141:15 143:16 147:23 149:23 156:7.12 172:11 265:11 266:14 268:2,22 269:14.21 313:10,12 119.4 subjected (2) 299:9:25 subperformer [1] 291:2 subsection (12) 248:20 249:22 250:4 252:1.4.14 252:21 254:7,15 266:4,6 316:18 subsequent [2] 76:25 294:21 subservience [1] 298:5 substantially [1] 113:8 such [7] 39:2.190:16 225:9 263:13 299:2 303:6 304:4 suggest [1] 199.11 suggesting [5] 58:12 276:3,7 278:14 319:17 Sulfy [5] 91:23 161:16 166:21,21,22 sum [7] 15:25 266:9,19 superintendent [2] 74:19-75:1 superintendent's (1) supernatural n 57:10 supervisor (a) 77:11 109:8,14.17 166:19 312:21 316:23 318:24 supply (it 84:13 supporter [2] 262:18,19 supporting [1] 87:22 supportive (1) 298:1 supposed in 186:7 supposedly (1) 57:20 57:20 201:7 surfaced [3] 162:20 163:18 196:7 surfaces [1] 164:9 surprise (1) 139:4 surrounding (8) 64:21 73:25 108:14.16.17 113:4 straightened 121 155:13 115:10 295:21 suspect [4] 60:22 65:4 71:19 296:20 suspected (6) 60:24 61:3 61:7 126:11 139:11.12

suspecting [1] 187:19

sort - through suspend [7] 32:10 75:19 136:3,9 254:25 255:5 Con m 289:3 315:6 suspending 13 75:24 321:4 289:19 315:13 suspicion[2] 301:3.15 SVT [1] 204:25 swimming (5) 18:3,4,5 281:15,16 302:3 SWOTH (2) 8:10 10:18 Syndi [5] 3:8 9:1 13:22 288:24 321:13 system [9] 172:5 179:23 180:5 214:1 273:18 systemic (10) 169:9:10 172:3 173:4,20,24 176:15 176:25 177:7 194:15 systems [1] 213:17 -T-285:22 T'D [1], 4:1 144:3.7 takes [1] 103:6 taking [2] 24:24 41:21 talks [1] 279:11 282:7 285:23 tape [12] 84:16 85:5.7 86:6 100:19 101:4 136:13 135:18 148:9 201:14 255:10.16 taped 121 87:10 159:6 3.19:17 tapes (8) 136:9 159:9,15 159:19,25 160:2 191:17 255:6 target [10] 94:20 95:1,11 95 12,14 271:24 298:19 298:20 301:2,14 targets [3] 94:16,17 174:1 task pr 261:8 321:19.24 tax (1) 214:4 faxpayer (r) 189:13 taxpayer's [2] 189:11 47:23 309:7 189:12 taxpayers [2] 17:17 53:16 134:6 189:12 teach [2] 226:4,7 team [6] 16:17,21 17:3 213:15,25 279:17 275:21 teams [5] 15:20 185:11 189:2-190:4-213:23 Tech (2) 2:10 12:17 technical [1] 313:25 techniques [1] 14:11 Technology [6] 2:10 12:16 31:21 213:5 217:14 319:20 225:4 telephone [4] 62:8 182:6 214:11.12 telling (21) 46:12 62:14 75:13,14 80:23 81:22 84:10 85:2,12 121:19 125:20 138:2 144:19,23 146:6 161:12 173:16 293:5 176:12 233:8-236:11

257:6

321:17

tells [3] 60:11 82:2

temporary (1) 240:8 tends [9] 316:25 318:8 term [19] 45:17 51:20 55:20-59:23-61:12 102:8 104:6 120:8 133:23 135:6 135:13,22,22 136:25 138:8 148:11,14 157:27 termed ny 108:12 terms [7] 80:7 189:11,20 236:6,8 275:23 310:13 terrorism [1] 187:19 test [4] 23:12,13.24:4,12 testified [18] 67:23 96:19 101:24 109:1,2 140:9 177:4 183:5,8 212:2 224:3 229:24 230:24 234:3 259:13 281:23 282:1 testify [4] 8:11 110:8 testifying [7] 110:24 152-9 172:6 210:23 279:5 testimony (19) 17:2 37:2 137:20 142:12 182:17 198:10 216:19 224:2 238:3 241:14,17 259:2.11 294:2.4 295:2 296:9 305:6 testing (5) 22:10 23:10 92:7 100:7 150:8 tests [1] 19:20 thank (17) 8:13 10:16 13:17,18,21,21 20:6 42:14 76:12 77:8 107:13 149:21 171:7 291:22 301:21 Thanks 124 30:9 273:13 themselves [3] 45:20 thinking (4) 47:9 53:4 third (6) 53:21,23 54:1 131:8 150:2 317:3 Thomas (3) 1:9 12:25 thought [28] 68:21 69:9 70:17 71:22 72:5,6 96:18 96:19 105:12,14 140:2 172:1 178:12 198:25 212:4,17 213:11 240:25 279:1 281:23 282:1 309:19 314:3,4,17,18.19 thoughts [4] 76:24 77:1 107:21 230:25 thousands (1) 167:23 three ries 6:9 122:23 155:5 194:23 246:1.11,21 246:21,22 249:5 254:13 255:10 256:25 274:13.15 threshold (ij 124:3 through [18] 10:23,25

11:5 19:17 42:23 100:4.6

Multi-Pago"

vote [2] 87:16 223:14

122:17 169:12 172:4 204:15 234:23 241:22 248:16 249:21 259:11 290:4 311:13 throughout [2] 206:13 311:8 tight [1] 227:25 times [11] 14:2 39:25 120:8 202:18,23 237:25 238:5 242:18 253:10 293:18 313:2 tip [2] 94:24-95:7 today [24] 12:2 17:3 36:23 37:3 38:8 56:1,16 76:6 88:25 89:13 90:2 108:13 120:4 135:3 152:10 174:13 198:10 203:4 216:19 227:19 249:15 259:13 296:9 321:21 Today's [1] 12:12 together [8] 84:16 179:13 186:22,25 261:4 278:21 287:13,14 Tom (1) 179:10 tomorrow.[4] -203:15,19 204:2 294:1 tonight[3] 203:13,22 293:24 100 [4] 31:2 60:18 184:18 286:18 took (22) 22:13 30:14 40:22,25 41:5,6 48:21 139:13 147:12 154:11 158:24 166:12,14,16 167:19 193:21 269:4.8 270:1 287:25 309:2 311:21 top (9) 116:22 121:14,15 166:23 251:11,12,16,24 254:13 total [1] 205:2 totally (2) 196.20 239:24 touch [i] 10:4 towards (1) 302:14 traces [1] 243:13 tracking (T) 306:1,2 Trade (2) 229:14-285:7 trading (1) 127:3 training [4] 43:18 265:1 266:14 275:16 transcript [3] 1:23 86:9 132:7 transfer (5) 15:21 224:1 235:18 277:4 283:9 transferred (9) 45:7,9 151:9,11 220:20,24 234:10 278:22 293:25 transferring (3) 257:2

and the state of t		aporto.
122:17 169:12 172:4	treat at [1] 220:14	7
204:15 234:23 241:22 248:16 249:21 259:11	tremendously [1] 14:19	2
290:4 311:13	trial [1] 175:11	2
throughout [2] 206:13	tricd (t) 117:3	1
311:8	irip [1] 154:15	u
tight [1] 227:25	troop (s) 93:25 167:16,21 168:21,21 309:13	u
times [11] 14:2 39:25	trooper [26] 18:19 19:4	
120:8 202:18,23 237:25 238:5 242:18 253:10	- 21:9 69:21,22 93:12 99:21	D.
293:18 313:2	111:22 115:4 117:17	
tip (21 94:24-95:7	127:15 128:4 131:15,18 139:18 167:6,10,13	u
today 1241 12:2 17:3	168:20,25 170:8,9,18,24	u
36:23 37:3 38:8 56:1,16	171:3 269:15	. :
76:6 88:25 89:13 90:2 108:13 120:4 135:3	troopers [10] 21:13 24:17	
152:10 174:13 198:10	94:8 167:20 169:21,21 251:17,19 277:7 286:13	
203:4 216:19 227:19	troops [1] 220:11	
249:15 259:13 296:9 321:21	truc [9] 63:3 171:11,12	
Today's [1] 12:12	188:17 211:13 294:6	
together [1] 84:16 179:13	- 295:5.11 299:6	ŋ
186:22,25 261:4 278:21	trust (12) 45:19 65:12,14	-
287:13,14	65:17,19,23 66:5 81:10 81:13 97:18 161:16	u
Tom [1] 179:10	195:21	บ
tomorrow.(4) -203:15,19	trusted [1] 66:2	บ
204:2 294:1 tonight[3] 203:13,22	trustworthy [1] 66:7	נו
293:24	truth (2) 62:15 178:5	u
too (4) 31:2 60:18 184:18	try [13] 22:1 74:4 98:16	-
286:18	117:11 120:14 142:9.9 154:14,16 160:18 204:13	
took (22) 22:13 30:14	204:15 301:17	U
40:22,25 41:5,6 48:21 139:13 147:12 154:11	trying [i1] 17:20 108:7	U
158:24 166:12,14,16	144:3,6 154:12,15 169:25	J
167:19 193:21 269:4.8	170:7 174:4 258:2 314:1	L
270;1 287:25 309:2 311:21	turn pr 159:21 191:17	u
top [9] 116:22 121:14,15	turned (2) 159:20 171:8 Turnpike (1) 17:11	u
166:23 251:11,12,16,24	twelvern 150:11	ľ
254:13	two (63) 6:8-21:7 43:10	ע
total [1] 205:2	47:8,9,10 51:5 52:9,13	İ
totally (2) 196:20 239:24	53:16,16,17 66:11 113:12	Ì
touch (i) 10:4	114:20 116:12,14,15 122:23-127:23 128:12	u
towards (1) 302:14	129:2 133:6:6-134:8.10	u
traces (1) 243:13	136:14 138:7 140:15	u
tracking (1) 306:1,2	141:9 148:24 151:25 152:1 182:10,23 183:2	u
Trade (1) 229:14-285:7 trading (1) 127:3	185:11 189:19 190:2,4,15	U
training [4] 43:18 265:11	190:23,23 194:21,23,25	ļ
266:14 275:16	195:4,6 196:24 221:23 222:24 225:13,14 227:5	
transcript pg 1:23 86:9	256:25 267:17 286:4	
132:7	289:14 294:7 295:20	
transfer (5) 15:21 224:11	300:13 309:18 313:19	
235:18 277:4 283:9	type [7] 28:5 77:15 115:16 183:9,10 217:19	
transferred [9] 45:7,9 151:9,11 220:20,24	277:9	-
234:10 278:22 293:25	typewriter [2] 207:10	
transferring (3) 257:2	207:14	-
258:9 309:13	typewritten (1) 265:23	
transport [2] 17:1 188:15		ŀ
Transuc [12] 219:24 237:15,18 277:12,19,20	-U-	-
277:22,22 278:8.20	U.S pr 198:8	}
282:25 283:10	uncomfortablem	T
Transue's [1] 219:25	321:22	T
treated (2) 219:23.24	under[24] 12:6 68:14	

upset 48:1 52:11,15 71:1 74:3 162:17 164:14 167:14,17,23 196:23 211:6 217:15 242:14 247:8,14 253:25 267:23 267:25 290:13,13 298:24 301:3,14 316:16 ndercover m23 mderlined (4) 313:9,14 319:2.7 inderneath(s) 133:1 194:4,5 265:25 268:6 indersigned [1] 2:6 inderstand (34) 14:6 25:9 34:9 41:20 49:15 50:13 75:15 93:4 117:8 123:20 137:5,7 164:2,6 170:2 192:2,16 197:5 234:2,3,5,7,24 235:11,12 235:13 268:19 270:2 271:8.15,18 294:4 303:22 308-10 ınderstandable (1) 158:5 inderstood (3) 269:2 271.4 272.22 inderway [1] 198:22 infair(1) 314:1 infairness [2] 22:8,9 infounded is 47:8 69:15 127:13 128:2 139:16 unidentified 417 95:14 <u>uniformity (2)</u> 22:9 23:9 unilaterally in 47:18 Inited in 1:1 12:21 73:19,23-142:24 304:6 injumble [1] .153:16 unlawful (3) 299:25 300:13 302:1 mless [13] 20:20 73:11 77:12 95:4 119:20 120:8 120:20,22 270:24 271:19 272:9,14-317:8 unpolitical (11 96:17 unquote (1) 312:23 untrue (2) 298:22,23 unwritten [1]. 302:3 up [71] 36:14 44:24 45:2 46:22 55:12.18 61:14 66:1 77:5 78:17 83:16 82:12 83:14 84:9,10,11,19,19 85:3,11-102:6 128:22,22 131:23 136:21 137:21 148:19 149:15,19 154:15 160:11 161:4 162:23 163:1 167:18,25 169:4,11 172:1.4.178:18.181:12.23 182:5,6.11 188:13 203:18 213:20 219:4 226:24 231:18 249:7 250:17 257:8 258:2,18 269:10 276:5 280:25 283:22.25 284:2,7 288:7,21 292:16 293:7 302:18 312:12 314:22 apper[i] 51:14 ups (51 58:15 74:10 78:15 82:4 118:18

85:20 152:22,23 155:1 votes 121 87:22 127:3 164:2 165:2 233:16 vs 111 1:7 297:19 297:18 used [36] 17:8 18:12 -W-45:17 51:25 59:24 61:12 62:7 82:23 97:21 120:7 w/David(1) 132:14 130:19 133:23 135:23 w/information[1] 146:17 148:10,11,15 123:10 157:21 158:13 184:16 wait [3] 63:23 268:4 185;17,21,25 186:7 187:4 276:1 205.4.9.15 205:7,10 253:1 waiting (1) 316:5 253:2,3 293:18 318:22 319:5 Walp [5] 221:23:25-222:1 uses [2] 313:13 319:5 222:5 292:23 wanting [2] 28:11 251:3 using (2) 181:4 189:24 wants [2] 287:22 288:24 usually [1] 162:4 war [2] 320:16.18 warehouse ni 188:12 warning [1] 134:25 vacancies [1] 238:14 Washington [19] 218:16 vacancy [1] 293:6 221:17 222:21 223:3 various [2] 292:9 293:18 227:7 228:2,21,24 229:1 vault [2] 26:20 27:5 230:7 233:12 242:1,4,7 283:25 284:7,19,20 vehicle [3] 185:16 205:6 309:14 vehicles [5] 185:20 188:8 wasting [2] 11:4 182:3 190:6 205:13 206:21 water (1) 255:2 verbiage [4] 168:6 Wednesday (1) 2:13 293:19 313:5 321:3 wee [1] 213:20 verify [1] 24:12 week (12) 67:10.11.14.19 versa (1) -66:8 67:21.25 68:9,9,12 210:21 version-[1] 317:18 212:21 225:8 weekly [3] 218:12 253:10 versus (1) 12:24 253:21 vest (2) 49:25 78:25 weeks [17] 47:8,9,10 52:9 vice in 66.8 52:13 53:16.17.17 57:23 vicinity (i) 16:3 58:23 127:23 128:12 VIDEO [1] 200:5 204:10 225:13,14 227:5 VIDEOGRAPHER 309:18 [18]. 4:12,15 8:3,12 10:19 weigh [1] 120:17 75:21 76:7 136:1,6,15 welcome (2) 305:10 200:3 255:3,14 289:17.21 321:25 315:11,15 322:9 Wells [1] 190:12 videotape (1) 58:4 Wertz [20] 127:6 158:13 VIDEOTAPED [2] 159:4 160:18 180:2,25 1:16 2:1 181:8.19 182:21,22 184:1 view [9]. 33:5 74:17,20.24 184:10 210:15 277:3 165:4 168:14 180:9 278:1 279:22 280:7 205:21 209:16 282:24 283:4,8 viewpoints [1] 230:19 Wescoft (3) 1:11 13:1 17:13 155:23 156:21 violate [3] 25:25 26:3 179:2.4.25 181:11,15.21 72:21 182:2,9,11 183:1 218:24 violated 1291 71:20 72:2 223:1 226:23 229:8.19 72:25 105:3,5 109:9,11 230:9,16 236:4 241:24 174:14,18 175:17,18 242:12,16,20 277:25 180:6 212:4 297:6 312:24 279:4 280:6 285:2.5 303:8 313:14 314:4 317:3 318:9 319:2,7,20,21 320:3,10 Wescott's [8] 181:1 230:10 231:20 232:1.4.6 320:20.24 321:2,4 241:14 281:20 violating [3] 85:21 93:21 west [2] 15:20 280:7 105:2 western [5] 16:21 111:18 violation [10] 109:4,4,5 109:7,19 174:22 175:21 112:2 115:12 170:18 197:13 261:25 297:11 wet{1} 231:5 virtue (1), 298:9. What'd (1) 105:19 voice [1] 213:20 whatnot 11 120:18 voluntary [1] 68:14

Filed 05/20/2002

Document 63

Case 1:01-cv-00084-CCC

Page 370 of 413

25 I want to specify that I am

301 And whether Evanko and someone 0. 1 in the Governor's Office was a target 2 or actually under suspicion. Well, 3 you were concerned about that? 4 record's replete with numerous 5 references ---. 6 That I was what? 7 Well, here's the allegations. 8 The first was to learn the breadth 9 and depth of Ober's knowledge about 10 the FBI investigation, you've already 11 responded to that. And whether 12 Evanko and someone in the Governor's 13 Office was a target or actually under 14 suspicion, that was not a purpose? 15 My concern would have been to 16 try to determine why the FBI or 17 Lieutenant Colonel Hikus didn't tell 18 me. So I guess in a way that would 19 be accurate. 20 Thank you. And the second was 21 to harass and injure Ober as a way to 22 send a signal to others that the 23 Defendants as a leadership cadre 24 require the obedience even the 25

302 unlawful obedience of PSP members, above all other considerations as an 2 unwritten term and condition of 3 employment. Now, clearly you would 4 not agree with that? 5 I would not agree with that 6 and I will go back to the last 7 question. I would agree to that as 8 far as I am concerned but not the 9 Governor's Office. 10 And the last sentence ---11 ο. guess that's not real helpful here. 12 Okay. Now, paragraph 39 reads, 13 towards the end after the meeting 14 that you had in your office, the 15 following. That the meeting in your 16 office in which --- well, let's clear 17 that up first. Paragraph 39 says 18 that there was a meeting following 19 Colonel Evanko's meeting with Mark 20 Campbell. We've already indicated it 21 was a phone call and not a meeting; 22 right? 23 That's correct. 24 Α. Where Evanko secured 25 ο.

303 permission to investigate the 1 Plaintiff. You already said that did 2 not occur? 3 That did not occur. 4 Because Evanko proceed to 5 Ο. harass Plaintiff have others such as 6 the Defendants Conley, Coury, and 7 Wescott harass him. Now, clearly you 8 don't agree with that? That is not 9 correct? 10 That is not correct. 11 And have him, meaning Ober, 12 officially investigated despite the 13 fact that Evanko was told he should 14 not conduct an investigation. Now, 15 your investigation into Ober 16 strike that. 17 Your investigation into the 18 facts and circumstances as you put 19 it, we feel it was into Darrell Ober 20 and respectfully disagree with you on 21 that. But we understand your 22 position and assuming your 23 definition, the investigation into 24 the facts and circumstance of the FBI 25

```
304
   probe, the allegation here is that
1
   you were told that it was not proper,
2
   that investigation. There being no
3
   cause for such an inquiry as required
   by PSP policies and rules and by both
5
   the Pennsylvania and the United
6
   States Constitution. Mr. Coury
7
   didn't tell you that?
8
           The only thing Colonel Coury
9
   told me was that this is not a ---
10
   when I said about assigning BPR
11
    investigators? This is not a BPR
12
    issue, it should be an administrative
13
    inquiry.
14
           So he was behind the
15
    investigation but he said it should
16
    be an administrative inquiry?
17
           It's not a BPR issue and don't
18
    assign BPR investigators to it.
19
           Why did Captain Brown, in July
20
    20th, 1999, put a BPR number on it?
21
    Why did he do that? Did you tell him
22
    to do that?
23
           No, I did not tell him.
24
    Α.
           Then why did he do it? Do you
25
    Q.
```

```
305
   know why he did it? Did you ever ask
1
   him?
2
           No, I never asked him and I
3
   don't know why he put a number on it.
4
           Sir, when Mr. Williams talked
5
   to Mr. Brown, we've got testimony on
6
   this, they talked about this issue,
7
   the nature of this inquiry. We have
8
   a deposition from Mr. Brown. You're
9
   welcome to read it. I assume you
10
    have, I don't know.
11
           No, I have not.
12
    Α.
           All right, sir. Was the thing
13
    ever a BPR investigation, Colonel?
14
           No, it was not.
    Α.
15
            It was not?
16
    ο.
            It was not.
17
    Α.
            It was not, but Captain Brown
18
    gave it a BPR number. Who --- why
19
    did he do that? Who authorized him
20
                What was the reason for
    to do that?
21
    that; do you know?
22
            I can speculate as to what it
23
    Α.
    is.
24
            Then tell me.
    Q.
25
```

```
306
           As a tracking number.
1
   Α.
           As a tracking number.
2
   Q.
           That's the only reason I could
   Α.
3
   possibly think of.
4
           It wasn't to cover; was it?
5
   ٠Q٠
           Pardon me?
   Α.
6
           It was to provide cover; was
7
    Q.
    it?
8
           I don't know what you mean.
    Α.
9
          Colonel Conley ever talk to
10
    Q.
    you about this thing as a BPR with a
11
    BPR number?
12
            No, sir.
13
            Did you ever discuss Captain
14
    Ober with Colonel Conley that you can
15
    remember?
16
            I think anything that I
17
    discussed about Captain Ober was with
18
    Lieutenant Colonel Coury? Although
19
    Captain Conley has an STD directed to
20
    me that he says he talked to me, but
21
    I don't recall any direct
22
    conversations.
23
         Sir, I'm sorry, what was that
24
    again?
25
```

307 What's the question again? Α. 1 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 2 It can be read back if 3 you want the court reporter 4 --- the answer's on the 5 record. 6 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 7 No, no, let me go on to 8 another question. I'm sorry 9 ma'am. I'm sorry, I 10 interrupted you. 11 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 12 That's all right. 13 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 14 Okay. 15 ATTORNEY CHRISTIE: 16 I'm finished. 17 BY ATTORNEY BAILEY: 18 Let me go on to another 19 When we talked to Captain question. 20 Brown, I think, he indicated that he 21 spoke with Major Conley at the 22 request of Commissioner Evanko. 23 Something about the investigation 24 ascertaining facts, no personnel 25

```
308
    action involved. Do you remember
1
    that?
2
           No, I do not.
3
    Α.
           Well, it says on February 14th
4
    0.
    of '01 that you forwarded a copy of
5
    the worksheet to the commissioner.
6
    What's that about? Do you know what
7
    that's about, why you requested that?
8
9
           What is it again?
    Α.
           Well, my understand is that
10
    Q.
    sometime on or about the 14th of
11
    February of 2001, I want you to bear
12
    in mind that the lawsuit was filed on
13
    January 14, '01, I think it was. Why
14
    did you request a worksheet from
15
16
    Captain Brown?
    A. I don't remember that I did
17
    request one.
18
           Okay. Was this an
19
    Q.
    investigation that went into the
20
    events --- was it an investigation
21
22
    into the FBI at all?
           In so much as what they had
23
    Α.
    told Captain Ober.
24
          In fact that's why Ober
25
    Q.
```

```
309
   mentioned, isn't he, during those
1
   notes that you took of the discussion
2
   with Mr. Mascara?
3
      I'm not sure I know what you
4
5
   mean.
          Well, the notes speak for
6
    themselves, Captain Ober was
7
   discussed. Paragraph 50, page 16,
8
   there's a number of allegations in
9
    there, but it erroneously indicates
10
    that Ober was returned to IAD as
11
   Director of Internal Affairs for five
12
    days before transferring him to Troop
13
    B, Washington. Let me tell you why I
14
    am asking this question. You'd
15
    indicated it was for a pay period ---
16
    at least your recollection is it was
17
    a pay period, two weeks?
18
           I thought that's what it was,
19
    Α.
    yes.
20
           Okay. You don't have a
21
    recollection of it ever being for a
22
    period --- I know it didn't take
23
    place, but a recollection of it ever
24
    being for five days?
25
```

310 Other than listening to 1 Lieutenant Colonel Conley during his 2 deposition. 3 Do historical files have 4 references to the research that's 5 done on them, the recommended 6 changes, drafts of changes and that 7 kind of thing in your experience? 8 I don't have any experience 9 with historical files at all. 10 Boy, are you lucky. That's 11 very interesting. Have you never in 12 terms of any of the changes 13 Pennsylvania State Police 14 Regulations, you have never reviewed 15 or looked at a historic file? 16 That is correct. 17 Α. In all of the time that you 18 have been with the Pennsylvania State 19 Police where there has been a change 20 in regulations, have you ever looked 21 at what it was like --- you had done 22 a before and after comparison? 23 Of the actual regulation? 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25

```
311
           I've probably looked at before
1
    and after regulations, what was in
2
    existence at a particular time and
3
    what a new one would say.
4
           But you don't have a
5
    Q.
    particular recollection of when or
6
    which one?
7
           Throughout my career I would
8
9
    have done that.
      You didn't do it with AR
10
    1.102(c), though?
11
          After the Amended Complaint or
12
    after I found out about it through
13
    these procedures I looked at it.
14
          Okay. And what conclusions
15
    did you reach?
16
           I didn't reach any.
17
    Α.
           First darn time you saw them;
18
    Q.
    isn't it? First time you recollect
19
    you seeing those proposed changes or
20
    the so-called change that took place;
21
    isn't it, Colonel?
22
           That is correct.
23
    Α.
           On page 18, paragraph 55, the
24
    Ο.
    allegation is that a representation
25
```

312 that was made to Plaintiff and his 1 Counsel was false. And it says that 2 AR 1-1-02 had just been changed on 3 February 22nd, 2001 and was 4 personally approved by the Defendant 5 Evanko according to file documents. 6 The word personally in there is not 7 correct, that is an error? 8 That is incorrect. 9 All right, sir. Let's talk 10 0. about Field Regulation 1-1.17(b). 11 And we can finish this up I think 12 fairly quickly. I want to read a 13 paragraph to you out of the Amended 14 Complaint appearing on page 19, sir. 15 Reads as follows, additionally FR, 16 Field Regulation, 1-1.17(b) 17 misrepresented to the court on page 18 12 of the motion to dismiss as quote, 19 requiring members to properly notify 20 their supervisor when they receive 21 any information indicating another 22 member, quote, unquote, might have 23 violated the law. You have a 24 familiarity with the language --- I 25

```
313
    mean you referred to it here earlier
1
    in the deposition a couple of times.
2
    Do you remember offhand if --- do you
3
    have a commanding knowledge of its
4
    verbiage, of the words?
5
           I think that's an accurate
б
    Α.
    representation of its contents.
7
           And the word might is
8
    underlined. It says emphasis added.
9
    The subject field regulation, this is
10
    in the allegation, paragraph 59. It
11
    says, the subject field regulation
12
    uses the word and phraseology has,
13
    which is underlined, violated any
14
    law, rule, regulation or order
15
    emphasis added. It does not use the
16
    word might. Do you agree or
17
    disagree, or do you know?
18
           You lost me on the two
19
    Α.
    different ---.
20
           Well, I'll let you read it.
21
    Read paragraph 59 until your heart's
22
    content. If you know the answer
23
    and if you don't --- I mean, I
24
    realize it's a technical question.
25
```

```
314
    I'm not trying to be unfair, but tell
1
    me if you know.
2
          I thought it says might have
3
    violated the law. I thought that's
4
    the way the regulation says.
5
                   ATTORNEY GUIDO:
6
                   Well, the regulation
7
           speaks for itself.
8
                   ATTORNEY BAILEY:
9
                   Yes, I mean, it does.
10
           It really does. It doesn't
11
           matter what any of us
12
           attorneys say.
13
    BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
14
           But it does matter what you
15
16
    recollect.
           I thought it said might.
17
    Α.
           You thought it said might?
18
    Ο.
           Yes, I thought it says might.
19
    Α.
           When is the last time you
20
    0.
    reviewed it?
21
           Prior to coming up for this
22
    deposition.
23
          Okay. You don't have a copy
24
    handy; do you?
25
```

```
315
           No, I don't.
1
    Α.
            See if I could get a copy for
2
    just one second, because I may be
3
    wrong and I don't want to ---.
4
                   ATTORNEY BAILEY:
5
                   Just suspend for one
6
            minute.
7
                   MR. SOLOMON:
8
                    It's 3:58 p.m., off
9
            record.
10
                   VIDEOGRAPHER:
11
                    It's 4:00 p.m., we're
12
            suspending.
13
14
    SHORT BREAK TAKEN
15
                   VIDEOGRAPHER:
                    It is now 4:04 p.m.,
16
            we're back on the record.
17
    BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
18
           Colonel, I'd like to read to
19
    you Field Regulation 1-1 ---.
20
    BRIEF INTERRUPTION
21
22
                   ATTORNEY BAILEY:
                   Strike all of my former
23
            comments until the
24
            stenographer is ready, please.
25
```

We're back on the record now.

Please, strike anything
in between when we resumed on
the record because of my error
in not waiting until the
stenographer was able to mark
the document involved here.

BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Q. Sir, can you identify for us
--- Colonel Evanko, can you identify
for us the document that is in front
of you?

A. It's a copy of FR 1-1 dated March 25, 1992.

Q. And I just want to read into the record very briefly under 1.1 Section 1.17, Recording of Information, Subsection B, which is the part of the field regulation referred to in paragraph 59. Then I just want to ask you to comment if you would. It says, members shall promptly report to their supervisor any information which comes to their attention and which tends to indicate

1 that any other member or employee 2 has, I want to emphasize the word 3 has, last word, third line, violated any law, rule, regulation or order. 4 5 I realize I was asking you earlier to 6 comment from memory, which is awfully 7 difficult. And in light of paragraph 8 59 in that regulation, unless it's 9 been changed, can you tell me if it has? 10 Α. I don't know. That would be

my first question, what was in effect September October of 1998.

0. Okay.

ATTORNEY GUIDO:

Counsel, we'll stipulate that this is the correct version of the regulation, that this is the one that was in effect. However, I would like the question to be clarified when you're referring to paragraph 59. I don't have the complaint, Amended Complaint,

24 25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

in front of me. My
recollection is that you're
referring --- the reference is
to a brief which I wrote in
which I paraphrased what the
regulation says, which says
that any information which
tends to indicate that an
employee has violated any
laws. So I just want to make
sure the question is clarified
as to what the reference in
the Amended Complaint is to.

ATTORNEY BAILEY:

I'll read paragraph 59 into the record then.

BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:

Q. It says, additionally FR

1-1.17(b) is misrepresented to the

Court on page 12 of the Motion to

Dismiss. Here the acronym MTD is

used, as requiring, quote, requiring

members to promptly notify their

supervisor when they receive any

information indicating that another

```
member might, it is in quotes
underlined, have violated the law,
closed quote. Emphasis added,
period. The subject field regulation,
the acronym FR is used, uses the
words and phraseology quote, has,
underlined for emphasis, violated any
law, rule, regulation or order,
closed quote. Emphasis added,
period. It does not use the word
might.
```

Sir, the reason I raise this as an issue is that you'd made reference earlier in the deposition to your belief. And I'm not asking you to redact or change your testimony at all, not suggesting you should. But you had made reference to your belief that Colonel Evanko had violated, you thought that he had violated Field Regulation 1-1.17. And that's why I asked you if you knew the wording and that's why I introduced this here to point that, you know, maybe we'll have a

```
320
  1
     difference of opinion over that.
                                          The
  2
     Court will have to decide it, but
     still think that he violated it;
  3
 4
     right?
 5
     Α.
            Yes, I do.
 6
            Okay.
     Q .
                    That's all ---.
 7
                    ATTORNEY GUIDO:
 8
                    Can we clarify that you
 9
            accidentally said Colonel
            Evanko violated it?
10
11
            believe ---.
    BY ATTORNEY BAILEY:
12
13
    Q.
            No, no, I'm sorry. Oh, yes,
    that can clearly be a major blunder
14
15
    on my part. As Napoleon once said,
    in war a blunder is worse than a
16
17
    crime. Of course, we're not involved
    in a war here, so Colonel Evanko it's
18
19
    not an allegation that you've
20
    violated this regulation, but you
    believe that Captain Ober has?
21
22
    Α.
            Yes.
23
    Ο.
            Okay.
                  How do you think he
24
    violated it?
                   Last question, quite
    frankly, that's the last question I
25
```

321 have for you. How do you think he 1 violated it? 2 I just think the verbiage 3 tends to indicate a member violated 4 it, would be consistent with my 5 recollection of what he told me. 6 Okay. Sir, I can't --- tell 7 you what, give me one minute to 8 double-check with my client and I 9 think I may be done. 10 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 11 Are you going to have 12 any questions, Syndi? 13 ATTORNEY GUIDO: 14 Probably not. 15 ATTORNEY BAILEY: 16 Sir, my client tells me 17 that we're clear to go. I'd 18 like to thank you very much 19 for your cooperation here 20 today. I realize being a 21 witness is uncomfortable and I 22 appreciate your courtesy. 23 24 Thank you. You're welcome. 25 Α.

ATTORNEY GUIDO: We don't have any questions. I was just clarifying we don't have any questions. MR. SOLOMON: 4:08 p.m. The deposition is now concluded. VIDEOGRAPHER: It is now 4:10 p.m. and the deposition of Commissioner Evanko is now concluded. DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 4:10 P.M.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA)

COMMISSIONER OF DEEDS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CERTIFICATE

I, Jennifer P. Billstein, Commissioner of Deeds for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, do hereby certify:

That the witness was first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the foregoing deposition was taken at the time and place stated herein; and that the said deposition was taken stenographically by me and reduced to typewriting, and constitutes a true and correct record of the testimony given by the witness.

I further certify that the reading and signing of said depositions were (not) waived by counsel for the respective parties and by the witness.

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee or attorney of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of counsel, and that I am in no way interested directly or indirectly in this action.

> JENNIFER P. BILLSTEIN Commissioner Of Deeds Commonwealth of Pennsylvania My Commission Expires Jan. 4, 2006

24 25

· PITTSBURGH, PA

• CLEARFIELD, PA • ERI
• STATE COLLEGE, PA • OIL C

•ERIE, PA
•OIL CITY, PA

SARGENT'S COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

210 Main Street

•INDIANA, PA
•GREENSBURG, PA

· PHILADELPHIA, PA

LAW TEN'S NOTES		
Page	Line	
		,
	7	

PITTSBURGH, PA HARRISBURG, PA GREENSBURG, PA ERIE, PA INDIANA, PA HOLLIDAYSBURG, PA

STATE COLLEGE, PA



SARGENT'S COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

210 main street Johnstown, pa 15901 (814) 536-8908 PHILADELPHIA, PA
WILKES-BARRE, PA
OIL CITY, PA
SOMERSET, PA
CLEARFIELD, PA
CHARLESTON, WV

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT

OF PENNSYLVANIA

* * * * * * *

DARRELL G. OBER, *

Plaintiff * Case No.

vs. * 1CV-01-0084

PAUL EVANKO, MARK * (JUDGE CALDWELL)

CAMPBELL, THOMAS *

COURY, JOSEPH *

WESCOTT, and

HAWTHORNE CONLEY, *

Defendants *

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

PAUL EVANKO

March 27, 2002

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

Case 1:01-cv-00084-CCC Document 63 Filed 05/20/2002 Page 397 of 413 APR-26-2002 17:09 SARGENTS COURT REPORTING 814 536 4011 Multi-Page" SIU,UUU - AITEITS accusations (11 33:18 12th [35] 42:20 45:14 63:2,24 81:24 85:7 88:3 564 (11 10:13 91:7,8,10,13,15 95:25 49:13,14,21 50:12 60:8 2001200 m 19:12 59 161 313:11,22 316:20 -\$-132:1 133:25 162:9 61:8.63:13 64:1.7 66:14 317:8.24.318:15 scknowledged in \$10,000(1) 191:4 273:12 304:21 66:21 68:18 70:1,4.9 5th 161-46:15 59:10 60:4 106:24 71:19 72:3,4 76:25 77:1 \$100 (1) 214:8 21 (2) 84:25 291:19 72:9 137:4 227:1 acknowledgement(z) 80:4 90:2 91:2,6 127:23 \$20,000 [2] 204:21 205:2 215 [1] 10:13 106:21 107:4 135:10,16 153:18 155:10 21st [5] 58:3 84:17 100:25 -6-\$4 111 205:1 acquire [1] 208:20 155:14.18 216:17 249:11 101:3 125:5 \$50,000 [t] 188:14 acquired[1] 207:19 66 (1) 264:10 13-month (11-213:18 221-9500 [1] 8:24 \$7.32 (II) 185:15 acquisition (1) 209:5 13th [16] 45:18 49:14 68 rt 264:10 22nd [4] 217:22 249:12 59:23 61:9 64:2 86:10 actouvin (at 241:8. 6th rai 3:4 72:9 273:21 275:2 312:4 91:6 135:9,11,21 148:9 318:21 319:5 239 (1) 7:5 153:11 154:2 156:11 acting [3] 44:1 276:18 01 [6] 249:9,11,13,14 -7-178:25 179:8 25m 316:14 291:10 308:5.14 14 m 308/14 26 m 216:7 7 pg 28:16 265:2 267:21 action [8] 13:3 30:17,22 96 (1) 115:11 143 (1) 7:4 717 121 8:24 9:11 30:25 224:14 227:14 2629 PJ 2:H 12:17 97 nn 114:4 115:11 234:21 308:1 14th (2) 308:4.11 783-5568 m 9:11 26th (2) 224:17 227:13-124:24 162:19,22 163.4 actions nu 252:7 163:18,21 164:10 165:10 15 (a) 16:20 154:12 284:3 7th (1) 72:9 27 for 1018 2:13 199:25 activate [2] 15:19 189:1 15-second (2) 154:4 196.7 249-14 activated pg. 185:12 98 [27] 60:10 86:10 157:15 275.111 6:9 -8-100:24 112:10 113:20 activating [1] 190:4 16[1] 309:8 27th [5] 12:13 75:23 8 121 5:3 290:14 114:19 115:19 118:3,12 164 (1) 204:24 136:8,17 255:16 active [1] 162:3 Sthir 33:7 37:14 39:3.10 118:22 125:10,24.25 activities [2] 110:10 28 [1] 257:3 17101 pg 3:13 126:6.13 127:9 128:10 40:8 72:10 217:17.21 212:11 17110[6] 3:5,20 4:7 8:23 29 [1] 6:7 131:23 137:4 138:18.20 activity [1] 197:9 9:10 12:19 138:24 163:14 164:13 2:32 [1] 255:4 -9acis [2] 77:3 244:16 165:23 166:5.16 17th [1) 5:12 2:37 (1) 255:9 9727(1) 10:14 99 721 116:24 218:21 18 m 311:24 actual [1] 310:24 2:39 [1] 255:15 99-102 121 28:16:265:2 1800 m 3:19 4:6 9:8 add (2) 14:23 748:20 2nd [1] 291:7 9:10(1) 2:14 -1added (4) 313:9.16 319:3 19(1) 312:13 9:20 m 12:14 319:9 1992 [i] 316:14 -3-I (1) 213:17 adding m 248:19 1995 [1] 204:25 1-1 [2] 315:20 316:13 3-27-02 [1] 289:23 -Aaddition |21 37:21 1997 [1] 110:2 1-1-02 (1) 312:3 3/25/92 [1] 6:10 156:24 a.m.joj 2:14 12:14 75:22 1998 mm 84:25 86:3 1-1.17 77 80:19 174:14 31 [1] 293:8 76:8 136:13.16 additional (4) 182:12 180:7 312:11.17 318:19 103:3 113:4,5 124:20 32 m 120:6 203:1,5 286:9 125:17 127:22 139:3 abbreviation at 31:21 319:21 321 (2) 5:6,7 additionally [2] 312:16 148:10 162:9 163:13 1-102 (11 80:22 ability 131 57:10 230:4 165:1 227:2 290:19 291:7 318:18 322 [1] 5:7 293.4 1.1 [2] 180:6 316:16 292:16 317:13 address [3] 8:20 9:8,17 323 m 5:8 able 77 10:3 117:4 169:1 1.102-01 311:11 1999 mm 28:15:16:42:20 adhere [1] 75:4 175:12 192:12 288:21 33 (1) 294:21 1.17 [4] 105:5 109:11 62:3.16:153:18 154:18 716.6 adjudication [1] 209:22 333 (1) 3:11 175:17 316:17 155:3 156:11 212:23,24 above [4] 143:1 161:4 administer (1) 13:14 34 [1] 295:15 10 (១ 5:3,6 154:4.11 212:25 216.7.17.24 270:17 302:2 217:18,22 265:2 273:12 Administration (4) 35 (2) 297:17,22 absentur 186:10 275:2 304:21 22:17 23:25 24:15 81:5 10-minute (1) 288:20 37 m 299:1 zbsobutcly 121 142:15 19th 121 28:15:249:9 administrative (27) 10/19/99 [1] 6:5 39 (2) 302:13,18 149:4 112:25 113:9 114:7,9,16 1:30m 199:21 T0/20/99 m 31:19 3:18 (1) 289:18 114:24 115:25 132:6 abusing [2] 19:11,11 1:37 [2] 199:24 200:5 100-year [1] 288:9 3:33 (1) 289:22 137:8,17,23 178:7 181:5 academy [19] 18:3.16.18 1CV-01-0084[1] 1:7 183:12.14 184:5 185:2 100th n 288:14 3:58 nr 315.9 18:23 21:17 42:18 43:2 1CV-010084 pp 13:3 196:12.13 197:6-198:21 65:9 83:13-90:17 99:24 106 m 7:5 3rd (1) 133:22 267:7 295:25 300:9.11 Istri 164:25 100:10 111:25 157:5 10:22 m 75:22 304:13.17 169:2,8,14 171:2 281:8 -**4**-10:34 m 76:8 administratively [2] acceptable [1] 11:7 10K [1] 191:4 112:17 137:10 40 [1] 190:5 accidentally (1) 320:9 2 pr 267:23 admirable [1] 145:13 10th (1) 222:20 4311 (2) 3:4 8:21 accommodate (i) 282:3 20 jaj 16:20 82:9 116:24 11/1/99 m 6:9 admit[2] 56:3 168:17 48 (11 7:4 accordance (11 45:20 153:9,10 290:9 116 [2] 6:8 7:6 admitted [2] 154:23:25 4:00 [1] 315:12 2000 [9] 218:21,22 according (6) 57:13 11:30 m 136:7 advancement (2) 292:8 4:04 (t) 315:16 107:5 231:25 236:13 224:20 227:13 242:17 292.17 11:36 m 136:13 257:3 273:21,24 274:2 4:08 TT 322:7 300:5 312:6 advantago [1] 95:5 11:38 m -136:16 accounted [1] 206:21 4:10 (2) 322:10,14 200 F [3] 263:24 308:12 12 [8] 153:8,9,10 154:18 advisem 68:24 accreditation [5] 249:23 312.4 155:3 292:1 312:19 250:5,17 251:4 252:1 advised nor 117:10.13

-5-

5,000 [1] 205:1

50 pg 309:8

55 m 311:24

2002 pp. 1:18 2:13 12:13

75:23 136:8,17 199:25

20th [19] 61:25 62:3.16

218:20 255:16

2005 [2] 288:8.16

318:20

1200 [2] 130:2,3

12:37 [1] 161:2

1237 (2) 122:19 161:1

127:12 178:8 183:7

269:12

210:19 212:14 262:10.12

zffairs [9] 79:19-114:22

accurate [9] 92:1 97:24

268:18 293:20 295:3

313.6

297:21 298:11 301:20

40:19,20

790:25

33:20

318:13

37:17 299:5

184:14

234:16

171:1

263:1

111:25

142:4 153:17

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING

Molfi-Page"

814 536 4011 P.04 RITECT - DCCOMC

auto-pen [1] 249:1

automation (1) 214:15

175:23 197:11 210:6 209:5 230:5,20 215:25 218:11 291:8 309:12 affect [2] 30:16 46:19 affected [2] 126:14,22 affiliated (5) 58:9 83:24 85:17 86:21 99:8 afraid (2) 34:10,13 alone (1) 59:1 afternoon [4] 64:7 66:13 200:8 273:10 again [28] 27:4 30:19 38:18 47:13 56:25 86:18 92:7 94:6 99:11 103:17 113:17 131:20 142:21 154:13,14 179:4 193:7,12 194:9 201:17,24 202:22 203:14 272:9 273:11 306:25 307:1 308:9 against (e) 20:11 71:10-71:15 131:15,18 224:14 227:15 233:12 272:17 agency [9] 1:25 73;16,17 74:14 77:23 241:7.11 251:7 298:21 agent [37] 44:4 55:12,24 56:6,8,22 57:25 59:2 60:10,13 61:21 63:9 75:8 82:1 93:20 95:20,22 96:9 98:1 99:17,22 102:1 106:14 107:23 111:20 118:15 121:12,21 129:5,6 148:13 151:9 164:7.8 177:8 191:8 193:5 agents [5] 92:14 190:2 195:11 205:22 293:25 agitated to 154:24 ago [9] 15:24 17:25 67:19 67:21 105:9 131:11 172:6 261:11 281:7 agree (20) 124:18.22 129:12 146:2 160:22 169:5 170:15 176:21 177:3.4 228:16 233:20 246:2 300:2,14 302:5,6,8 303:9 313:17 agreed (B) 86:1 176:18 214:23 236:4 240:8 272:19 286:3 294:9 agreeing [1] 234:12 agreement (4) 214:18,22 215:9 240:21 ahead [14] 12:1,7 53:22 54:23 79:13 111:4.5,6 135:15 141:12 145:25 220:17 234:18 267:19 airplanc [4] 181:11,23 182:5,5 alcohol (2) 205:10 206:12 Alfono [2] 29:1.4 allegation [15] 41:12 56:11 109:18 118:2,11 177:7 180:10 293:9 294:2 297:1.8 304:1 311:25 313:11 320:19 allegations [2] 20:11 22:8 64:21 115:3 290:5 290:17 301:8 309:9

alloged [5] 58:6 192:20 allegedly (3) 47:23 64:11 . 101 1 Alicatown (1) 203:18 allowed [4] 18:22.22 allowing [1] 76:13 along [7] 17:11 19:21 36:22 145:9 260:24 261:5 altered (s) 23:13 30:14 alternative (1) 12:2 Altoona [1] 284:13 always:11-108:11 ambiguity (1) 272:7 amended 151 290:3 311:12 312:14 317:25 among (5) 5:3.7 33:7 analysis (1) 175:16 analyze (1) 23:18 Andrew [2] 4:10 76:3 anger [4] 70:21 71:5.9.14 angry (s) 44:22 52:24 152:21.25 154:25 293:19 Ann [2] 29:1.4 anniversary [1] 288.14 answer[14] 49:19 89:17 144:2 176:4 178:17 235:10,12,14,14 244:19 254:11 256:9,17 313:23 answer's [1] 307:5 answered [3] 184:11,12 answering 111 56:2 answers [2] 198:23 256:3 20VW2V[2] 168:23 apologize [3] 98:13 appcar[2] 38:6,19 appearing [1] 312:15 applaud (1) 100:17 applicant [7] 58:6 83:20 85:14 86:15:18 148:15 applicants [2] 21:16 applications [1] 213:25 applies [1] 73:21 appoint[2] 178:20,21 appointed [10] 43:21 46:13,16 53:2.5 100:10 178:24 213:1 214:16 appointment [2] 42:19 appointments[1] 83:13 appreciate [4] 36:5 204:2 273:13 321:23

assistant [5] 4:4 9:14 approach[1] 126:23 129:6 295:7,8 approached (2) 140:6 associated [1] 292:7 appropriate (4) 179:23 180:4 206:16 246:1 appropriations (3) 87:20,21,23 approval [1] 294:24 approve [2] 16:7 300:7 approved (3), 16:8 30:21 312.5 approving [2] 252:16,17 April [9] 212:25 216:7 273:20 AR [3] 80:22 511:10 312:3 AR-1 147 248:4,19 252:17 263:23 AR-1.102(2) 248:19 250:5 AR-101[1] 249:22 arca (9) 85:22 238:13.14 240;12,22-269:10 270:4 282:23 284:9 argue [1]: 235:18 argumentative (2) 17:21 297:19 Arizona [2] 279:21 280:4 arma (1) 183:19 arrest [1] 199:2 arrested (5) 19:5.7.10.13 20:9 articulate [1] 235:15 ASAC 141 129:4,5 161:5 161.7 ascertaining (2) 300:20 307-25 aside [11] 25:15 27:13 124.8 165.19,22,24 166.2 156:7,9,15 237:23 aspect [1] 127:10 assaulted [1] 187:1 asscis [4] 185:12 186:8 189:4 190:9 assign (5) 112:19 179:10 183:2-227:4-304:19 assigned 1141 161:24 167:15,21 178:24 209:25 220:22 225:2,7 233:1 239:20 284:13.16 286:10 286:11 assigning [1] 304:11 assignment 1231 79:11 80:7 213:12.14 214:17.25 215:15,17 216:5 219:5 222:5,7 223:10 225:4 229:6 230:23 233:24 241:21 242:10 274:23 278:4,5 292:20 assignments (1) 292:22 assist no. 16:25 218:25 220:10,25 229:7 233:2\$ 257:12 258:13 278:5 284-21 assistance (2) 19:24 20:4

Association (4) 219:2 221:1 257:10 282:4 assume [9] 26:22 65:22 72:4 192:10.13.17 253:7 265:1 305:10 aganmed (2) 65:20 167:25 288uming [1] 80:24 298:9 303:23 261:12 assumption [1] 27.2 attached [1] 266:21 attachment [1] 215:23 attempting (1) 208:4 attention (3) 52:7 63:1 316:25 attorney [169] 5:6 7:3 8:16,25 9:5,12,20 10:7,15 10:22 11:16,22 12:3,5 13:9,15,20,23 14:21 15:1 15:3 28:20,24 29:3,5,10 29:13.18,20,23 30:3,8,10 30:12 31:24 32:2,8,19,23 33:1,3,11,22 34:2,12 35:2 35.8.11.13.17.22.24 36:3. 36:9,18,24 37:6 38:10.16 38:21,23 39:6,13,18 40.2 40:10,15,18,23 41:1.3.7 41:22 42:5.7.15 48:3.8 66:25 68:6 75:18 76:1.3 76:11 97:2 105:21,23 106:6 116:1,6,10,18 134:23 135:1 136:4.20 143:7.20.22.25 144:5.8 144:14,16,18,20,22 146:24 169:18 199:10 200:6.25 202:21 203:11 203:16,20,23 204:7,12,17 238:23 239:9,12.22 240:16 254:23 255:11.18 255:22,24 256:2,6.8.11 256:13.16.19 274:8.18 279:3 281:25 290:1,12 291:15,18,21,23 307:2,7 307:12.14,16,18 314:6.9 314:14 315:5,18,22 316:8 317:15 318:14,17 320:7 320:12 321:11.14.16 322:1 Attorney's [1] 198:8 attorneys [8] 13:6 25:5 26:23 76:15 159:12 254:21 255:20 314:13 audiotape [1] 58:4 suditor (11 253:1 August [1] 212:21 authority (14) 75.7 244:13,18,21,25 245:1,4 245:8-246:5,7-247:2,15 249:2,4 authorization [1] 1:24 authorize [2] 15:25 253:20 authorized in 18:2 44:21 253:4.12.12 305:20 Booky [1] 267:4 auto (6), 243:1,20,24 244:3

244:22 246:10

available in 203:1.14 219:6-223:9-236:17 237:5 246:10 289:14 Avenue (3), 3:19 4:6 9:9 AVL [1] 213:25 awarc [15]. 11:14 22:13 26:25 42:17.21 43:1 62:17 66:19 126:3,5 159:3 211:20 227:23 252:21 awareness (1) 107:20 awful (1) 265:9 ewfully pp 317-6 awkward[i] 153:16 -Bbirn 53:20 168:21 309:14 312:11,17 316:18 318:19 background;33 18:21 100:6 169:15 bad por 127:15 128:4 139:18 170:5 194:15,20 195:1,3 196:19 239:18 Bailey (111) 3:3 5:6 7:6 8:13.16.18 9:20 10:7,15 10:22 11:22 12:5 13:19... 13:20,23 15:1,3 28:24-29:3.13.20 30:3.10.12 31:24 32:2.8.23 33:11 34:2 35:2.11.13.22 36:3.9 36:18,24 38:10.21 39:6 39:18 40:10,18 41:1.7.22 42:15 48:8 75:18 76:1,11 97:2 106:6 116:1.6.10:18 134:23 135:1 136:2.4.20. 143:20,25 144:8,16.18,20 144,22 146:24 169:18 199:10 200:6,25 202:21 203:16,23 204:12,17 239:9 240:16 249:16 254:23 255:11.18.24 256:6,11,13,19 267:14 274:18 279:3 290:1 291:18,23 307:7,14.18 314:9,14 315:5,18,22 316:8 318:14,17 320:12 321:11,16 Bakerm 21:6 bank (5) 15:46:48 16:24 185:13 186:8 Barbara 123 3:16 9:6 barbccuc [2] 281:4.5 based [1] 56:3 basic [3] 74:3 98:13 123:20 basing [2] 143:11.11 basis (5) 114:18 123:23 137;25 253:5,22 battlefield [1] 280:15 bearies 163:6 164:21 290:9 308:12 became (3) 20:18 43:1

become [10] 18:23 19:15

814 536 4011 P.VO

becomes - classveyant

Multi-Page"

APR-26-2002 17:10 21:12 42:16.21 169:21 170:9 252:21 261:12 263:7 becomes [1] 299:8 beg [1] 106:16 bcgsn (1) 43:20 begin [2] 39:23 294:25 beginning [10] 2:13 58:16 60:9 83:18 93:4 139:2 219:17 221:18 223:25 229:13 behalf [3] 2:3 264:3 275:5 behavior [1] 212:11 behind (1) 304:15 belief 121 319:15,19 below 111 269:19 bend (1) 172:15 benefits [1] 189:23 Berrings [2] 110:17 111.71 best (13) 59:10 69:20 117:3 135:3 138:18,21 160:20 174:5 203:24 204:16 227:12 235:15 290:21 Beth m. 21:5. betray [1] 263:11 betrayal [2] 263:15,18 better [3] 120:25 190:4 271:16

between psi 50:21,23 51:4 59:11 64:1 66:11 106:19 107:22 153:4.7 161:17 195:17 196:1 277:7 296:7 316:3 beverages [1] 184:23

beyond [1] 93:18 big [2] 257:14 284:17 bill [11 16:14 billion [4] 186:14 187:20 187:23 188:11 billions in 190:13 Billstein [2] 2:7 10:11 bit [14] 38:12 41:24 42:3 67:24 117:4.5 186:22 203-9 204-19 211:22 213:21 242:25 250:9 289.6 Blairsville ni 284:14

blanche [1] 150:16 blank [2] 122:24 123:3 blind [2] 150:21 298:4 blunder [2] 320:14,16. Bodack (23) 86:25 87:9 87:14 124:21.22 125:8.23 126:5,6,12,16.18 127:1,8 131:21 132:4 138:14.19 138:23 139:1 162:8 166:3 198:15

Bodack's [1] 125:1 book [9] 285:15,16 286:18.24 287:10.18.21 287:22,25 book's [1] 288:5

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING bottom (4) 31:8,17 32:18 283:3 bought [1] 188:12 Boy [1] 310:11 BPR [32] 20:14.17 44:5 55:14 60:14 78:2,7 79:21 115:1 167:19 168:1 179:11,19,22,23 180:5 183:9 209:25:25 218:13 228:1 292:20 296:24 304:11,12,18,19.21 305:14,19 306:11,12 BPRs[1] 211:19 breadth [2] 300:17 301:9 break (13) 35:4,7 36:8 42:13 75:25 136:11 199:12,22 204:4 255:7 288:20-289:20 315:14 breakdown iii 114:4 brick ng 18843 Bridges (13) 86:15,22 99:6,11,12,13,16 100:22 101:3,9,15 138:15.17 Bridges* [3] 100:23 101:11,16 brief (10) 53:1,18 75:17 97:1 146:23 169:17 202:20 279:2 315:21 318:4 briefed (5) 45:13 135:16 161:11,13 162:18 briefing (3) 47:5 50:17 158-21 briefly (2) 213:7 316:16 brightest [1] 290:21 bring [4] 123:23 149:15 219:19.272:16 brings (1) 83:1 Brinks [1] 190:11 broken (1) 297:5 brought [4] 63:1 148:19 149:18 201:8 Brown nat 32:12 36:13 36:17,21 199:19 267:4 304:20 305:6,9,18 307:21 308:16 BTS(2)-31:18,19 bucks [2] 188:11 190:18 build [1] 208:5 building [1] 214:9 Bungo (6) 244:9 245:7 246:4 247:19 252:24 253:25 bureau [40] 2:10 12:16 25:11,12 27:25 28:2.3.13 31:2,21 43:18 44:2.72:19 80:8 94:1 119:9 173:16 173:20 174:25 177:13 204-20 213:3.5 214:24

247:13 248:2 265:16

business [1] 182:12

hureaus (11 28:4

busy [1] 285:19

buying (1) 184:23 C[15] 3:1 4:1,1 8:1 80:22 248:20 249:22 250:4 252:1-4.14.22 254:7.15 311:11 CAD-(2) 214:1,1 cadets [2] 42:19 111:24 cadre na 301:24 Caldwell [3] 1:8 76:16 199:23 calendar[1] 211:24 Czlifornia (1) 279:21 Campbell [29] 1:9 12:25 64:5.7.14 66:13 68:19,19 256:23 257:7,16 258:24 259:3 260:2,5,10,24 294:25 295:7,16,19,23 296:22 297:2 298:8.8 300:5,5 302:21 cannot [4] 117:23 150:4 191:21.264:16. capability [1] 251:21 capable (11 215:12 capacity [1] 244:17 captain [213] 6:7 25:13 26:15 27:8.10.15.25 28:11 31:5 32:4,10 39:14 40:4.8 40:12 41:6 42:24 43:8,23 46:13 49:1.8.9,22,23 50:15,19,21,25 51:3,7,11 53:3,13,15 55:3 56:12,21 57:2,24 58:3 60:15 61:11 69:25 70:3,14 71:6,11,15 71:20 72:16:18 77:4 78:4 78:5,12 79:4,10 80:11,12 80:24 82:18 83:2 84:14 90:24 92:17 97:11,18 99:15 102:7,9 103:18 104:7,14,17,24 107:1 108:22 110:9 112:9 125:4 127:12,25 134:7 137:19 139:14 140:6 148:16 153:21 154:19 155:5,14 159:1 160:16 163:10,12 165:17 173:12 174:12 175:13 176:7.9,11,22 177:12,17.21 178:1.31 184:18 189:7 195:17 205:19 209:4,22 210:19 210:25 211:5,19 212:1,17 213:1.10 214:17 215:1.7 215 22 216 6.9 14 217:3 217:4,13.18 218:15 219:3 219:19,24,25 220:14,18 220:22,23 221:2,15 222:2 222:4,9 223:8 224:5 226:11,14 227:4 229:23 230,2,12,19,22,231:4 232 12,25 234 8 8 235 17 237:14,15,18.24 238:2.5 215:4,24 217:14 219:8. 238:9.14 239:21 240:11 220;5 225:4 237:11,19 241:10.19 242:1 257:2-16 257:18,20 258:9,10,15,17 275:1;15 291:10,12 292:3 258:21 265:20 273:19

275:19 277:19,20 278:8

292:17 293:2,17 294:1.9

295:1 299:3 304:20

6:14,18,20 305:18 307:20 308:16.24 309:7 320:21 captain's [1] 293:6 captains (3) 223:5.8 291:4 caption [1] 12:20 capture [1] 13:11 care [4] 38:22 126:17 245:21 287:20 Carcer [10] 226:14 235:24 236:2,7 237:25 290:24 292:7.11.14 311:8 careful [1] 252:18 Carried (4) 70:20 71:4.9 71:14 CETS (1) 204:22 carte (1) 150:16 Case [39] 1:6 11:1 12:20 13:3 19:3 25:1 32:14 39-23 41:11.13 68:15 78:11.12 92:6 103:11 115:18 127:13,16,17 128:9 131:15,17 166:17 166:20 167:1 190:1 193:18,25 209:22,24 210:5 233:22 234:1 239:3 240:2 241:18 262:2 271:19 292:12 CASCS [2] 124:5 166:24 cashed (1) 187:3 Cast (1) 204:24 catch [1] 39:8 caught [2] 729:20.21 caused (1) 134:2 caveat [1] 232:25 center[3] 2:10 12:16 208:7 centered [1] 283:22 centers [2] 214:2,11 ceremony [1] 43:5 ccrt 161 15:20 16:17 17:3 185:11 189:1 190:4 certain [4] 19:1 142:15 244:20.22 certainly [6] 39:1 154:11 157;14 158:4 234:20 250:14 CERTIFICATE 41 5:8 certifying 11 1:25 cetera (1) 19:20.20 139:25 chain [8] 105:2,3 165:3 211:6 217:3 248:22 262:3 262:6 challenging 77 23:8 chance [2] 204:4 288:22 change (26) 10:3-23:12 41:23 125:10 126:7 136:9 204:18-211:22 242:24 246:16 247:17,20 248:18 248:18 249:8 255:6 263:23 264:2,4,10,10 272:20,20 310:20 311:21 286:23 287:5 291:7 292:2 319:16 changed [6] 248:7.9,13

271:14 312:3 317:9 changes (#) 245:16 252:17 310:7.7,13 311:20 changing (2) 188 18 252:16 character [1] 172:14 characterization [2] 140:7 158:19 characterize (1) 292:19 charge [8] 61:21 75:9 95:20,23 123:23 129:7 164:8 174:13 charecd in 18515 charges [1] 272:16 charitable (it 14:45 check [15] 8:14 70:6 88:12 89:18 111:22 112:20 127:6 171:22 173:2,5 188:22 196:1,5 198:11 199:16 checked [5] 31:7 34:3 127:8 148:21 201:23 checking (4) 94:5 103:1 114:18 196:9 Chief [8] 3:17 9:6 22:18 24:1 263:5 295:10,12,14 children (1) 206:15 choose (1) 237:1 Chosen n1 262:23 Christie [26] 3:16 7:4 9:5 9:6 29:10:18:23 30:8 33:3 33:22 37:6 38:16.23 42:5 48:3 105:21 143:7,22 144:5,14 203:11,20 204:7 307:2,12,16 Christie's [1] 9:19 CI[12] 58:24 59:21 62:19 62:24 83:6 86:3.13 87:13 122:25 138:15 190:24 191:7 **CIA (1)** 62:17 circumstance [3] 78:9 115:5 303:25 circumstances (26) 26:5 26:11 108:14 113:4:10:19 113:23 115:9 136:24 137:4 149:7 164:14,20,22 183:17 186:10,13 190:21 196:17 212:9 286:7 295;21 296:11,17 300:24 303:19 circumvented [2] 165:4 262:5 circumventing [1] 297:13 circumvention (1) 262;2 cite (2) 89:2,5 citizen (14 20:12 Civil [4] 2:5 13:2 68:15 290:13 civilianization[1] 27:21 claim [4] 114:17 173:5 185:8 202:10 clairvoyant (+) 57:3

SARGENTS CUURT REPURTING

D14 220 4611

Multi-Page"

Ciarification - correct

clarification [1] 273:14 clarified (2) 317:22 318:11 clarify [3] 103:17 128:6 320.8 clarifying (1) 322:4 ciass (1) 21:16 classes (1) 280:24 clean (1) 216:3 clear(6) 98:14 268:15,19 271:16 302:17.321:18 cleared [3] 34:21 128:21 128:22 clearly is 269:2 281:18 302:4 303:8 320:14 client [5] 174:4 190:17 289:7 321:9.17 Clinton's nr 73:18 close [2] 49:24 78:24 closed [15]: 47:8-52:14 -69:13 128:9 139:16 192:23 193:9,14,17 194:2 194:3,4 211:2 319:3,9 cloud (1) 49:3 Cobra (1) 204:25 Codefendants m 113:16 coffee [4] 17:23 189:9 190:2 206:1 coincide [1] 288:9 colleagues III 299:5 Colleen [3] 22:15.20 24:4 College [7] 231:13,15,16 283:22 284:1,2.8 colonel (318) 9:3 10:23 13:24 14:13 21:19,23 24:23 30:13 34:20 35:7 36:2,11 37:1 41:23 42:23 43:7,19,22 45:16,17 46:11 46:17,25 47:12 48:20,22 48:24 49:2.5.11.12 50:4 50:25 51:1.10 52:25 53:25 54:17,17 55:7.20 56:13 58:8,14,25 59:17,20,22 59:24 61:10.12 62:23,23 67:6.23 69:9:11:17:24 70:3 71:10.16,25 72:13 72:14 74:16 76:12,20 77:3 78:7,16,16,22,22 79:8.9 79:18 80:12,13 81:7 82:7 83:22 85:16 86:12.20 90:12,15,21,22 92:24 95:25 96:10,12 98:11 99:8 99:14 101:20 102:1,9,19 103:16.24.25 104:15,15 105:7 107:2,13 110:4,7 110:23 116:7 117:14 120:1 127:24 133:19,19 133:21,23,25 134:3,4,7 134:11,14,15,17 135:6,9 135:11.13.23 137:18 138:9 139:14,19 141:16 147;4,11 150;22 151;16 151:18,20 153:13,13,15 153:19.20 154:2.8.10.18 154:23 155:4,19,20,22 156:3,15,18,21 157:18,20 157:21 158:14,25 159:6 160:2,7 164:11 173:7,15

176:7 178:4,9 179:1,1,2,4 179:5,8,15,16,17.18,21,25 180-1 181:10,15,21 182:9 182:11.21 183:1,4 184:17 186:16 195:12 203:3,14 204:8,14,19 209:3 210:18 210:19,20,22 211:4,8,15 212:10.14 216:10.13.21 217:2,6,16 218:24 219:15 221:22 222:3,15,16,17,18 222:19,23 223:20,22 224:2 225:21 226:18 229:8.18-230:8,9.10 231:2 231:20,25 232:4 233:7 236:4 237:21,23 241:13 241:16,23 242:10,12,15 242:16,20 245:23 247:11 247:12 248:17 250:1 253:11 254:6.18 255:19 256.9.20 258:22 260:17 262:5 263:6:11 267:3 269:12 271:25 275:10.21 276:4,9 277:25 279:4 280:6,22,23 281:11 283:16 285:2,19 286:8 288:1,3,4,16 289:13,24 290:2 292:23:24-293:12 294:3.9.14.17.23 301:18 302:20 304:9 305:14 306:10,15,19 310:2 311:22 315:19 316:10 319:19 320:9,18 colonel's [2] 232:6 269:22 coloncis [25] 54:19,21 59:18 85:5 86:4 95:17 133:13 134:10 140:17,19 141:6 147:2,17,18,20,22 147,24 148:5 177:19,20 246:24 254:12 262:22 268:3.24 combat (1) 231:5 coming [3] 130:9 205:8 314:22 command [14] 28:13 47:14 54:10 105:2,4 165:3 167:24 168:1 211:6 217:4 217:15 248:23 262:3.6 commander[5] 79:8 93:25 176:13,24 284:10 commanders (1) 284:16 commanding (1) 313:4 commands [2] 47:16 54:5 comment (3), 212:16 315:21 317:6 comments [5] 103:4 106:8 107:19 145:4 315:24 commissioner [28] 2:8 21:24 22:16 23:25 24:15 30:1 43:23 48:6 54:16 75:1 81:4 113:24 143:13 143:15 144:2 147:7,10 201:15-218:2-238:1-242;22 253:8 272:2.3 292:10 307:23 308:6 322-11

74-19

commissioners [5]

54:18 246:8,21 249:6 254:4 commissioners' (2) 47:16:54:5 commitment (1) 215:21 committed 131 296:20 297-4.13 committee [12] 87:22 207:5,23 285:15,16 286:18,24 287:10,18.21 287:22:25 common [3]. 108:6 168:20 169:5 Commonwealth [3] 2:9 206:13 227:15 communicate (1) 16:9 communicating [2] 54:7 115:13 community [1] 206:11 companies [2] 188:1 189:3 comparable [2] 190:21 291:3 compare [3] 189:6,10,19 compares [1] 190:15 comparison (1) 310:23 compassionate [11 228-25 competition (1) 263:7. complained [2] 176:25 242:19 complaining [1] 202:11 complains (1) 218:8 complaint (22) 22:11.13 22:15,19:23:7.23 24:2.14 78:4,6 209:12,18 242:19 244:20 290:3 294:11 299:1 311:12 312:15 317:25.25 318:13 complaint's (1) 290:10 complaints [2] 218:7 244:19 complete (2) 203:3 completed [3] 122:4.7 214.4 completely [1] 164:1 completion (2) 214:25 215:23 composed [1] 290:11 computers pp 214:13 concept [1] 146:3 concern 1261 96:15.18.20 96:25 97:3,5 102:16.19 102:21 103:5,19,22,23 104:13 105:6 106:14 107:10 122:10 148:23 164:16-174:6-196:19 212:8 251:17 262:7 301:16 concerned (22) 45:24 45:3 47:4,11 53:12 60:25 61:2.5 100:20 104:10 123:9 125:15 148:17,18 commissioner's 121 6:8 148:20 149:2 157:24 191:24 250:16 262:1

301:43 concerning [1] 258:18 concerns [7] 46:6,9,11 81:12 85:24 251:12 298:6 concluded [3] 322:8,12 322:14 conclusary (1) 290:16 conclusion in 85:10 91:24 92:1 105:9 108:24 225:3 236:14 conclusions [7] 59:13 77:2 178:6,9 210:17 212:15 311:15 concurrence [1] 230:11 concurrent pr 197:18 condition (1) 302:3 conduct ner 45:20 47:22 69:5,8,77:2 113:8 115:3 123:24 124:2,3,10,12 303:15 conducted 121 297:25 300:12 conducting [5] 44:7 45:3,9 47:23 73:5 Conference [2] 227:8 235:2 conferred (1) 295:16 confess (2) 19:9 117:2 confidential pp 57:18 58:5 62:18 97:13 99:20 125;2:138;22 191:12 244:15 confidentiality [3] 97:11 103:10 106:18 confirm [1] 193:8 confirmed [1] 226:19 confluence [1] 170:20 conforming (1) 189:14 confused [1] 283:15 confusion (1 128:23 congressman [1] 253:2 Conicy (30) 1:12 13:2 71:17 79:24 80:14 81:1,2 81:3 104:8 167:14.18,18 167,24,25 173:22 175:2 217;7 219:6,13 221:23 222:17,19:24 297:14 303:7 306:10.15.20 307:22 310:2 connection m 171:17 connections [1] 139:11 conscusual [1] 87:10 consider [3] 36:21 72:1 223:6. consideration [3] 49:4 103:12,45 considerations [1] 302:2 considered [4] 174:2 175:14 223:7 266:13 considering [1] 23:19 consistent [8] 17:4,6 231:1 238:11 239:13

292:13 298:2 321:5

214:10 conspiracy[1] 169:3 Constitution (1) 304:7 consult 121 22:17 24:1 consulted [4] 222:25 223:1 287:5,24 contact [2] 66:14 76:14. contacted 131 57:25 71:6 127:18 contacting [1], 127:2 contend (1) 38:24 content [1] 313:23 contention [1] 39:2 contents (1) 313.7 context [4] 106:3 T07:15 107:22 118:22 Conti [1] 209:11 continual [1] 286:12 continue (2) 44:17,19 continuing [2] 286.9 289:23 contradict [2] 227:18,20 contrary (1) 178:16 control (11 251:20 Convention (1) 220:12 conversation [11] 47:2 49:16 50:21 59:11 89:23 105:16 132:2 146:12 150:13 154:5 180:25 conversations (5) 61:19 135:5 191:18 260:6 306:23 convicted (ii 19:14 cooperate [1] 104:2 cooperation [1] 321:20 coordinated in 17:15 coordinating [1] 54:7 cop [1] 196:19 copies (4) 29:15.17.22 243.16 copy [16] 10:2 29:11 38:3 38:7,13,20 40:20 42:14 201:19,20 211:9,16 308:5 314:24 315:2 316:13 corner (1) 266:24 corporal (1) 43:4 corporate [1] 188:18 correct [92] 11:1 20:13 24:22 27:17 37:4,5 51:19 52:3,77 57:11 58:1 63:3.4 67:3 69:3,15,16 74:17 77-18 79:22 82:10 83:2 85:23 86:4 91:10 94:13 98:19,20 99:3 104:4 105:17 107:12 115:6 117:12 128:14 132:2 147:6 148:7.8.11 152:12 153:23.24 154:2 155:6,16 155:21 156:8,9,16 168:2 171:11 175:16 177:23 183:21,22 216:1,17.18,25 217:5 218:4,5 221:8 236:16.18 238:10 239:21 242:23 246:25 253.17.18 254:17 256:23 259:16 consolidated(2) 214:2 262:9.13.25 266:22 271:2

SARGENTS COURT REPURITING

HFK-59-5005 17:11	SHKUENIS U	JURI KEPUKIING	014 330	
		Multi-Page 'M	COT	rections - documents
277:1 281:25 288:17	credit (1) 250:25	224:10 225:8 261:11	116:15 1-5:10 140:10	248:2 265:15 275:15
290:8 295:3 302:24	crime [2] 112:2 320:17	292:2 309:13,25	181:2.16 182:18 200:1	2 9 1:8,10 292:3 293:23
303:10.11 310:17 311:23		deadline pg 275:2	201:16 202:14 209:9	309:12
312:8 317:18		deal [4] 19:8 48:25 262:12	210:21 255:17 274:14	disagree [3] 108:5
corrections [1] 249:10	114:21 115:23 136:22	251:13	281:20 289:24 305:9	303:21 313:18
correctly [7] 75:16 158:7		dealing in 25:2	310:3 313:2 314:23 319:14 322:8.11.14	disapproved [2] 30:21
163:8,9 270:22 271:15	197:15,18,21,25 198:19	debriefing [1] 183:24		30:25
272:18	100-1	_ —	depositions (v) 10:25	disciplinary [1] 114:15
correspondence [1]	criminally (2) 112:18	December [7] 217:22 218:19,20 219:17 221:7	depository (1) 190:9	discipline (2) 175:24
286.2	137:10	223:24 229:13	depth [2] 300:18-301:10	261:21
corruption [19] 44:8.9	criminals (1) 113:21	decide [6] 175:12 218:18	deputies [4] 54.9 246:2	disciplined in 177:24
45:4 55:16 77:20 83:12.	criteria [2] 23:14 213:16	244/19 245/2,8 320/2	246:22,22	disclosed (1) 125:4
117:24 118:2,11 119:17	crossed [1] 133:3	decided (4) 66:6 180:1	deputy [19] 3:9-22:16	disclosure [1] 68:14
124:5 130:21 139:10	cup [1] 17:23	236:19 298:12	23:24 24:14 43:22 47:15	discovery [1] 32:1
142:23 143:5 166:17	Cups (2) 189:9 190:1	decipher (1) 117:3	54:4,16,18 81:4 230:8 242:22 246:1,8 247:9	discretion (5) 97.15.21
167:1 190:3,19		decision [13] 146:5	249:5 254:3 295:12,14	97:21 98:6 106:23
cost pr 17:16,23 214:4	custow (1) 285:9	218:15 226:20,25 227:24	describe (2) 243:7	discrimination pr
could've [1] 272:13	curfews [r] 229:18	231:20 238:4,12 245:9	244:10	218:9
counsel [33] 3:6.9,10,14	curious pr 33:24 37:20	246:5 247:9 286:1.3	describing [1] 252:6	discuss (10) 64:24 65:18
3:17.21 4:4.8 9:2.7,15	41:16	decisions 121 212:1	description [2] 6:4	219:20 224:1 261:14,16
29:12 33:4,23 36:15,16 36:19 37:9 38:11,18 42:6	Currency [1] 186:17	244:14	293:15	261:18 279:8,10 306:14
68:3 105:22 143:8,21	current [2] 64:17 211:18	declared [2] 229:16	deserve (1) 113:2	discussed (10) 107:6
144:7 200:10 202:16	Cush per 58:12 59:11	285:9	1	149:19 154:10 174:8
238:17 240:6.7 312:2	65:2 81:16 82:12,13 83:14	declined [3] 193:19	design [2] 213:18,22	179:3 210:16 241:25
317:16	84:2,9,19 85:1,3,11,14 91:22,2 5 9 6 :4,9 97:8	198:4.7	desk [1] 276:19	257:15 306:18 309:8
Counsel's [3] 22:18 24:1	98:21 99:13 101:14,18	Deeds til 2:8	despite (n. 303:13	discussing (1) 97:10
36:6	102:21.25 106:19 111:17	deeply [1] 36:5	destroying [1] 299:4	discussion [7] 5:3.7 42:9
Count (1) 122:22	111:20 112:12 151:11	defect (1) 172:13	detached [3] 213:3	106:18,22 135:17 309:2
country [1] 190:14	161:15 166:18 177:8	Defendant [3] 293:13	217:14-228:1	discussions (7) 68:2.6
County (2) 17:24 218:16	193:2,3 205:22	294:25 312:5	details [2] 139:23 280:10	
couple [17] 17:24 47:13	Cush's [1] 101:15	Defendants pop 1:13	determine [1] 301:17	279:11
57:23 58:23 112:19 120:8	custodian [1] 200:15	3:14,21 4:8 9:4.16 108:12	develop pr 213:16	disloyal (1) 70:15
153:5 179:10,19 189:9	custom pr 297:7	298:7 301:24 303:7	developed (1) 271:23	dismiss 41 173:6.9
190:1 204:10 221:3	customarily (1) 292:7	defense [2] 120:16 240:5	Development [6] 25:11	312:19 318:21
224:10 242:25 261:10	cut ₁₁₁ 79:12	deficiencies [1] 230:20	220:6-237:20 247:14,25	dismissed [3] 238.24
313:2	Cartil 13.12	deficit [1] 230:3	248:3	239:3,8
COURSE [11] 30:16-57:6	-D-	defined [2] 208:10	device pr 281:5	dispatch (2) 214:2,10
85:2 95:6 98:13 216:12		272:15	devotion [1] 298:4	dispense [2] 11:3 13:25
235:6 287:4,17 300:15 320:17	D [2]- 5:1 8:1	definition [3] 120:13	die [1] 204:24	displayed [1] 206:4
court [25] 1:1 2:7 4:16	daily (3) 253:5,10:21	123:20 303:24	Diego [3] 279:6,14 280:3	displeasure [1] 52:8
10:5,10:12 12:11,21 13:13	damage [1] 202:9	degree [2] 270:24 271:20		dispute [1] 290:23
42:11 227:15 234:1 239:2	1		difference [5] 137:2 270:8 272:25 296:7 320:1	dissatisfaction (1)
239:2,14.240:1,18.24	darn [1] 311:18	delay[1] 34:24		104:6
241:1 274:10.11 307:4	Darrell [12] 1:5 8:18	deliver (17 192:12	different [11] 11:5 113:12 114:20,22 196:21	dissemination py 74:5
312:18 318:20 320:2	12:23 199:15 233:15	delivered (1) 205.14	196:24 230:1 253:4	distinction [1] 66:10
court's [1] 238:12	257:2 265:20 275:19	demand [1] 232:3	283:18 296:14 313:20	distinguish (2) 50:23
courtesy (3) 76:13 203:6	284:18 290:20 293:22	democrats [1] 124:7	differently[1] 137:1	51:4
321:23	303:20	demonstrate (1) 178:15	difficult [2] 203:3 317:7	
Coury [48] 1:10 13:1 20:2	dash (11) 123:5 150:4	demonstrating (1)	difficulty [2] 101:19	12:22
30:21.24 90:12,15.21	163:10 165:18 167:5	298:3	215:6	division (8) 79:21
110:7,16,20,23 139:19	191:24 193:18 194:1.5,15	demonstrations (2)	direct [3] 36:16 52:6	111:18 112:2 129:16
140:1 153:14 154:9	194:16	229:15 285:8	306:22	130:1 216:1 228:1 291:8
155:20:156:18 179:2,5,16 179:18.21 180:1 183:4		denied (3) 185:8 189:16	directed pp 103:10	documentiari 6:10
209:3 219:16 226:18	32:17 46:22 162:25 217:20 224:23 228:6,9	300:16	306:20	26:23 28:19,22 30:15 31:5
230:8 254:6 275:5.10,22	257:9 258:2 282:25	deny [1] 295:6	directing [1] 36:13	31:9,11,16,18 32:16 33:14
276:4,10,13,22 280:23,23	dated[3] 28:16 265:2	department [15] 23:11	direction (3) 181:4	37:24.24 38:7 42:9 106:3
281:11-285:20-287:13.13	316:13	27:22 46:19,21 53:9 73:1	211:22 300:4	128:25 200:13,17 201:9
288:3 303:7 304:7.9	dates (3) 217:25 252:19	73:2 109:6 205:11,14	directions [2] 53:7 70:4	201:22 202:14.7 254:20
306:19	292:5	207:25 224:15 250:21	↓ The first control of th	255:21 256:15.18.21 264:14,17 266:13.24
Coury's [6] 96:10.13	daughter [4] 18:7 20:24	251:1 263:21	directives [1] 72:7	274:6-316:7,11
110:4 160:8 229:23 231:2	22:24 23:5	depend [17 247:7	directly [4] 95:21,21	document'spi 42:10
cover [2] 306:5.7	daughter's [1] 22:22	deposed [2] 202:17,24	158:2 173:14	documents (13) 24:24
eredence [1] 148:13	David 121 132:15.20	deposition (38) 1:16 2:1	director (21) 6:6 25:10 44:1 45:1 72:19 79:19	24:25:27:15:34:6:37:13
credentials (2) 250:16		11:25 12:15 14:8 29:7	80:8.94:1.173:16,20	38:4 76:17 139:3 243:15
251:20	Dawire (1) 201:4	32:22 34:15,20,24 39:17	174:25 177:14 237:11	7243:17 244:2.22 312:6
credibility [1] 102:25	days [8] 47:14 53:24	75:24 76:9 96:11 110:5		<u> </u>

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING

B14 535 4011

fairly (1) 312:13

Multi-Page 'M doesn't - FEMA

doesn't may 72:23 75:4 77:22 85:8 86:10,11.11 89:5 147:16 171:19 234:19.23 246:4 314:11 doggone [2]: 82:1-164:10 dollars [6] 16:4 186:14 186:15 187:20,23 190:13 Don (2) 3:3 8:17 donate [1] 207:3 donated [4] 207:9,11,13 257:3 207:14 done [32] 25:19,21 30:4 66:9 80:24 81:2,20 100:11 108:22 111:14 115:16.18 145:23 162:4 164:17 170:6 171:24 172:5 175:4 206:23 222:5 223:11,12 223:20 254:21 281:10 288 6 299-2 310:6-22 311:9 321:10 door n1 24:18 double-check [5] 158:20 204:3 246:17,18 321:9 down [30] 9:24 43:19 53:6.18 84:15 90:8 105:19 133:5 146:18 149:17,20 168:7 182:7 188:12 192:22 219:25 243:12 253:24 254:2 257:1 261:7 261:14,16,17 269:19 -280:12,15,18,21 287:6 dozen (11 29:14 drafted pg 286:1 drafts [1] 310:7 draw [2] 66:10 188:25 318:9 drew[1] 91:24 driving [1] 190:7 drug [2] 205:10 206:12 due [1] 243:19 DUI [1] 24:19 during (23) 32:1 35:10 35:20 36:7 39:3 42:13 47:5-50:16 96:10 132:1 140:10 158:8 176:24 182:18 201:9 205:5.9 206:8 252:22 254:10 287:2 309:1 310:2 duties [2] 244:11 298:2 duty 191 39:8 48:18.23 74:2 93:23.109:15.171:21 236:2 173:2 236:5 -E-C[9] 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1 8:1,1

27:18 288:5 e-mail [2] 27:13 256:22 eagles [1] 288:19 Carly [15] 44:4 48:14 53:24 60:4,14 99:18,18 115:10 127:22 139:2 166:3,4 218:20 258:17 291.9 cars [1] 85:3 easict[1] 289:8 east[1] 15:21

316:4

288:19

CTFOTS [2] 172:12,18

crstwhile [2] 76:15

eastern [1] 16:17 cducation [7] 43:18 204:21 205:11 205:12 207:4 208:6 275:16 Educational pp. 207:23 EEOC m 218:7 effect [6] 59:1 216:4,22 299:3 317:12,20 effective(2) 224:23 efficient [1] 251:5 eight[1] 123:4 Einscl [4] 275:12.14 276:5,17 either (17) 23:14 62:22 69:24 72:5 82:16 83:3 147:13 169:25 201:5 221:21 222:14.22 236:1 245:25 250:12 283:12 294:14 eligible (1) 100:2 eliminating (1) 298:8 Elmerton pp 3:19 4:6 embarrassed in 73:15 cmbarrassing [3] 46:1 46:5 195:24 emergency [4], 229:16 241:3,6 285:8 comphasis (5) 313:9.16 319:3.7.9 emphasize[i] 317:2 cmployed pp 12:10 employee [2] 317:1 employees [1] 286:13 employment pp 302:4 coupty (i) 191:14 exactiv (4) 11:21 55:22 enclosure [2] 266:9,19 171:23 236:10 cnd (14) 42:12:45:17 examination (4) 5.5 59:23 79:14 118:2,3 10:21 19:18 100:5,6,8 133:23 160:21 201:14 example [8] 83:5 95:16 202:13 219:17 223:24 121:2 126:21 207:9. 273:23 302:14 244;21 292:23 298:12 enforcement rior 74:23 except [2] 127:14 201:19 77:12.98:5 120:3 142:25 145:19.25 251:7 298:1,21 excerpt[i] 143:12 excluding (n 248:13 enhancement [1] 236:7 CXCUSC (4) 11:9 42:6 enhancing (2) 235:25 62:17 136:2 entered [1] 65:10 executive [3] 244:16,17 267.8 entertain (r) 230:18 excreise (3): 94:15 97:20 entertained (1) 240:1 entire [4] 21:16 112:16 exercised (1) 175:14 114:6 288:11 exhibit[13] 6:1 29:6,7 equal [1] 206:2 38:9 116:15 160:12 256:4 equipment (2) 188:8 256:10 264:21 265:13 214:12 267:17 274:12.14 erroncously [1] 309:10 exigent (2) 186:10:12 error (e) 81:17,19 151:23 exist [1] 107:21 176:12.22 275:7 312:8 existed [2] 31:5 286:8-

escort[2] 15:25 [85:12 **ESQUIRE** (5) 3:3,8,16 established (3) 85:18 122:5.6 estate (2) 207:15 208:22 estimates [1] 16:5 estimation rat 232:1 ct [3] -19:20,20 139:25 ethical in 45:21 ethics (11 145:18 evaluate (1) 24:2 evaluation [1] 184:15 Evanko (57) 1:8,17 2:3 5:4 8:4.8.9.10 9:3 12:25 13:5 76:9 78:7 107:13 120:1 129:2 136:10,19.21 138:7.150:22.173:8 190:22:23 200:2,10 203:4 203:14 204:8 237:23 241:16 245:23 250:1 254:18 255:17 256:20 271-25-288:16-289:25 293:13 294:23 295:16 298:7.11 300:4 301:1.13 302:25 303:5,14 307:23 312:6 316:10 319:19 320:10,18 322:12 Evanko's [4] 34:21 74:16 201:15 302:20 EVERS (2) 18:25 19:4 event [6] 43:3 108:16,17 183:17 257:14 280:25 events (18:9,12:53:8 72:8 114:19 164:3 165:9 170:21 183:20 227:1 252:20 281:9.15.16 308:21 evidence (2) 125:6 202:8

existence (ii 311:3

exists (11 200:19

exotic [1] 129:24

expect [14] 93:12 94:15 94:19 95:11.19 97:12.17 98:4 119:19.24 121:1 187:21 197:24 251:24 expectation pr 45:23 47.19 54:8 75:3,5 94:23 104:22 120:19 217:1 expected (i) 211:3 expenditure [2] 205/18 206:2 expenses (2) 206:16,17 experience [8] 14:17 18:17-230:3,5-276:16 292:16 310:8.9 explain [4], 120:24 165:11 174:15 276:23 explained[1] 194:16 exploded [4] 229:14 285:6 293:13.14 expound[i] 290:7 express [2] 96:14 258:3 expressed (9) 102:16.18 102:21 103:18.21.23 106:13 107:10 148:23 expresses [1] 174:5 extended (1) 203:7 extent [4] 69:18 100:23 139:10 296:2 cxtra (1) 202:19 extracumicular m 286:25 extremely [1] 263:13 eye (21, 23)19 124:19 eyeball [1] 102:5 cycballing 41 102:5 face [1] 225:21

facetious (2) 17:21 57:1 fact (33): 44:25 48:22 49:18-52:24-53:23-57:18. 61:4,6 87:13 90:10 101:23 102:3 104:7,21 111:17 148:10 167:13 175:25 176:1,4,5,6 179:16 191:11 201:13 202:11 218:1 253;14 254:24 275:8 290:17 303:14 308:25 factor (2) 104:23 105:1 facts [32] 56:3,15 57:8.14 69:6,8 103:1 108:13 113:3 113:10,18 115:9 122:2 136:24 137:3 149:7 154:16 164:19,22 179:15 179:20 183:16 196:16 212:9-227:18 239:25 240:15 275:3 296:1 303:19,25 307:25 failed [2] 24:4.7 fair (27) 14:4 23:20.22 49:12 56:14 67:12 68:1 68:11 80:10 81:15 109:21 124:1-147:14.15 176:1 230:15 236:21 250:19 251:8,9,10,15 255:25 256:14 295:3 300:1.3

fairness (g. 23:9 108:25 128/7 140:1.4 163:25 fails (2) 242:14 247:14 false [2] 275:4 312:2 femilian (47-18-19-192-18 248:4 250:7 familiarity 51-312:25 far (5) .21:22 32:3 41:17 100:19 302:9 Fargo [1] 190:12 fashion [1] 121 4 faster[1] 117:13 father[1] 208:21 favors [1] 162:12 FBI 11441 42:17 44:4 47:7 47:22 51:12 52:10,13 53:14 55:2,9,23:24 56:5,6 56:7.8 57:15.17.21.25 58:5 59:2 60:10,11,13,20 61:13 62:20 63:9,19,22 65.5.12 66:6,19 69:12,19 70:24 71:6 74:8 75:8 78:13 79:5 81:10 82:1 86:3 87:8 92:14 93:8:19 94:4,10,12 96:15.21 97:6 98:1-99:17 102:1-103:9 103:13,19,22 107:4,20,23 108:14 109:17 115:10 117:15 118:15 119:4 121:12,21 124:7,12 125:7 125:13.24 126:4.11 127:12,17,25 128:8 129:20.21 137:5.19 138:22 139:3,9,15,20 141:4 148:13,14.19 153:22 154:23 159:19.19 162:10 164:6 165:9 166:7 171:18,24 172:25 174:2.6 176:16 177:1,15 183:20 184:24 189:10 190:2 192:6 193:5 195:11.17.20 196:2 198:2,7,19 205:22 206:19 212:10 216:11.12 258:19 269:14 280:24 293:24 294:22 295:21 300-19-21-301-11-17 303:25 308:22 FBI's [4] 73:5 125:2.2 126:22 fear(1) 119:11 fears (i) 51:14 February [10] 162:9 166:5 249:11,12,14 263:24 274:2 308:4.12 312:4 federal (5) 68:14 119:9 193:19,20 290:13 f**eds** (1) 193:23 feeling [2] 96:24 231:11 feelings (1) 125:11 feet (i) 231:4 fell [1] 247:8 fcllows [1] 296:5 felt;91 52:2 77:41 108:22 140:5 198:24 215:1.11 239:19 297:12 FEMA (1) 241:2

SÁRGENTS COURT REPORTING

814 536 4011

female - Hikus

	Case 1:01-cv-0008
f	APR-26-2002 17:13
ĵ	female [8] 19:11 20:12
Į	277:14,15,15,18,19,20
	few [5] 18:16 98:17 117:7 172:6 190:18
ı	
	Fieldiz [2] 207:15 208:23
ļ	fiasco (1) 73:20
	field pay 77:12,19 80:15 80:17 180:6 312:11,17
	313:10,12 315:20 316:19
	319:4,21
	fight (1) 234:18
	figure [1] 196:15
	figured (1) 198:19
	file [51] 25:16 26:6.8 27:7
	27:10,25 28:3,7 30:15 31:6,10,12,16 32:4,15
	33:6.14.16.17.21 34:4.7
	35:6 36:22 37:1,19 38:1,5
	39:1,16 40:1,6,14,21,22 199:17 200:12,18,19,22
	201:2,7,19,21,24 202:2,4
	202:5 217:18 310:16
	312:6
	filed (11) 22:11 185:7 217:23 218:3 220:22
	221:3 224:14 227:15,21
	228:4 308:13
	files [15] 24:25 25:12
	26:10,12,16,21 27:3,6 41:15 162:3 201:5 233:15
	234:9 310:4.10
	filling (1) 293:2
	final [3] -220:25 245:8,24
,	finally [1] 100:9
	finding [2] 210:4 212:8
	findings [1] 57:22
	fine pj. 12:7 89:15 128:23
	141:11 149:8 159:2 199:19 215:12 226:17
	finest [1] 288:10
	finish (*) 33:25 77:5
	160:11 178:18 220:17
	256:7,12 312:12
	finished [1] 307:17
	finishes [1] 288.7
	finishing (1) 219:4 fired (1) 231:18
	first [59] 24:5,7:39:20 . 42:16 46:15,23 47:2,13
!	54:1 55:5 64:4 66:19,23
	67:4,13:68:7,8:83:19:94:5
	117:8 122:18 124:25 129:3 132:12 138:19
	145:5 155:7 160:22
	162:18:20:24 163:1,16,17
	- 1.00A 'M' 1.00A' / 3 1 / (株/) D : '

HFR-20-2002 11.13	SHRUENTS CO
	200.12.25
female [8] 19:11 20:12 277:14,15,16,18,19,20	309:12.25 flew[2] 181:12 226:24
few [5] 18:16 98:17 117:7	Floor[1] 3:12
172:6 190:18	flying [1] 182:5
Finidia [2] 207:15 208:23	focus [1] 178;4
fiasco (1) 73:20	folder (1) 27:22
field pay 77:12,19 80:15 80:15 80:17 180:6 312:11,17	folders (1) 28:5
313:10,12 315:20 316:19	folks [1] 185:8
319:4,21	follow [2] 104:19 147:18
fight (1) 234:18	follow-up (1) 153:6 followed (3) 104:17
figure (1) 196:15 figured (1) 198:19	227:2 297:23
file [51] 25:16 26:6.8 27:7	following [11] 55:21
27:10,25 28:3,7 30:15	72:7 85:8 104:14,21,24 152:15 201:11 234:8
31:6,10,12,16 32:4,15 33:6,14,16,17,21 34:4,7	302:16:19
35:6 36:22 37:1,19 38:1,5	follows (2) 266:17
39:1,16 40:1,6,14,21,22	312:16
199:17 200:12,18,19,22 201:2,7,19,21,24 202:2,4	foolish [1] 108:4
202:5 217:18 310:16	football [1] 120:16
312:6	forces [1] 280:12 Ford [1] 204:25
filed [11] 22:11 185:7 217:23 218:3 220:22	forget [3] 205:23 248:11
221:3 224:14 227:15,21	251:1
228:4 308:13	Forgive [1] 141:23
files [15] 24:25 25:12 26:10,12,16,21 27:3,6	forgot [1] 241:8
41:15 162:3 201:5 233:15	form (3) 14:25 48:7 269:11
234:9 310:4,10	formality [4] 225:16,17
filling [1] 293:2	227:3 228:7
final [3] 220:25 245:8,24	format [2] 23:14 245:25
finally [1] 100:9	former [1] 315:23
finding [2] 210:4 212:8 findings [1] 57:22	forward [1] 240:10
fine [9] 12:7-89:15-128:23	forwarded (2) 23:24 308:5
141:11 149:8 159:2	found (57 46:14-60:16
199:19 215:12 226:17	93:19 114:5 311:13
finest (1) 288:10	founded [1] 82:3
finish (s) 33:25 77:5	four[11] 6:10 48:11.12
256:7,12 312:12	52:21 54:11,14,24,25 122:23 157:1 179:12
finished [1] 307:17	fourth [2] 54:2 257:1
finishes (1) 288:7	Foy [1] 81.7
finishing (1) 219:4	FR [7] 80:19 174:14
fired (1) 231:18 first [59] 24:5,7.39:20	175:17 312 : 16 316:13 318:18 319:5
42:16 46:15.23 47:2.13	Frank [1] 112:21
54:1 55:5 64:4 66:19,23	frankly [2] 178:10
67:4,13:68:7,8:83:19:94:5 117:8:122:18:124:25	320:25
129:3 132:12 138:19	fraternal (1) 14:15
145:5 155:7 160:22 162:18,20,24 163:1,16,17	Freein [5] 45;1 63:14 152:5,11 293:23
164:9 168:25 174:16	friend (c) 44:24 45:1
177:6 179:5 181:24 196:7	107:9 151:1 164:7 278:9
205:3 212:13 219:13,16 221:16 252:21 254:9,15	friends [2] 57:15 151:4
261:11 263:15 268:1	friendship (2) 142:25
277:21 300:17 301:9 302:18 311:18,19 317:12	161:17 friendships [1] 278:16
fit [2] 293:14,14	front [5] 116:19 249:12
fitness [4] 19:19 22:10	269:11 316:11 318:1
23:10 100:7	full [6] 205:6 206:9
five (12) 16:3 37:16 75:20 122:23 186:14 187:20,23	225:11,12 279:17 286:15 full-size (1) 206:10
188:11-225:8 289:1	ENGR BREEF 202 BWW-4W

	Multi-Page'				
~	full-tims[1] 286:11	gre			
	fulltime [3] 278:5 279:18				
	284:22	21			
	function (1) 245:11	gri 21			
	funeral [1] 80:5				
	future (1) 57:4	gri			
		gr 0			
	-6-	25			
;	G [6] 1:5 8:1,19 12:23	gu			
	265:20 275:19	28			
	gained [1] 95:5	gu			
	gcars [2] 41:24 204:18	62			
	geoz [1] 32:7	30			
	general pg 3:9,10 9:2 253:2	Gı			
	generally [2] 77:16	9:			
	97:23	33			
	gentleman [3] 226:23	39			
	230:2 256:22	42			
	gentlemen [4] 76:2	2.			
	157:11 200:8 205:23	3			
	Gettysburg [1] 280:12 Gigliatti [4] 64:17,22,25	32			
	126:20	gu			
	GIS py 213:25	15			
	giveaway [1] 206:21	ğu			
	givesways (2) 205:4	18			
	206:8				
	1	ſ			
	given (13) 37:9 38:14				
	gîven [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 181:4 207:10,18	ba			
	given (13) 37:9 38:14	ha 19 21			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 181:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10	19			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5	19			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 181:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10	ha ha ha			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 181:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10 giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9	19 22 ha 1 ha ha			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11	ha ha ha ha ha			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 181:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20	ha ha ha ha ha			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12	ha ha ha ha ha ba			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 181:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20	ha ha ha ha ha ba			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25	ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [23] 14:19 15:4-	ha ha ha ha ha ba			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [22] 14:19 15:4- 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13	ha ha ha ha ha ha ha			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [22] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16	ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 181:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [23] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18,21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8	ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha h			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 181:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4.5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [22] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18;21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14	ha h			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4.5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10-98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [22] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18,21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14 good-looking [1]	ha h			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 181:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [23] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18;21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14 good-looking [1] 290:24	ha h			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4.5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10-98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [22] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18,21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14 good-looking [1]	ha h			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4.5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [22] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18,27,27,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14 good-looking [1] 290:24 Governor [6] 16:7 87:17 187:16 262:25 263:2 295:10	ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha h			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4.5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [22] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18,21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14 good-looking [1] 290:24 Governor [4] 16:7 87:17 187:16 262:25 263:2 295:10- Governor's [33] 3:10	ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha h			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4.5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [22] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18,21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14 good-Jooking [1] 290:24 Governor [6] 16:7 87:17 187:16 262:25 263:2 295:10 Governor's [33] 3:10 9:1 16:10 44:12 51:16,17	ha h			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [23] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18,21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14 good-looking [1] 290:24 Governor [6] 16:7 87:17 187:16 262:25 263:2 295:10- Governor's [33] 3:10 9:1 16:10 44:12 51:16,17 51:24 56:20 62:22 64:13	ha h			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [23] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18,21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14 good-looking [1] 290:24 Governor's [31] 3:10 9:1 16:10 44:12 51:16,17 51:24 56:20 62:22 64:13 64:22 66:15,18 67:19,20 78:18 82:5,17,25 84:6	hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 181:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [23] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18,21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14 good-looking [1] 290:24 Governor [6] 16:7 87:17 187:16 262:25 263:2 295:10 Governor s [33] 3:10 9:1 16:10 44:12 51:16,17 51:24 56:20 62:22 64:13 64:22 66:15,18 67:19,20 78:18 82:5,17,25 84:6 89:4 96:8 126:20 162:13	ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha ha h			
	given [13] 37:9 38:14 155:1 161:4 207:10,18 211:8,9,15 228:6 256:21 274:23 298:10- giving [2] 289:4,5 glance [1] 168:25 God [2] 171:7 172:10 goes [9] 30:2 60:10 98:9 125:15 149:25 175:11 246:15 253:25 287:20 gone [9] 26:23 40:5 80:12 80:13 81:1 111:20 174:25 177:13 200:11 gong [1] 191:25 good [23] 14:19 15:4 45:21 49:17 76:19 77:13 117:4 120:9,20,22 121:16 123:18,21,21,23 175:14 184:9 199:14 200:8 213:12 284:5 292:14 good-looking [1] 290:24 Governor's [31] 3:10 9:1 16:10 44:12 51:16,17 51:24 56:20 62:22 64:13 64:22 66:15,18 67:19,20 78:18 82:5,17,25 84:6	hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha			

Governors' (5) 219:2 221:1 227:R 257:10 2R2:4

great (4) 19:8 100:11 115:14 251:13

-	green [1] 37:22	294:13
-	ericvance (1) 185:8 217:19 221:11	hcard (15) 14:2 15:13 110:4 142:13 160:1
-	gricvances (4) 217:24 218:3 221:3,10	177:17,18 234:4 239:11 241:17 254:7,9.15 270:16
-	grieve (1) 190:17	294:2
-	group [16] 21:16.22:2,4	hearing (a) 14:3 47:21 76:19 79:7 101:19 110:11
	77:14,16 95:15 121:14 250:7 251:24 272:7	110:13 203:18
	guard [3] 186:8 229:17 285:9	hearsay [1] 270:10 heart's [1] 313:22
-	guess (11) 16:20 26:7	held[1] 18:13
	62:20 83:6 162:20 192:8	helicoptet (2): 17:8
1	198:25 222:18 230:17 301:19 302:12	helicopters (1) 190:8
	Guido [38] 3:8 7:5 8:25	Helmets [1] 208:13
	9:1 11:16 12:3 13:9.15	help [16] 19:21 57:17
	14:21 28:20 29:5 32:19 33:1 34:12 35:8,17,24	117:6,6 169:3 170:7 189:2
	39:13 40:2,15,23 41:3	190:8 227:7 233:2 250:10 257:18 281:19 283:16,25
-	42:7 105:23 238:23	284:7
	239:22 255:22 256:2,8,16 274:8 291:15,21:314:6	helpful [1] 302:12
	317:15 320:7 321:14	helping [3] 166:19
	322:1	169:20 229:11
	guy [5] 15:7 171:20 173:4 193:4,4	herein [1] 2:4 Herm [2] 207:15 208:22
	guys [3] 72:12 151:3	herself [1] 9:23
	180:22	Hershey [9] 43:2 240:12
		Z40:22
-	-H-	bcy [4] 63:8 78:23 179:21 299:8
	half [9] 129:10,14 194:21 194:23,24,25 195:6	high (w) 89:2 134:9
	229:24 278:25	250:18 251:3,21 270:24
	hand [6]. 8:5 122:16,17 173:7,10 274:9	271:20 295:9 high-ranking (9) 44:10
1	handed [1] 42:10	56:T8 62:21 64:T2 74:23 82:15 96:6 121:21 134:8
	handle [2] 243:15 244:2	82:15 90:0 121:21 134:0 higher (12): 45:5 55:10:17
-	handled (2) 115:13	58:14 74:9 78:14.17 82:3
ļ	220:18 bands [1] 244:4	93:13 118:13.17 130:23
i	handwriting [1] 123:7	higher-ranking [4] 51:20 93:1,7 98:24
	handy [1] 314:25	nigher-up (2) 84:4
1	happy [1] 29:16	177:10
	harass [3] 301:22 303:6	higher-ups [10] 59:18 69:14 73:7 77:21 82:2,24
	303:8	83:16 84:3 130:13 177:18
	hard (2) 63:8 142:9 Harrisburg (1) 2:12 3:5	highest pp 292:9
	3:13,20 4:7 8:22 9:9 12:18	
	239:18 240:22 284:4	highway [1] 279:14
	Harrisburg/[1] 240:11	Hikus [149] 42:24 43:7
	Harrisburg/Hersbey (n. 238:13	43:19 45:16 46:11 48:22 48:24 49:2,6,13,21 50:4
-	Hawthorne [2] 1:12 13:2	50:25 51:2,11 52:25 53:25
i	he'd m 139:21	56:13 59:17,22 67:3,18
	hcad (8) 73:16 74:14	67:23 68:10 69:9.11.17 69:25 70:3 71:10.25 72:1:
-	77:14,16,23 78:1 287:21	77:3 78:22,22 79:8,9,18
-	287:24 handing rev. 208:24	80:12 85:20 90:7,22 98:1. 99:14 102:19 103:24.25
	heading [1] 298:24 headquartered [1]	104:9,15,15 105:7 107:2
1	284:10	113;21:115:20:117:14
-	headquarters (12) 32:6	127:24 133:17,21 134:7 135:4,5,9,12,25 137:19
	32:7 33:10 34:23 64:8 157:20 188:19 200:21,24	139:14 151:16,19,21
	201:1.202:6 280:25	153:13,19,20 154:3,10,19 154:24 155:4,19 156:4,15
	hear[3]_102:8 110:7	157:18 158:7,11,18.25

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING

Page 404 of 413

814 536 4011

159:5.5 164:17.24 173:15 176:7 178:5.9 179:1.8,15 179:17 184:17 193:17 195:13 196:20,23 210:18 210:19.23 211:4.8.15 212:5,15 216:10,14.21 217:2,16 221:22 222:3.15 222:16.23 223:20.22 226:5.8 236:15 237:21 247:11,12 258:22 259:9 260:4,7 261:5,8 262:5 263:3,6,11 269:13 286:8 292:24 293:13.21 294:3 294:14,17 296:4 297:14 301:18 Hikus' [6] 48:20 67:6 210:21 212:11 224:2 260-17 himself pg 297:25 298:19.22 hire (2) 188:6 190:11 historic (4) 25:16 26:5 208:8 310:16 historical [4] 207:4,22 310:4,10 history (3) 92:3 208:6 288:10 hit [1] 24:18 hold pg 103:15 136:21 137:21 235:3 honest (1) 137:2 bope [1] 17:19 hopefully (1) 175:10

horse (1) 280:20 horses (1) 280:18. bost m 254:4 hosting[1] 280:24 hotel 151 184:23 189:8,25 205:25 206:4 hour [2] 229:25 284:3

hours [2] 37:17 287:3 muman [2] 172:9 283:6 hundreds [3] 265:6,6,7

-I-

I' [1] 178:13

i.c[1] 262:2 IAD (21) 79:20 111:2,18 163:8,18,21 164:10 165:9 165:16 196:8 198:12 215:22 224:6,23 225:2.7 227:6 228:1,7,17 309:11 idea [10] 31:3,13 64:19 127:4 161:18 166:6 262:17 263:10 267:11 273:3 identification [3], 29:9 116:17 274:16 identified in 6:4 94:20 94:25 95:10 identify (5) 9:23 10:8 109:4 316:9.10 ignorance [7] 62:11 88:5

88:7.8 96:1 131:2 150:19

214:6,17 215:23 216:1,6

219:5 222:7,10 223:10 227:25 228:11 236:13 273:20 286:10,21 illegal (1) 121:3 Illinois [1]: 18:20 image [1] 251:20 imagine (5) 26:19 27:4 221:18 261:2 284:15 immediate [1] 284:24 impacted [3] 157:25 158:2,3 imparted (1) 62:24 implicate [1] 121:9 implicated (2) 62:20 270-25 implicating (1) 271:22 imply (1) 278:15 implying [4] 40:11.15 40,21,24 importance [4]: 87:17 103:7,8 115:14 important [11] 53:9 88.25 129:25 146:19 149:15,16 168:15 251:16 252:12 271:17 286:21 imposed [1] 229:18 impressed (t) 21:21 impressive or 187:24 improper [7]. 105:24 106:4 142:20 270:5.15 283:6 299:25 inaccurate (1) 297:9 inappropriate[1] 259:18 Inaudible (17 88:10 INC (1) 4:17 inception [1] 52:10 incident (6) 24:19 113:5 113:11 137:18 179:3 300:25 incidentally [1] 200:14 incidents [2] 46:18 115:19 include [1] 134:12 included pg 45:12 134:18 214:9 including [3] 38:4 148:4 292:10 inconvenience [2] 34:8 34:18 incorrect [1] 312:9 incredible [3], 83:4,4 177:25 incurred [2] 206:17,19 indeed pg 57:16 158:13 166:2 Indiana (3) 17:23 184:24 205:24 indicate (20) 49:6 56:16 57:9 91:21 97:9,17 99:2 100:21 101:8 111:12 125:7 132:1 162:1 195:10 270:22 275:4,25 316:25 IIMS [19] 213:1,6,7 214:3 318:8 321:4

indicated (27) 28:18 31:1

Multi-Page " 51:13 69:10,11,18 77:9 78:14 92:10 98:12 102:15 111-17 112:5 125:14 126:18 138:12,16-139:20 158:12 202:18 205:20 212:7 283:21 295:18 302:21 307:21 309:16 indicates [4] 123:17 216:20-256:21-309:10 indicating [5]: 57:2 170:4 283:5 312:22 318:25 indication (1) 293:4 indications 11 131:21 individual [7] 22:14 55:14 109:8 207:20 209:10 229:7 278:5 individuals (at 44:11 51:21 56:19 64:12 82:16 21:12 84:4 121:22 177:10 incligible [1] 100:2 inflame (1) 297:18 influence pp 127.3 167:2,5 168:5 169:1.25 170:14.25 171:4 info (2) 105:14 191:25 inform (ST 48:19,23 69:3 200:9 222:19 288:13 informent (s) 57:18 62:19 99:20,22,25 125:3 138:22 191:13 226:25 information [48] 47:7 49:24 67:17 74:5 78:24 79:5 84:3,12 96:21 97:7 97:13.22 98:17 99:1 100:17,21 101:7,9 102:17 103:6,20 106:9 107:11 109:13 110:16 115:13 122:11 124:15 125:16.22 145:23 149:3.10 158:25 172:21,25 173:1 174:7 195:10 266:17,18 273:17 298:10 312:22 316:18,24 318:7,25 informed (21) 43:6.10 46:22 47:3 48:14 53:8 61:1 72:8 77:17 81:4 153:22 198:14 210:4,13 216:13,15,16-218:4.6 221:16,15 informing (2) 174:1 184:17 initial [3] 165:14 265:19 265.74 initialed 31 275:9.11 276:14 initials (11 276:10 initiated (1) 115:8 initiatives [1] 46:20 injunction (15) 220:21 227:21 228:3 229:2.3 233:16 234:13,18 236:24 237;1,3,4 239;5,7 240;9 injunctive [1] 234.9 injure [r] 301:22 ink (s) 37:22 243:19,23

244:3 264:13

input [3] 226:23 259:3.7

Hikus' - involved inquiry 521 47:24 62:7,9 introduced (1) 319:24
69:68 108:15 109:17 investigate on 100:18 investigate (11) 126715 112:7 113:9 114:7 132:6 126:16,17 172:19 173:11 137:5,9.17 144:25 176:16 187:8,9-227:1-258:25 178:7 183:13,14 184:5 296:4 303:1 185:2 196:12 197:7 investigated [14] 20:7,8 198:21 295:25,25 300:10 20:10 39:22 95:9 112:14 300:11 304:4,14,17 305:8 112:17 136:23 182:3,14 insistent [2] 229:9,19 208:25 209:4 239:5 303:13 inspection [4] 33:9 39:4 201.9.10 investigating (7) 74:1 93:22 164:14 177:2 280:1 Instant [1] 273:17 295:17,19 instead [1] 104:9 investigation (166) instructions (3) 68:20 20:15,16,17 42:18 44:8 70:12 85:21 44:10 45:4.10:22 51:12 instructor (4) 20:25 55:10.16 60:12 62:1.5.9 22:23 29:2,2 65:5,7 69:12,13.19 70:24 instructors [3] 21:2,7 73:6 74:6,9 77:21 78:8 83:10.12 87:8 92:4,15,20 92:21:93:11 94:4,9,18 instruments (a) 186:18 98:7,9,16,24 108:9.11 186:19,21,23 109:24 110:22 111:8 insult (1) 225:23 112:24.25 113:3.7 114:9 insults (1) 299:9 114:12,13,21,23,25 115:8 integrate [1] 230:6 115:17,23,25 117:16 1 19:4,9,17 122:3.7 123:15 integration [1] 213:22 123:16,17,25 124:2,4,11 integrator [1] 213:17 124:13.24 126:23 128:2 integrity [2] 143:1 128:11 130:12.21.22 133:12 136:22 137:9,15 intended (n. 297:17 137:22,24 139:16 140:16 140:20 141:1,15 142:14 intensely [1] 270:4 143:2.17 145:8 147:23 intention (1) 25:24 149:24 150:7,16,18 158:9 intentionally [3] 33.20 160:14,15 162:10 174:3 96:16 97:6 178:19 179:22 182:24 inter-related [1] 290:17 183:10 184:2,21 190:3,19 192.9.23 193.8.14.17 intercept [2] 121:2:125:3 194:2,3 196:7,14 197:12 interception su 87:11 197,16,19,22 198:1,20 interdepartmentally 199:1 206:18 210:7.14 [1] 114:15 211:1,9,10,13 216:22 interest [s] 66:20 258:4 217:9 218:11 268:2.23 283:4,9 298:6 269:15,21 293:11 294:23 295:1 298:21,25 300:1.6 interested [6] 162:25 300:8,19,22 301:11 257:23 258:1 270:10 303:15,16,18,24 304:3,16 271:11,12 305:14.307:24.308:20,21 interesting (2) 59:6 investigation's m 310:12 196:18 interfere [6] 119:3 145:7 investigations [6] 197:15,21,24,25 114:16,17 118:17 142:23 interfered [2] 33:21 37:2 299:2 300:12 interject pp 11:10 investigative (1) 146:5 internal 191 79:19 114:22 investigator (4) 32:13 175:23 197:11 210:6 94:19 109:16 172:24 215:25 218:10 291:8 investigator's [1] 57:22 309:12 interpretation [2] 99:5 investigators (11) 57:16 169:15 178:21.22.23 269:25 179:11,19 195:25 196:4 interrupted [2] 34:1 304:12,19 307:11 investigatory (1) 74:3 interrupting [1] 34:14 invidious (1) 218:9 INTERRUPTION invitation pr 263:6 75:17 97:1 146:23 169:17 202:20 279:2 315:21 involve [4] 93:1.6 169:13 170:19 interview (5) 19:19 87:10.11 159:7 263:8 involved (43) 44:10 interviewed (1) 159:5 47:15 54:4 61:7 64:11 69:23 73:9.13 74:12 77:14 interviews (1) 180:3 Index Page 8

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING

814 536 4611 P.11

Multi-Page"

involvement - marked

77:24 78:20 82:5 83:8,10 R3:13.17 86:23 90:20 93:5 94:10 119:22 121:6 126:12 131:22 134:19.21 139:24.25 141:5 146:4 192:15,20 195:2,4 197:9 263:7 272:13 293:25 300:25-308:1-316:7 320:17 involvement [1] 176:9 involves [2] 109:18 206:14 involving [4] 19:4 92:6 115:18 277:12 irate[1] 293:18 issuc [16] [4]:10 49:1 56:18 65:19 70:23 102:24 113:15 146:3 210:24 218:8 221:12 258:18 304:13.18 305:7 319:13 issues (2) 27:23 218:13 items [7] 37:11 205:3 206:6.7 207:2 208:8.15 itself [2] 288:12 314:8

J (1) 4:10 J-A-N [1] 163:3 J-U-N-E [1] 163:2 Janc (1) 205:3 January [22] 162:19.21 162:22 163:3.5.17.18 164:9 218:20,21.21 219:18 221:19 222:20 223:25 224:18 227:13 232:15 242:17 249:9 257:3 308:14 Jennifer [3] 2:6 10:11,16 Joanna [2] 4:3 9:13 iob [15] 58:6 100:11 111:24 148:15 174:5 181:13 184:10 213:12 219:11.12 223:10 226:17 230:13 263:8,9 Joe 121 15:9 87:4 John (2) 15:11 161:5 Joseph [2] 1:10 13:1 Judeo-Christian [1] 172:16 Judge [4] 1:8 76:16 199:23 239:16 judgment [5] 120:14 172:12 175:15 184:16 206:3 July 111 304:20 jump [1] 181:22 jumped (n. 181:11 juncture [1] 131:24 June 151 161:21.25 162:21 162:23 163:1

jurisdiction [1] 247:8

justification (2) 108.8

liustified nr 205:18

jury (1) 175:11

-Kkeep (14) 27:18 28:4 46:22 47:3 49:24 53:7 78:24 97:12 98:16 213:20 238:12 240:10,21 258:2 keeping [2], 72:7 257:8 Kelly [1] 193:5 kept [2] 27:22 239:18 key [4] 41:10,11 102:23 202:7 killed [2] 65:5,7 kind [23] 18:8 51:13 96:14 114:14 121:8 169:3 170:17 188:2,7,8 197:1.2 208:13 213:13 246:16 251:6 254:19 258:4 277:6 283:8 285:15 292:15 310:8 kinds 121 190:5 265:7 Kip [2] 176:12,23 knew [25] 49:9 66:23 67:5 learning [1] 294:22 67:7.12.13,22.24 68:7.8 99:20-112:22 125:7 134:18,21 138:13 161:19

319:23 knowing (6) 139:7 150:15,17 187:14,16 249:19

163:12 164:24 196:22

223:9 236:3 258:10 297:4

knowledge [21] 18:17 19:2,9 25:4 26:17 37:4 64:20 67:2 69:20 100:23 101:15.132:4 138:19.21 210:25 227:12 283:14 300:18.21 301:10 313:4 known [14] 56:15 100:21

101:2 122:3 138:4 227:14 227:18 250:19.22.22 251:2,4 275:3.299:11

knows [2] 59:21 129:25

-L-

L [4] 3:8,16 134:3,3 Labor [2] 212:20 216:24 ladder [1] 172:1 Ladies 121 76:2 200:7 lady [1] 264:19 landline [1] 181:24 language (11 312:25 large [3] 15:25 17:1 170:3 last [11] 21:6 67:14 212:21 248:6 273:4 302:7 302:11 314:20 317:3 320:24.25 late [6] 31:2 44:3 60:13 127:21 203:12,21 218:19 273:20 latest [1] 289:1 laughed [2] 142:4.11 laughing (2) 141.23 laughter [1] 142:3 law [23] 74:23 77:11 83:5

93:21 98:4 109:20 120:2

142:25 145:18,24 197:13 117:14 251:7 297:5.24 298:1,18 298:20 312:24 313:15 135:13 137:18 138:8 139:14 140:16.19 141:5 314:4 317:4 319:2,8 lawful (1) 104:16 laws in 318:10 155:22 156:3.15,18.21 lawsuit[1] 308:13 157:17 158:24 159:5 160:7,16 173:15 176:7 LCE DL 200:24 201:1 237:8 239:21 292:23.24 179:2.7 181:1.20 182:9 292:25 293:1,7 LCEE (1) 201:23 leader[3] 213:15 298:16 298-19 217:16 219:15 221:22 leadership (1) 301:24 leak fir 97:6 leaking (11 96:20 242:14,15,20 246:24 learn (7) 55:19 108:7 134:1 216:15 224:13 300-17 301-9 275:9,21 276:4 277:24 learned [3] 101:14 212:5 280:23 285:19 286:8 239:11 294:17 301:18 306:19 310.2

least (27) 10:24 19:12 23:16:19 26:25 58:24 60:7 66:2 69:14 83:17 84:25 112:7 120:14 138:4 148:10 159:20 166:4 170:6.7 171:21 199:13 231:10-238:18 292:15 293:10 300:7 309:17 leaving [2] 204:9 222:10

left [3] 179:8,15,18 left-hand [1] 266:23 legal [8] 76:20 227:14 234:21 235:7 236:20,22 272:15 288:19 legally [1] 120:12 legislators (1) 244:24

LEMA pr 241:2 Len 121 124:21 162:8 length (2) 153:4,7 Lconard (2) 86:25 242:3 less [3] 68:9,12 292:13 lesson 121 225.5.8 letter (6) 6:5.9 209:11.14

letters [1] 244:20 letting [11 106:1 level (3) 124:9,10 206:2 liar (1) 177:21 lie [4] 151:22 177:25

209:17.18

294:10,10 Hed [3] 151.21 177:25

lieutenant (164) 42:23 43:7,19,22 45:15 46:11 46:16.24 47:12 48:20,23 49:2.6.12 50:3.24 51:1 52:25 53:24 54:17.19.20 56:13 58:8,14.25 59:19 61-10 62:23 67:6 22 69:24 72:14 78:16 79:8,18 81:7 83:22 85:5,16 86:4,12,20 90:22 95:17 99:7.14 101:20.25 102:9.19 103:23 105:6 107:1

24 133:13,19 133:21,24 134:7,10 135:8 141:16 147:2,17,18,20.21 147:24 148:4 155:4,19,20 177:19 178:4,8,25 179:1 182:11:21 183:1,4 184:16 195:12 210:17.18.20.22 211:4.8 216:10,20 217:2 222:3,15,16,23 223:19,22 224:1 229:8-231:2,19,25 232:13 237:20 241:13,23 247:10,12 254:12 258:21 262:22:268:3 269:12.72 292:24 293:12 294:3,8,13

licutopant's 151, 237:8 237:16 238:6 239:20 291.3

licutenants [1] 268:23 lifeguards (2) 18:11 281-17 light (2) 174:13 317:7 likes [2] 35:10;19 limit [1] 74:4

limited (1) 98:17 line [21] 122:19,24 123:3 131:1-8,14-132:11,24 140:15 150:2 162:17.24 163:1 188:25 191:15,16 192:22 194:6.18 283:3 317:3

lines (21 122:18 145:10 listra 252:25 253:6.19 listen (2) 90:21 159:16 listened [6] 44:16.18 50:7,8 58:3 84:16

listening [6] 44:22 85:4 165:13 209:8 241:13 310:1

lists 121 266:16.18 litigation [7] 30:17 250:3 252:12,22 254:8.10 254:16

live (2) 128:11 172:15 load [13 166:20 local (1) 150:7 location [1] 200:20 lone [1] 176:11 Ionesome [1] 226:22 look [23] 35:6 36:22 41:19

88:14.22 89:6.11 92:7 109:3 116:7 137:1 179:14 224:24 236:1,6,7 254:19 254:22 265:3 292:22 293:1 296:11,16

looked [13] 20:21,22 24:11 32:15 162:24

200:11 239:15 255:20 292:11 310:16.21 311:1 311:14 looking pp. 102:24 113:18 125:21 135:7 164:19 180:8 183:16 196:16 254:25 looks [s] 163:3 165:19 167:2-191:16-194:14 lose (2) 238:19.22 lost (#) 98:10 111:6-125:18 146:2 243:18.22 244-1 313:19 Louie (2) 63:14 293:25 love [3] 29:15 278:9,9 iow 121 124:5.9 lower (1) 266:23 loyalty (7) 70:16,18 146:1,3,10 150:22 270:17 lucky (s) 58:22.23 59:3 59:16 83:3 310:11 lunch [6] 35:4,7.10.20-36:7 199:13

-M-

lying [2] 151:16 177:24

Lynn (1) 198:15

ma am (4) 35:14 38:15 39:19 307:10 machine [1] 281:4 mail 111 27:19 major [49] 25:9,15.20 48:13,16 52:21 71:16 81:1 81:2 3 160:17.17 167:24 167:25 173:22 175:1 180:1.2 184:19 195:8 199:4 201:3 218:25 219:6 220:24 221:22,23,24 222:1.5,23 229:7 230:13 231:8 233:1,25 235:5 237:10 242:7 257:12.19 276:17 277:3 278:1 280:7 284:21 296:24 307:22 320:14 majors (5) .112:20 182:23

182;23 183:3 210:15 makes [3] 173:4 270:7 272:24 man (3) 174:11 190:16

Management [2] 241:7 273:17

manager[1] 273:16 manner [1] 210:23 March [16] 1:18 2:13 12:13 33:6 37:14 39:3.10 40:7 75:23 136:7,17 153:8 199:25 255:16 273:23

316:14 mark [14] 1:8 12:25 28:25 68:19.19 149:16 256:23 257:6,16 274:10 294:25 296:21 302:20 316:6

marked [12] 28:22.29:8 38:8 116:13,16 149:20 255:23 256:5,10,18 264:19 274:15

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING

814 535 4011 4.10

Market - now

APR-26-2002 17:15 278:15,19 284:1,25 Market (31 2:11 3:1) 286:24 306:9 309.5 313:1 12:17 313:24 314:10 Marking[1] 274:12 meaning (2) 272:16 Mary [13] 66:24 244:9 303:12 245:7 246:4 247:18 means [12] 12:2 62:15 253:25 259:23 260:1,5.18 123:21,22 130:25 132:22 261:9 262:7 263:12 161:23 171:3,6 172:4 Mascara [24] 61:22 62:2 192:11 251:13 62:25 63:10.20 64:25 meant [14]: 52:22 57:1 65:16 107:8 116:23 130:8 106:13 128:15 146:14 140:18 142:16 144:24 147:19.21 192:13 270:8 161:23 162:14 191:8 270:15 271:12.14.18 193:10,12,13 199:6. 297:19 268:21,25 272:25 309:3 measure [17 205:19 Mascara's (5) 62:14 63:21 143:19 271:7,9 meet 111 251:3 Mason [1] 14:14 meeting [27] 90:11,14 153:12.19 154:1,19 155:3 Masons [2] 14:14 15:22 155:7,10,13,18,23 156:12 massive m 279:19 156:14 157:3.8,15,17 material [5] 39:5 41:10 178:25 181:7 183:24 41:12 202:7 288:23 282;4 302:14,16,19,20,22 matter (28) 8:20 24:11 meetings [2] 156:2,6 25:1 64:18,25 66:6 70:22 member [5] 95:14 312:23 76:5 89:1 90:10 105:10 317:1 319:1 321:4 115:24 156:2 160:2,5 members [6] 28:6 298:13 164:23 175:10 238:25 240:3,4,12 258:25 259:4 302:1 312:20 316:22 260:14 262:7 263:14 318:23 memorabilia [2] 208:11 314:12.15 matters (3) 87:17 88:12 209:7 176:24 memorial [1] 208:7 may [89]: 11:9-13:13-18:17 memory (3) 90:3 27T:1 29:24 41:15 42:20 45:14 317.6 45:18 49:21 50:12 59:23 mention (10) 37:21,22 60:8 61:8,9,24 62:3,15 37:23 58:24 82:15,19 83:6 63:2,13,23:64:1,1,7 66:21 96:6 110:2 126:5 68:18 70:1,4,8 71:19 72:3 mentioned [46] 59:16 72:3 73:7 75:16 76:22 59:21 61:10 85:6 86:16 80:4 81:23 82:8 85:7 88:3 87:7.9.14 101:12 105:12 91:8,10 95:25 99:18 121:23 124:22,23 125:1.9 112:11 116:24 127:2,23 125:23.138:14,17,20,23 128:13 130:18 132:1 139:2 141:17 142:1,17 133:22 135:9,11,16,20 145:1,20 146:7,22 147:1 136:3 142:6 143:2,24 147:12 148:1:2.3 149:9 146:10 153:9.9.10,10,18 149:25 150:20 162:6,7.9 154:1,18 155:3 156:10 166:3 172:8 177:9 194:21 158:19 165:3 172:11 198:16 268:11 309:1 178:25 201:13 202:18 mentioning (2) 82:24 204:2 216:17 224:9 230:16 238:16 260:7 96:5 273:12 281:24 285:21 mentions [2]: 83:21 86:3 291:6 297:10 298:18 mentors in 298:17 315:3 321:10 mere (1) 227:3 McCann m 21.5 merely (n 69:2 mean [74] 11:24 15:4 26:8 Merryman (5)- 25:10,16 40:3 57:6 58:21 60:2 70:5 25:20 180:17.19 73:20.21.25 75:14 81:18 82:12 98:2 104:15 105:18 | mcss [3] 84:9,10,19 107:18 108:5,7 114:20 mcssagc [2] 216:3 226:2 118:10 119:7 120:18,23 messed [3] 81:16 83:14 124:13 125:19 126:9 84 11 129:17 136:24 145:22 met [4] 25:13 91:22 181:2 146:9 147:13 149:5,6

205-22

180:22

253:16

Michael [2] 4:14 12:9

micromanagen

161:1,22 163:23 167:7

169:10,22,24 170:2,5

171:18 177:24 179:7

188:16 189:5,17 192:3

193:22 195:23 207:7

227:20 230:16 231:14

245:6 262:19 269:7

233:3 234:19,23 238:17

Multi-Page 'M middle (3) 1:2 12:22 32:21 might (20) 18:25-21:20 26:17 37:7 38:5 45:25 99:2 133:17 140:3 234:1 288:21 312:23 313:8,17 314:3,17,18,19 319:1.11 million [2] 16.4 214.8 millions pr 167:23 mind [13] 36:11 53:4 65:10 76:24 146:16 150:24 164:21 178:3 182:16 263:19 289:4 290:10 308:13 minds* [1] 124:19 mine [2] 44:24 45:1 minute [9] 63:13 134:24 255:1 267:2.18 268:4 276:1 315:7 321:8 minutes 131 75:20 172:6 284:3 misconduct (2) 115:1 180:11 misconstrues [1] 239:25 misconstruing [1] misleading [1] 143:24 misread pr 123:8 misrepresented [3] 60:3 312:18 318:19 missing [4] 37:25 38:25 202:1,5 mission [3] 17:4.5.7 misspoke (1) 128:7 misstated [2] 212:12.13 mistake () | 207.11 mistaken [4] 133:17 238:16 281:24 285:21 mistakes [2] 172:11,17 mistreatment [1] 20.12 misunderstand (1) 231:23 misunderstood (2) 128:8,18 mitigated [1] 176:9 mitigating [2] 104:23 mobile [2] 213:24,24 model [4] 204:22,25 205:6 206:9 moment [6] 14;22 49:19 108:10-136:3 172:14 254:21 Monday (2) 67:9 121:24 moncy [7] 15:21 16:1,2 17:1 186:8 188:14 189:13 Monico (4) 110:9.19 112:21.23 monthly (17 253:21 methodology 127 140:2 months (1) 293:5 moot 131 238:25 239:3.8 morning [5] 34:4 121:25 201:25 203:15.19 microphones (1) 289:5 most [2] 128:3 139:17

motion = 312:19 318:20 never [42] 15:13 18:12 motivated (1) 262:15 move [6] 144:21 189:3 190:9.13 235:25 277:4 movcd[1]: 220:4 movement [1] 292:18 moving [2] 222:2 290:25 MS 121 9:18 252:24 283:10 MTD fit 318:21 multi-page (17 255:21 municipalities (1) 150:8 municipality [1] 92:6 museum [7] 207:1.2,5,23 208:2,5 211:13 must [4] 19:9 59:15 105:8 107:6 Mustang [2] 204:25 206:10 -N-N [5] 3:1 4:1,1 5:1 8:1 name (36) 8:6.17 9:13 12:9 13:4 15:8.14 18:25 21:6 22:14 99:21 101:11 125:1.8 129:8 132:4 138:23 141:17,25 142:17 144:25 145:11.20 146:7 146:21,25 147:3.12.13 172:7 209:10 243:9 244:7 258:21 265:23 268:10 named [4] 256:23 267:3 291:7,10 names [2] 13:7 21:3 naming [1] 271:23 Napoleon [1] 320:15 nation [1] 288:12 National [10] 219:2

220-12 221:1 227:8

229:17 235:1 250:7

257:10 282:3 285:9

251:2

73:21

nationally [2] 250:14

naturally [3] 107:19

necessarily [2] 40.8

necessity [3] 54:3

need [17] 10:3 100:14

117:5.6 124:16 198:11

202:19 203:4,9 223:2

250:11 255:23 284:24

228:11 231:7.18,22,24

232:5 236:12 250:5

nceds [2] 11:17 236:8

negative in 278:20

negotiable [5] 16:4

Neutral [1] 233:19

186:17,19,20,23

284:18

230:6 236:3 249:1 250:9

176:15 298:17

nature [4] 57:19 235:19

200:16 286:22

235:22 305:8

62:16 65:10 71:22,23 96:4 96:17 108:24 115:8.15 121:23 141:17,25 146:21 146:25 147:12 148:2.3 149:24 151:18 152:10 162:7 177:9;17,18:182:16: 195:22 197:20 201:4 206:25-212:3-221:14 228:17,20 240:1 244:5 268:10 294:12 305:3 310:12.15 new [4] 166:19 214:11 255:15 311:4newly [1] 46:16 DCW8-111-131-24 next[11] 131:1,14 132:10 152:16 156:10 160:25 191:15 192:22 194:6.18 273:7 NGA (4) 283:19.21.25 284:13 ninc (1) 123:4 Nobody [3] 164:12 194:20 195:1 non-profit [1] 208:3 non-responsive (2) 116:3,5 none [3] 20:1 70:13 254:12 nonetheless [1] 297:22 nonsense (21 73:24 171:8 por [2] 65:11 110:24 normal [3] 115:5 137:25 138:1 normally [4] 95:6 97:18. 218:11 232:22 North (3) 3:4 8:21.21 notation (21 31:8.17 note [5] 37:7 38:6,17 106:12 174:10 notes [25] 6:8 88:14,23 89:6,12.16 90:4,6,13 92:8 100:14 105:11.15 106:3 107:5 116:9 117:3 148:7 122:8 129:1 132:19 157:7 157:12 309:2,6 nothing (10) 101:5 111:14 123:5 127:14 191:23 192:2,7 194:15 266:20.25 notice [i] 290:14 notification (1) 52:10 notified my 52:16 112:3 163:8:13,16,18 T64:3:10 165:9,16 196:8 notify(2) 312:29:318:23 notifying [2] 94:16 98:8 needed [12] 184:12,14,15 November [3] 217:17 217:21 221:7 now risaj 11:12,15 13:14 21:9 29:19,22 38:8 41:19 41:23 43:6 48:18 49:11 50:3.11.11.14.51:10.52:1 52:6,19 56:14,15 57:20 59:9 60:16 62:14 63:9 66:12 68:18 TI:16 74:15

308:18 321:4,7 315:3.6

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING APR-26-2002 17:15

127:12,18,25 134:8

Multi-Page'"

number - Pennsylvania

76:8 77:9 79:1,10,24 80:10,20 81:21 82:11 84:10,18,24 85:18 86:1 87:12 88:2 89:9.17 91:16 93;3 97:8 99:10 107:14 109:11,22 112:1 117:2,21 120:12 122:18 123:6,13 123:15 124:19 128:24 129:2 130:1 132:10 133:16 135:24 136:7 138:7,11 140:1,7,14 142:8 149:4.22.23 152:13 155:12,22 156:1,14 -157:10,24 158:5 159:9 161:4,9 163:6,12 164:10 164:21 165:15 168:4 171:7 172:2.9 175:7 190:14 191:14 192:22 -193:8 194:17 195:5 205:6 213:7 214:16 216:5 220:2 221:2 222:8,17 223:22 226:18 228:23 246:11 247:16 252:4.8,11,18 258:23 260:1 262:15 263:10 269:25 271:16 272:19,20 277:17 285:23 292:6 293:8 294:1 296:19 297:3,4 298:9,24 300:19 302:4,13 303:8,15 315:16 316:1 322:8,10,12 number [44] 6:4 8:24 " 9:10,18,24 10:13,24,25 13:3 16:19 21:1 33:7 46:10 53:21,23 54:11,13 54:23,25 116:13,24,25 122:19 130:3 133:6 182:8 182:10 187:22 190:22 210:1 264:20.21.23 267:17,23 295:20,20

numerous (1) 301:5

306-12 309-9

-0-

304:21 305:4,19 306:1,2

O[3] 4:1 8:1 282:2 o'slock (2) 150:12 289:1 Oaks [3] 15:8,9.11 oath [2] 13:14 298:3 obedicace [4] 298:4,14 301:25 302:1 Ober [253] 1:5 6:7 8:19 12:24 25:13 27:8.11.15 28:1.11 31:1 32:11 35:5 39:15 40:5,9.12 41:6 42:24 43:8,24 46:13 49:8 49:9,22,24 50:15,20.22 50:25 51:3;7,11 53:3,13 53:15 55:3 56:12,21 57:2 57:24-58:3,13,21,22-59:3 59:12,15 60:1,2,11,15 61:11 66:2,7 69:25 70:3 70:15 71:6,11,15,20 72:16 72:18 77:4 79:4 80:11.13 80:24 82:18 83:2 84:14 90:7.24 92:17 97:11 99:12 99:15 100:22 101:8,24 102:7,9,15 103:1,4,4,18 104:7,14,17 106:19 107:1 107:9,23 108:22 109:10 112:9 113:20 115:20 122:10 123:9 125:4,14,14

137:15.19 139:15 140:6 148:16:22 149:2,5,7,9 153:21 154:19 155:5,14 158:13 159:1 163:10,13 164:12,23,24 165:18 173:12 174:12 175:13 176:8 177:12,17,21 178:11 180:5 184:18,22 189:7 191:18,24 192:21 193:2 195:17 196:20,21 200:23 201:14 202:17,23 205:20 206:3 209:4 210:19,25 211:5.19 212:2 212:17 213:1,10 214:17 215:1.8,22 216:6,9,14 217:4,13.18 218:15 219:3 219:14.20 221:2.16 222:2 222:9,19 223:8 224:5 226;11 227:4,13 228:16 229:23-230:2,22-231:4 233:15-234:9-235:18-236:12 237:15.24 238:9 239:18 240:19 241:10,19 242:1 257:2,16,18,20 258 9 10:15:17 265:20 . 273:19 274:23 275:19 279:23.25 280:1 283:24 284:25 285:14 286:23 287:5,17 290:20 291:7 292:2.17 293:22 295:1.17 295:20 296:5,20 297:4,12 297;23 298;12 299:3 301:22 303:12,16,20 306:15.18 308:24.25 309:7,11 320:21 Obcr's [27] 26:15 27:3 30:15 31:6 32:4 49:1 78:13 79:11 85:3 104:24 106:8 107:20 176:9,11,22 200:12 209:22 217:3 222:4 230:19-237:4 258:21 290:11 294:1 300:18.20 301:10 obeyed (1) 297:24 object (e) 48:4 106:5 116:2,4 143:8 239:23 objecting (1) 48:7 objection (s) 7:1:11:24 35:15 36:12 144:12 objections [1] 14:24 obviously [5] 67:1 121:5 144:4 251:13 253:13 occasion (2) 243:14 264.12 OCCUP (3) 195:19 303:3,4 occurred is 57:23 113:19 128:10 154:1 .176:14 195:16,22 197:4 occurs in 153:7 October [61] 28:14.16 44:4 46:14 58:3,16 59:10 60:4,4,9,14 71:12 72:9 RA-3 R3-17 18 84-17.25 86:3,9,10-99:19 100:24 100:25 101:3 102:7 103:2 112:9 113:5.20 114:19 115:18 118:3,11,22 124:20 125:4,9,16.24,25 126:6,13 127:22 128:9 131:23 132:9 137:4

138:17,20,23 148:9 163:14 164:25 165:23 166:15 227:1 265:2 275:2 292:16.317:13 odd (4) 60:17,19 135:20 166:1 off [18] 79:13 94:24 95:8 133:4 245:25 246:9.12 247:1 275:9;11 276:8 285:18 286:17 287:10 288:1 289:4.10 315:9 offer[1] 163:24 offered [1] 232:24 offhand [1] 313:3 office [56] 3:10 9:2,10 16:10 22:18 24:2 43:17 44:5,12 51:17,24 55:9 56:20 62:22 63:17 64:13 64:22 66:15,18 67:19,20 76:14 77:20 78:18 82:5 82:17.25 84:6 88:9 89:4 96:8 126:20 130:7,14,15 148:14-161:8,25-162:2,13 177:11 187:13 198:9 208:9 213:24 249:12 252:25 253:7 259:10 262:8 263:13 301:2.14 302:10,15,17 officer in 20:19 115:4 120:3 215:13 226:17 244:17 267:8 officer's nr 299-4 officers pri 16:18 45:19 65:23 143:1 206:11 232:22-236:6-277:8 286:13 294:8 298:13 offices pp. 267:8 official is 145:19 164:5 198:17 294:24 298:20 official's [1] 74:24 officially [1] 303:13 officials [9] 44:11 56:19 62:21 84:4 89:3 93:1 96:6 98:25-134:9 old [2] 109:23 264:15 older (2) 92:19,21 omissions (1) 77:3 00-Camera |11 14:10 once [1] 320:15 one 1891 6:5 14:22 21:8 26:13 29:6,7 38:9 46:6 48:11,12,16 49:16 53:14 54:12,14 65:8 69:21,21 77:10 85:19,24 90:11 102:10 122:22,23 123:14 129:1,10,14 134:14,15 142:9 147:4 149:23 151:25-152:1-167:20,22 169:11 182:8 184:20 189:25 195:4 199:19 200:19 208:21,22 214:14 221:10.23 222:24 225:10 227:5 234:6,6 238:7 247:6 251:11,11 254:25 256:25 264;1,13,16,20,21,23 265:10,13 266:19 267:2 278:23 281:8 282:2,5 285:14.25 286:3 288:10 290:20 295:20 300:7

317:20 321:8 ongoing [5] 114:8 115:21 115:23 137:11 196:14 opened [3] 194:21 195:5 openness [1] 288:13 operated (ii 243:11 operating (1) 27:1 operation [1] 284:17 operational [2] 230:20 operationally [1] 230.3 Operations (3) 242:15 242:23 247:10 opinion [5] 137:3 232:5 232:7 239:2 320:1 opportunity 1121 29:25 30:6.33:5.37:10.38:3 41:14,18 154:21 159:15 178517 265:12 290:6 opposed (a) 134:4 190:3 298:3 opposing (2) 200:9 202:16 OPS [1] 230:9 oral [11 19:18 order (24) 22:2 28:15 30:16 104:16 137:12 189:2 190:1 218:2 224:25 248:18.19 249:14 263:23 264:2.265:1 266:7.8 282:3 parking n 25:13 297:22 300:6,8 313:15 317:4 319:8 ordered [11] 21:15 48:25 49:7.23 71:11 158:9 160:15 210:14 212:6 216:23 300:9 ordering [2] 10:1 22:3 orders.prj. 104:14,18,20 176.8 189:9 196:23 264:4 organization not 14:16 75.2 169:9,12 170:3 208:4 229:15 245:22 285:7 292:10 organizational [1] organizations [1] 288-11 organized (2) 112:2 :245:10 originally my 45:13. ostensibly m 85:20 ostracized nr 299:10 Ostrowski 121 4:10 76:4 ought [2] 44:24 45:6 outcome [2] 194:8,13 outside [2] 85:22 263:20 over-concerned (1) 105:13 overail[1] 27:20 overtime (1) 194:7 own [8] 75:10 106:3 170:19 188:17 195:21 208:18 249:25 292:11

- -P[5] 3:1,1 4:1,1 8:1 p.m [12] 199:24 200:5 255:4,15 289:18,22 315:9 315:12,16 322-7,10:14 page [23] 6:1,3 7:1,3 116:22 122:14,14,15 123:14 129:1 148:24 149:22 160:22 161:1 190:23 267:20,21 298:25 309:8 311:24 312:15.18 3.1.8:20 paged [1] 90:15 pager [1] 116:25 pages m 116:12 paid (1) 186:1 paper [3] 70:9 243:8.12 paragraph (24) 129:3 133:6 257:1 290:5.9 291:17:19 293:8 294:21 295:15 297:17.21 299:1 302:13,18 309:8 311:24 312:14 313:11,22 316:20 377;7,23 318:15 paraphrased (i) 318:5 paraphrases [1] 118:7 Pardon [5] 226:6 243:21 277:5 279:24 306:6 parentheses [4] 117:22 118:1,3,4 part[14] 44:9 52:18 60:24 109:16 128:20 185:1.8 212:13 269:11 274:24 279:18 293:10 316:19 320:15 partially 1117:19 particular [5] 78:11 118:6 241:11 311:3.6 particularly [2] 124:4.6 parties (s) 5:3,7 13:7 18:3 300:25 partner [1] 278:9 parts (1) 92:11 DZ65 [1] 120:16 passed 737 78:21,21 107:22 pastia 33:19 79:7 248:9 296:23 path [2] 292:7.15 patrol [1] 279:14 Paul [8] 1:8,17 2:3 5:4 8:9 8:10 12:24 13:5 pay (6) 191:4 225:11.12 227:5 309:16,18 payer (1) 214:5 PD (1) 229:21 Pellegrini [2] 239:16.17 PEMA [4] 241:2,3,21 242:13 pen [6] 243:2,20,24 244:3-244 22 246:10 pending (1) 118:17 Pennsylvania (81) 13

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING

814 535 4011

207:16 421:24 273:15 2:9,12 8:23 9:7 12:19.23 275:13 283:17 16:18 17:11,24 18:23 19:16 20:19 21:8,12 24:17 phraseology [2] 313:13 51:15 65:24 69:22 71:21 319:6 72:2 73:8 78:3,19 87:18 physical [4] 19:19 22:10 87:24 92:16 93:5,7 94:7 23:10 100:7 95:18 97:19 112:3.8 physically (11 80:1 113-25-115-12,15-118:18 pick [4]: 44:24 55:12,18 147:8 168:24 170:18 182:3 185:14,16,20 186:5 182:6 186:6 189:3,14.21,22,24 picked [2] 45:2 102:10 190:5 208:10 209:6 picture [1] 49:18 215:13 223:3 226:15 piece (5) 39:9 70:9 202:8 227:16 236:9 238:1 241:3 243:8,12 241:6 245:3,9,17 250:15 Pittsburgh pg 44:5,23 116:24 151:6 161:8.24 253:8 262:23 280:11,20 290:22 291:11 294:7 188:20 190:10 231:14 295:8.10 297:7 298:15 place [8] 2:11 12:18 18:5 304:6 310:14.19 35:16 157:3 293:2 309:24 people [33] 16:17 18:8 34:19 75:10 93:21 94:3 311:21 98:8,18,18 99:24 121:14 placed [4] 152:10 215:20 142:13 156:25 159:21 238:2.5 169:11,16 170:20 172:16 Plaintiff (12) 1:6 2:4 3:6 173:25 188:6 190:5,7,12 8:19 12:24 252:13 293:12 195:21 196:2 206:22 293:22 299:24 303:2,6 253:3 254:13.14 279:17 312:1 286:10.11,14 performing (1) 241:20 39:1 240:7 performs [1] 244:11 p**ian** (1) 261:17 perbaps [1] 172:12 planning [1] 257:13 period [11], 199:13 224:12 plans [1] 284:11 225:11,12 227:6 292:1 play [1] 278:I 309:16,18,23 319:4,10 played [5] 17:14 169:19 periodie (m. 253:10 169:22 209:3-213:14 periodically (1) 51:3 plead [5] 62:11 88:7.8 perked (1) 85.3 96:1 131:2 permanent[1] 239:6 pleading [4] 150:19 permission [2] 36:6 290:12,14,16 303:1 picads [1] 88:4 person (2) 63:21 258:4 PNC (11) 15:16,17,22,23 personal [7] 70:21 71:4 16:1.14.24 185:12 186:1 277:8 283:4.9 294:24 188:5 190:8 298:5 point (39) 44:20:21 50:4 50:9,15,16 \$1:10 52:1 personally (s) 121:9 158:1 164:4 271:1,22 66:1 74:16,20,24 80:23 283:12 312:5,7 82:3 83:7 84:18,20 89:16 90:11 122:13 178:19 personnel [25] 26:16.21 27.3,6,25 28:2,7,14 31:18 179:25 180:9 183:19,23 184:3 195:11 199:12:15 37:19 38:1,5 40:6,13 199:18 205:21 218:14 51:17 94:10 98:5 115:11 222:8 235:9 250:25 259:8 169:14 199:17 214:13 224:25 265:16 275:1 271:17 276:24 319:24 307:25 pointed (1) 101:25 phase [3] 124:24 213:17 police (109) 3:18 4:5 9:8 9:15 15:20 16:18 18:11 214:14 20:19 25:18 28:12 44:12 Philm 209:11 45:6 51:16,23 55:11,17 Philadelphia [6] 188:13 56:20 58:10 64:13 65:8 188:19 190:10 220:1,8 65:24 69:22 71:21 72:2 282:23 73:8 74:10 75:2 78:3,19 Phoenix (2) 129:15 130:1 82:4.17.25 83:20,25 84:5 phone [14] 8:23 9:17.24 85:6:17 86:21 87:25 89:3 44:25 45:2 55:13,18 99:17 92:16 93:2 95:18 96:7 116:23 150:12,12 177:15 97:19 98:25 99:9,24 293:24 302:22 111:13 112:8 113:25 115:15 118:14,18 121:22 phonetic (18) 15:9 21:5 25:10 29:1 42:24 58:12 130:13,23,24 139:24

64:18 66:24 81:8 110:9

110:17 127:6 198:16

147:9 168:5 170:15 171:4

176:18 177:11 182:4

220:10 278:6

Multi-Page' 185:16.20 186:5,7 189:15 189:21,23,24 190:6 207:2 207:4,21,25 208:5,11 209:6-215:13-226:15 227:16 236:9 238:2 245:3 245:10,17 251:15 253:9 252:24 269:14 279:15 280:12,20 285:11 288:11 288-15 290-22 291-5.11 292:8 294:8 297:8 298:16 310:14,20 policeman [2] 18:24 19:16 Policemen (21 93:6.8 nolicies (1) 304:5 political 191 44.7.9 45.4 55:15 166:16,25 298:6,14 298:16 politics (3) 73:24 86:23 262:20 polygraph (i) 100:4 pool (2) 18:4,4 portion [1] 202:9 portraved in 56:12 posed [1] 130:11 Plaintiff's [4] 33:6 38:1 position [20] 41:21 43:21 53:3 167:4.8 168:1.5 170:13 171:4 232:24 237:8,17 238:6 239:13,20 242:16.21 293:3 295:9 303:23 positions (10) 117:17 167:5 168:6 170:14,15,25 171:5.176:17 177:3 269:16 positive [3] 14:19 15:5 281:13 possessed (1) 67:1 possibility [2] 123:18 133:18 possible (4) 74:4 98:18 229:10 276:12 possibly [4] 40:17 45:10 problem [6] 104:20 62:21 306:4 potential [4] 24:19 74:1 94:17 298:20 potentially [1] 134:10 powers [1] ZI:Z3 PR 111 4:12 practice [4] 73:1,2 109:6 297:6 practices (2) 189:23 298:2 practicing U1 14:8 pre-October (1) 127:9 precautionary [2] 205:19,21 precisely in 56:4 predifection pr 183:18 preface [1] 118:25 prefaced [2] 145:4 147:22 preliminary [4] 11:11 11:15.18 239:4 preparation [3] 219:1

people - pursuant ons [2] 220:25 | proficient [1] 251:5 prepara 257:9 program [1] 214:6 preparatorics pr 14:1 programs (2) 205:11 205:12 proparatory(1) 11:6 prohibited ni 1:24 prepared (1) 285:13 project py 213:6,18 presence (ii 201:3 214:15 223:11 228:13 presentitoj 4:10 13:6 273:16,20 286:10 288:7 43:10-49:23 156:4 182:18 prolong (4) 229:6 233:23 201:6.25 206:11 281:20 234:11 236:20 presentation (3) 205:5 prolonging [1] 234:14 205:10 206:8 promote [2] 232:11,23 presented (1) 245:16 promoted [6] 220:23 President [2] 73:18.22 232:8 233:11,13 282:2,6 Presion (1) 87:4 promotion (1) 43:4 pretty [3] 124:5 129:24 promptly (2) 316:23 250:7 318:23 prevented [1] 234:22 proof [1] 32:17 previous [2] 110:21 proper(6) 119:15-145:18-196.6 145:21 297:23 298:1 previously [1] 226:16 304:2 prides [1] 288:12 properly (3) 143:3,10 primarily (2) 51:1 312:20 247:11 proposal [1] 247:2 primary [6] 21:7 47:25 proposed (1) 311:20 104:5 105:6 164:16 212:7 principles (2) 45:21 74:3 prosecute (3) 193:23 198:3.5 printed [1] 288.6 prosecution (2) 193:20 priorities (1) 251:11 198:7 private (2) 188:1 189:2 prosecutors [1] 193:19 prized [1] 208:15 prospective [1] 227:17 probability [1] 83.5 provide (31 38:12 259:6 probable por 73:12 306.7 74:12 77:13,22 119:21 provided to 27:14 32:1 120:9,13,20,22 121:5.8 34:16,17 253:5 259:3 121:17 123:18,21,22 provision (1) 186:9 126:14 172:22 270:25 PSP (9) 117:15 194:4.5 271:21 272:14 250:16 280:17 297;24 probe [7] 153:22 154:23 298:13 302:1 304:5 183:20 212:10 216:11 psychological na 100:8 258:19 304:1 nsychologists [1] 145:14 234:12,14 235:1 169:14 public [12] 83:11 124:4 problems [2] 18:21 99:3 139:10 142:23 143:5 164:5 190:2,19 198:17 procedure |41 2:5 95:7 239:1 240:13 262:7 290:14 297:24 Pudleiper [1] 283:17 procedures [2] 249:21 punish [6], 25:22 58:21 311:14 60:1 183:19 226:10 proceed pg 11:13 199:1 297.22 303.5 punished [a] 75:6.11 proceeded [1] 137:25 175:19 177:22 proceedings [4] 248:8 punishing 11 75:8 248:12,14,16 punitive [2] 235:19.21 process (5) 76:21 175:23 Purchase 111 281:4 245:20-252:16 263:8 purchased [1] 281:8 processes (1) 245:23 purport (1) 275:17 procured [1] 57:17 purports [3] 265:10,14 produced (2) 33:8 37:11 product #1 253:7 purpose [6] 34:16 206:5 production [1] 37:13 282:5,6 300:20 301:15 professional [13] 44:2 purposes | 31 227:3 80:9 164:4 175:1 213:4 249 23 250 6 214:24 215:4,24 219:8 237:12 262:22 291:12 Dursuant (2) 2:4 37:12 292:4

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING

814 535 4011

Multi-Page "

put - rotaliation

put [25] 9:24 11:17 56:9 70:9 106:2 107:15 142:24 145:24 146:9 168:6 175:22 186:22.25 213:10 216:1 232:8 235:3 237:7 237:16 243:8,12 277:27 303:19 304:21 305.4 putting [3] 24:24 270:17 287:17

APR-26-2002 17:17

-0-

qualifications pr 266:10,20 qualificd [3] 98:4 188:7 quality [2] 251:14,20 quantity [1] 205:1 quarterbacking [1] 121:25 questioned (7) 270:3.13 272:6,12 282:14.18

283.20 questioning [3] 76:22 241:15.23

questions [33] 18:16 36:1 51:7.8 56:2 67:2 68.11 77;10 108:20 138:12 141:9 145:6 153:5 153:6 160:11 165:14,17 168:14.17 178:14 184:11 184:13 197:3 198:23 211:24,25 252:9 256:4 264:23-283:19-321:13 322:3,5

quickly (2) 214:21 312:13

quiet[1] 104:1 quite (5) 52:22 208:15 250:8 290:25 320:24

guoto (19) 26:9 45:16,17 59:23,24 62:10 84:3 88:4 88:6 127:15 133:22,23 293:22 312:19,23 318:22 319:3,6,9

quotes (1) 319:1

-- P.--

r [5] 3:1 4:1 8:1 266:9.19 R&D [4] 246:15 247:1 249:9,10 RE[1] 276:11 FECC (1) 218:9 rage (2) 293:14,15 raise (2) 8:5 319:12 range [1] 244:24 rank [8] 59:20 62:23.24 78:15 98:5 135:18.22 147-13 ranking [2] 89:3 134:9 ranks (8) 45:5 55:10,17 93:14 118:13 130:23 160:18 292:9 rather (2) 98:12 270:3 RCN (1) 278:21

reach (2) 311:16,17

reached [4] 59:12 85:10

105:8 108:24 reaches rul 51:15 reacted in 285:11 reaction [1] 44:15 read[48] 106:12 112:15 115:22 117:4,9,11,23,23 117:24 123:5,6 129:9 131:9 132:7 133:9 143:13 150:1,4,5 160:4,7 163:7 167:3 180:12,13,15,17,18 180:20 184:6 191:22 209:13.19 221:14 239:1 265:3 290:5 297:16,20 298:11 305:10 307:3 312:13 313:21,22 315:19 316:15 318:15 reading [5] 58:18 114:6 163:9 166:18 178:7 reads [4] 274:25 299:1 302:13 312:16 ready [2] 11:13 315:25 real (2) 49:17 302:12 realize [4] 39:22 313:25 317:5 321:21 really (13) 14:9 29:15 70-19 71-24 115-16 150:14 161:19 231:17,22 249:16.18 297:2 314:11 reason [22] 48:1,13 60:25 73:6 83:15 95:4 111:10 121:13 142:11 148:21 180:24 205:7 207:17 219:22 220:9 273:1 274:22 286:16.17 305:21 306:3 319:12 reasonable of 47:21 55:1,5,6,8 74:11 reassigned [3] 223:17 237:19.22 receive [4] 24:13 78:3 312:21 318:24 received [7] 23:23 47:6

reasons [11] 21:25 48:11 | regarding [2] 106:9 48:12,17 52:21 71:1 85:19 248:19 141:4 155:2 290:7 300:13 | regardless [1] 298:17

79:4 145:22 220:15 249:10 274:25

receives pp. 78:6 recently [4] 31:7 117:14 269:13 284:3 reclassified [1] 100:1

recollect 7177 23:6 49:20 82:20 88:24 96:22 158:6 180:24 264:15.16 311:19 314-16

recollection [26] 28:11 51:6 59:10 78:13 89:9,13 89:19 92:10,13 97:10 135:3-140:8-204:20 241:9 241:12.19 263:22 282:7 291:14,25 309:17,22.24 311.6 318:2 321.6

recommend [ii] 170:1 recommendation [4] 183:2 230:11 263:4 279:16

recommended [5] 170:6 181:18 182:22 230:23

310:6 reconstruct [1] 154:14 reconvencia 199:21 record(32) 8:7 10:9 11:18 25:18 33:13 35:16 36:4 37:8:57:8:70:5:116:11 122:6 136:14 144:13 177:8 200:4,5 213:8 239:1 240:4.13 255:9.12 268:15 307:6 315:10,17 316:1.4 316:16 318:16 record's ru 301:5

recorded th 92:11 recording (3) 12:1 132:8 316:17 recordings (2) 157:7,12

redact (1) 319:16 refer [1] 201:16 reference [8] 28:15 266:1,7 291:17 318:3,12

319:14.18 references no 301:6 310:5

referred (4) 200:17 269:18 313:1 316:20 referring [6] 114:25 192:5 268:20 277:11 317:23 318:3 reflected pg 294:10

reflections (1) 103:3 refresh (ij 90:3 refuse [1] 32:24 refusing (1) 41:18 regard [4] 21:18:20 81:18

203:8

regards (3) 114:3 125:12 126:24

seguiar (2) 93:11 112:24 regulation [32] 26:1,3 71:21 72:3,24 80:16,18 175:22 180:6 186:5 212:4 249-7 297-6.12 310:24 312:11,17 313:10,12,15 314:5,7 315:20 316:19 317:4.8.19 318:6 319:4.8 319:21 320:20

regulations (10) 109:9 109:20 174:19 189:15.22 245:18 264:5 310:15,21 311:2 eimbursement (3)

185:9 189:16 190:18 rejoinder 111 38:13 related [2] 99:16 104:24 relating pp. 115-19 relation (1) 261:24 relationship (1) 277.7 relatives III 169:20 relent [1] 233:20 relief (n) 227:17 remember [70] 16:11,13

18:10 52:20 55:19 63:5

89:14,21,25 82:6.14.2 90:5 91:5 100:13 101:17 102:6,14 106:7 120:10 130:16 131:12,17 133:14 133:20 140:9.14 147:3 150:6 152:21 153:3 158:14 170:10.11 181:6 181:10.15,17 182:16 183:6 184:21,25 185:1,5 209:8 229:12 257:4 269:16-270:18;21 272:18 273:8 279:4 280:2.9:10 280:22 281:18 282:1,13 282:15.17 286:6 287:11 294:17 296:8 306:16 308:1,17 313:3 remembered (1) 102:3

remembers (1) 96:5 remotely [1] 150:6 remove [1] 39:25 removed (%) 25:12 26:7 26:8,10,11,12 31:11 39:15 40:12

sept m 189:25 rented [1] 205:25 renting (2) 184:22 206:4 rents [1] 189:8 reorganization (1)

27:21 reorganize [1] 288:23 iep (3) 191:23 192:1,3 repeat [3] 14:5 33:18

repeatedly (1) 40:5 rephrase 121 46:2 282:13 replete (17 301:5

repo (1) 249:14 report (s) 49:25 104:1,3 109:16 112:16 218:12 228:6 316:23

reported [4] 84:7 92:14 198:13 218:12 reporter [8] 2:7 10:5,10

13:13 42:11 274:10.11 307.4

reporting [7] 4:13,17 10:12 12:11 109:7.13 262:6 reports 131 58:18 115:10

115:22

represent [7] 8:18 9:3 9:16:13:8:31:6:35:12 182:15

representation (3) 35:21 311:25 313:7 representations (3)

171:20 201:12 250:2 representative (s)

39:24-87;6 192:3,14,18 192:19 . Representatives [1]

87:16 represented [3] 138:25 227:11 238:18

representing pp. 31:15 33:12 36:25 reproduction pg 1:23

Republican [1] 220:11 reputation [2] 250:13 request [18] 16:24 22:5 23:2,16 26:24 35:18 36:20 37:12 154:20 202:24 266:13 274:24,25 277:3 286:12 307:23 308:15,18 reguested [1] 153:20

159:14 205:2,3 266:17 278:3,4 308:8 requesting [2] 203:6 241:20

requests [1] 170:4 require [2] 298:18.301:25 required [2] 249:23 304:4

requirement (1) 252.2 requirements (1) 290:15 requires (i) 234% requiring (3) 312:20

318:22,22 research [8] 25:11 208:6 220:6 237:19 247:14:24 248:2 310:5

researched [1] 292:12 reserving [1] 14:24 reside 111 282:22

resources (2) 182:4 1:89:25

respect [1] 116:4 respectfully [2] 202:15 303:21

respond [4] 50:3 164:1 244:23 300:15

responded [4] 68:10 282:19 293:10 301:12 responding [1] 174:17

response [20] 38:15 67:5 67.6 77:10 88:10 116:5 130-17 138-11 144-24 145:12.15 165:14 197:2 241:4 268:21 269:23 270:6,11,12 275:24

responsibilities [2] 145:25 173:25

responsibility (17) 44:3 73,17 74:13 80:9 85:23 93:17 175:1 213:4 214:24 215:5,25 219:9 237:12 242:11 247:13 291:13 292:4

responsible (2) 164:5 242:13

rest [5] 26:20 27:5 100:18 117:25 245:21 restraint [1] 94:16

resubmitted (2) 249:8 249:11

result (2) 197:8 243:23 results (6) 137:14,16 184:1 210:4.13 218:10

resumed [2] 76:10 316:3 resuming (1) 200:1 retained [1] 222:6

retaliation (2) 202:9

11-11

SHEGENIO COURT KEEDKIING

110P dCC

retest - sorry

221:12 refest [2] 23:17,20 retested [4] 21:17 22:2,4 22.6 refure (3): 214:23 215:21 224:5 returned [4] 219:7 224:9 237:13 309:11 reveal pr 49:8

revealed [2] 69:19 191:12 review nn 29:25 30:6 37:10,17 41:14 105:11

125:22 201:21 246:2

247:18.19 reviewed [7] 40:6 132:6 162:3 201:2,4 310:15 314:21

reviewing (1) 100:12 Reynolds (3) 4:3 9:12

Rick [55] 61:20,22 81:21 81:22,23,24 82;2,9 84:20 85:7.12 88:2.11 90:1 91:5 91:9 98:22 100:13 102:14 105:16 106:7,25 107:9 109:23,23 110:1 111:1,7 112:5 116:23 118:8 131:25 134:3 142:16 148:21 149:5,9,14 150:10 150:14 152:20 161:12,17 163:20 165:5.8 172:7 193:7,12,22 268:20,25 270:8,9,15

Rick's [2] 82:6 194:9 rid in 143.5 ride (n) 280:14 Ridge [1] 187:16 riding (6) 20:25 21:2,7

21:11 22:23 29:2 right (190) 8:5 11:25 14:7 15:5 17:19 24:3,5 27:16 27:24 29:19,22,24 30:11 41:19 50:11.14 54:13 55:5 55:14 57:10,12,25 58:17 59:3,21 60:12,16 61:23 65:21 67:14.21 70:2 72:12 72:13.17.22.24 77:8 79:2 79:6,21 80:25 81:10 82:11 83:8.17.23 85:1 86:17 88:5 89:17 90:14 93:9 94:12 95:2,9 96:11 97:16 97:22 104:3,9,18 107:23 108:1.15,18 109:1,24 110:5 113:25 114:1.19 115:5 117:7,21 118:5,8 119:23 120:7 121:18 122:4,12,16,16 123:13,14 123:23 124:18 126:1 128-13 130-10 131-25 133:5,7 134:3,12,20 138:2 138:18 139:12 141:12 147:5 148:5,24 149:21 151:17 152:13 153:14,25 155:9,12,24 156:10 157:2 157:6,10 159:10 160:8 163:14 167:11.14.19 170:22 171:9.10 173:6.13 173:22 174:20 175:5

178:13 180:22 181:13

2:12 193:18,18 168: 195:2 197:10,16,22 198:2 200:7 207:12.21 208:2 213:2 216:24 218:16 221:4 231:6 232:13 234:5 236:9,15 237:5 241:16 243:5 251:18.22 252:10 259:24 265:12,16,20 266:2,5,10,14 268:6,9 276:25 277:17 281:21 282:10,19 283:22 284:19 286:23 287:7 288:13.16 289:15 294:16 299:24 302:23 305:13 307:13 312:10 320:4 rights [4] 180:12,14,16 180:17,18,20 rising 111 290:21 RNC pr 277:23 278:7 role m 17:14 101:16 169:19,22 209:3 213:14 278:1

roads (1) 284:4 Robert 11 275:12 rode ni 23:5 Ron[1] 273:14 room [12] 8:15 85:4 90:8 91:16-184:23 189:8.25 205:25 206:4-289:5,6,12 100ms | 11 289.14 roughly 121 214:5.7 route (2) 17:10 244:18 routed rtt 265:15 rude (2) 142:7,10 rule [7] 105:5 109:11,12 175:21 313:15 317:4 319.8 rules (10) 2:5 12:6 68:15 109:9,19 174:18 181:5

-S-S (3) 3:1 4:1 8:1-SAC [14] .44;23 45:6,8 55:11 61:19 77:19 84:7 94:2 107:7.8 116:24 119:1

290:12,13 304:5

166:16 290:18

nungst 24:19 166:12:14

121:1 168:8 SAC's(1) 268:21 safely [1] 13:25 safety [2] 251:17,19 San (3) 279:5:14 280:3 Sargent's [3] 4:16 10:12 12:11

sat [9] 10:24 43:19 53:6 84:15 90:8 198:18 261:7 276:20 287:5 Saturday (n) 204:9 Save m 168.18

Baved 121 188:14 249:25 32W (1) 311:18 says (59) 88:2 117:9.18 117:20 123:4 129:2.4.4 129:12 130:6 133:9 140:15 147:11,25 150:18

Multi-Page 152:16 154:24 161:4.9 163:6,9;16 164:8 165:15 165:17,18,19 166:25 171:15 186:6 191:1,19,21 195:5.8 256:25 266:1,12 266:19 268:7 269:11 290:19 291:6 292:6 294:21 295:15 302:18 306:21 308:4 312:2 313:9 313:12 314:3,5,19 316:22 318:6:6.18 scale (3) 66:5 204:24 206:9

scenario [2] 77:15 113:2 schedule (3) 34:21 138:1 244:23 scheme (1) 58:7

school (2) 28:12 206:14 SCIEW (1) 84:19 screwed (2) 82:12 85:11 Serttle [7] 229:13.21

230:7 231:9,12 285:6,12 ടോമർവും 8:21 41:25 54:1 103:16 122:14.14.15 128:20-136:18 153:14 155:13,17 162:17 178:11 190:23 267:25 274:5 275:25 276:2 301:2T 315:3

accondary (1) 251:18 seconds [1] 154:12 SECTEMBLY 131 244:8,16 248:1 Section 113 316:17 secured [1] 302:25 security (1) 16:25

8cc [27] 16:16 32:15 34:7 43:15 89:7 93:3 111:22 122:19,25 124:16 131:9 132:23 133:16 138:9 152:14 190:24 191:16 195:9 196:24,25 226:24 234:2 270:7,9 275:24 276-77 315-7 secing (2) 247:3 311:20

scoking up 227:16 seem (2) 47:20 131:25 sees (n. 252-13 selected rat 263:2,3 scicction in 213:16 selling[4] 58:7 111:24 117:16 176:17 177:2

Senator [4] 87:3 124:21 162:11 166:2 Sepators (i) 87:15 send (e) 24:14 199:18

269:15

226:1 234:20 247:3 257:12.18 301:23 sending [1] 235:4 sense [3] 108:6 168:20 169.5

sensitive (1) 263:14 sensitivity (1) 298:15 sent (5) 22:15 28:13 220:7 227:6 232:16 233:11

249:8. 3:24 sentence net 106:2 117:8.10 152:15,16 161:20 168:15 267:25 268:1 302:11

separate [2] 114:14 207:24

September [7] 44:3 60:14 99:18 127:21 290:19 291:9 317:13 sequenced fit 154:17

sequences [1] 252:20 Sergeant [1] 111:21 series [2] 42:1 252:9

service (3) 4:17 12:12 206:11

Services [6] 2:11 12:17 31:22 213:5 217:15 225:5 serving m 241:10 set (7) 165:22,24 166:2,7

166:9,15 251:22 settle (z) 229:4 233:21 settled nm 233:22 236:23 237:1,2,3 238:20 239:5,7 240:3,5

settlement [1] 229:3 settling [1] 234:25 SCVCB (1) 123:3

several (3) 15:24 281:7 284:16

SEVETE [1] 18:20 shall [1] 316:22 share [3] 50:19 97:22 122:11 shared in 57:14

Sharon pg. 247:23,24 sheets (1) 149:17 shirts [2] 243:18,22 short rs 75:25 136:11 199:22 224:12 228:8

255:7 289:20 315:14 Shorten (11 288:21 shortly (5) 43:20 45:12 53:2,5 158:11

should'vo (1) 272:17 show [9] 36:4 116:11 123:12 255:12 298:13

showed [2] 123:12 255:19 showing (1) 105:25 shown (1) 28:23

shunned [1] 299:8 shut [1] 289:9 shutting [1] 289:4 sic (1) 182:21

sick [1] 14:3 sign [4] 246:3 247:1 248:17,18

signal (1) 301:23 signature (2) 243:5,13 254:1

signatures (1) 264:14 signed [9] 243:15 245:25 246:9.12.249:13.264:2.5

276:8,20 significance (sp 85.13 130:3 208:9 significant st 46:19,20

53:8 72:8 218:13 significantly [1] 46:18 signing [1] 263:23 Signs [2] 243:4,9 silly [1] 57:7

similar [1] 220:13 simply (2) 295:2 298:22 sin n 172:17

single [1] 190:14 singular[1] 176:12 sit [17] 53:18 56:1.16

89:13 90:2 96:12 135:2 \$75:12 227:19,25 249:15 253:24 254:2 261:13.15 261:17 289:13

sites [1] 214:10 sitting [6] 85:1,4 102:2 121:12 174:4 276:18

situation [9] 20:7 23:18 73:25 173:21 176:15 177:1 189:7 229:20 231:5

situations 121 189:20 190:16 Six [3] 16:4 37:16 123:2

Sixth m 8:22 8120 m 205:6 skill (1) 293:4 slap [1] 225:20 slash [1] 276:10

STOCAT [1] 264:13 smeared [3] 76:16 243:19 247:23

so-called [1] 311:21 society pr 172:16 soid (1) 207:18

Solomon per 4:14 11:8 11:20 12:8.10 13:12 136:12 255:8 315:8 322:6

solve [1] 234:25 someone [18] 33:19 40:24 41:5 58:9 74:1 83:21,24 85:15,16 86:20 87:9 99:5,8 218:25 263:20

someplace [1] 280:4 sometime not 58:15 60:8 86:2 131:11 212:20 212:25 216:23 218:19 232:15 308:11

267:3 301:1.13

sometimes (2) 96:19 120:17.249:24

somewhere (8) 25:14 28:8 78:5 223:17 280:8 289:7,8,12

SON [1] 170:8 sons [1] 169:20 SOOD (5) 30:4 46:25 229:10 254:20 285:3

SOITY [34] .33:24,25 39:20. 79:12,13 80:17 91:7 93:3 105:22 107:14 111:2

315:23 316:2

APR-26-2002 17:18

SARGENTS COURT REPORTING

814 536 4011

Multi-Page "

144:21 282:11 383:17

strong (5) 73:11 74:11

strongly [2] 152:2-215:1

77:22 119:21 121:4

8truck [1] 135:20

structure HI 245:2

structured [1] 147:9

stuff rg. 87:20 174:2

subject [21] 117.15

133:12 140:15,19,25

141:15 143:16 147:23

149:23 156:7,12 172:11

265:11 266:14 268:2,22

subjected (2) 299-9-25

subperformer [1] 291:2

subsection [12] 248.20

249:22 250:4 252:1,4,14

252:21 254:7,15 266:4,6

subsequent [2] 76:25

subservience [1] 298:5

substantially [1] 113:8

225:9 263:13 299:2 303:6.

such [7] 39:2:190:16

suggest [1] 199:11

suggesting (s) 58:12

276:3,7 278:14 319:17

Sulfy [5] 91:23 161:16

Sum (3) 15:25 266:9.19

superintendent's [1]

supernatural [1] 57:10

supervisor [8] 77:11

312:21 316:23 318:24

Supporter [2] 262:18.19

supporting in 87:22

supportive (1) 298:1

supposedly (1) 57:20

supposed in 1867

surfaced [3] 162:20

Surfaces [1] 164:9

surprisc [1] 139:4

surrounding [8] 64:21

suspect [4] 60:22 65:4

61:7 126:11 139:11,12

suspecting [1] 187:19

suspected (6) 60:24 61:3

73:25 108:14,16,17 113:4

57:20 201:7

163:18 196:7

115:10 295:21

71:19.296:20

109.8.14.17 166:19

supply (it 84:13

superintendent pr

166:21,21,22

74:19-75:1

269:14,21 313:10,12

289:9,11

319-4

316:18

294:21

304:4

177,17,19 188:16 192:22

strike (7) 69:10 92:12

289:19 315:13

suspicion[2] 301:3,15 SVT [1] 204:25

281:15,16 SWOTH [2] 8:10 10:18

Syndi [5] 3:8 9:1 13:22 288:24 321:13

180:5 214:1 273:18 systemic (10) 169-9-10

176:25 177:7 194:15

systems [1] 213:17

takes pp 103:6 taking [2] 24:24 41:21 talks [1] 279:11 tape [12] 84:15 85:5,7

86:6 100:19 101:4 136:13 135:18 148:9 201:14 255:10.16

tapes (a) 136:9 159:9.15 159:19,25 160:2 191:17 255:6

targct [10] 94:20 95:1.11 95:12,14 271:24 298:19 298:20 301:2,14

targets [3] 94:16,17 174:1 task (1) 261:8

taxpayer (1) 189:13 taxpayer's [2] 189;11 189:12

taxpayers [2] 17:17 189:12

icam [6] 16:17.21 17:3 213:15.25 279:17

189:2-190:4-213:23 Tech [2] 2:10 12:17

Technology [6] 2:10 12;16 31:21 213:5 217:14 225:4

telephone [4] 62:8 182:6 214:11,12

75:13,14 80:23 81:22 84:10 85:2,12 121:19 125;20 138:2 144:19,23 146:6 161:12 173:16 176:12 233 8-236-11 257:6 tells pj 60:11 82:2

321:17

temporary [1] 240:8 **Ca**[11 289:3 **tends** (3) 316:25 318:8 321:4

P.17

sort - through

term [19] 45:17 51:20 55:20-59:23-61:12 102:8 104:6 120:8 133:23 135:6 135:13,22,22 136:25 138:8 148:11.14 157:21 302:3

termed (1) 108:12 terms [7] 80:7 189:11.20 236;6,8 275:23 310:13 terrorism [1] 187:19 test[4] 23:12.13.24:4,12 testified [18] 67:23 96:19 101:24 109;1,2 140:9 177.4 183:5,8 212:2 224:3 229:24 230:24 234:3 259:13 281:23 282:1 285:22

testify (4) 8:11 110:8 144:3.7

Estifying [7] 110:24 152:9 172:6 210:23 279:5 282:7 285:23

testimony [19] 17:2 37:2 137:20 142:12 182:17 198:10 216:19 224:2 238:3 247:14,17 259:2,11 294:2,4 295:2 296:9 305:6 319:17

testing [5] 22:10 23:10 92:7 100:7 150:8

tests [1] 19:20 thank [17] 8:13 10:16 13:17,18,21,21 20:6 42:14 76:12 77:8 107:13 149:21 171:7 291:22 301:21 321:19.24

Thanks 124 30:9 273:13 themselves [3] 45:20 47:23 309:7

thinking [4] 47:9 53:4 53:16 134:6

third (न 53:21,23 54:1 131:8 150:2.317:3

Thomas (3) 1:9 12:25

thought [28] 68:21 69:9 70:17 71:22 72:5,6 96:18 96:19 105:12,14 140:2 172:1 178:12 198:25 212:4,17 213:11 240:25 279:1 281:23 282:1 309:19 314:3,4,17,18.19 319:20

thoughts [4] 76:24 77:1 107:21 230:25

thousands [1] 167:23 **three** (161-6:9-122:23) 155:5 194:23 246:1,11,21 246:21,22 249:5 254:13 255:10 256:25 274:13:15 293:5

threshold (ij 124:3 through [18] 10:23,25 11:5 19:17 42:23 100:4.6

131:9 134:15 150:5 153:8 |start rij 123:16 153:9,10 157:22 160:17 started [4] 46:25 53:1 160:19 193:11 194:12 133:2 145:5 220:16 225:17 241:9 starts[1] 171:14 242:3 249:24 276:20 State 11457 3:18 4:5 8:6 291:14,20 306:24 307:9 9:7,15 11:12 13:6 15:19 307:10 320:13 16:18 18:11.24 19:16 sort[13] 14:11 49:14 20:19 21:9,13 25:17 44:11 52:20 59:19 120:15 45:5 51:15,23 55:11,13 154:12 172:13 187:25 55:17 56:19 58:9 64:12 188:9 195:9 272:8 286:24 65:8,24:69;22.71:21.72:2 295:9 73:8 74:10 75:2 76:24 sought[1] 294:23 78:3,19 82:4,16.24 83:20 sound [5] 8:14 55:1,5,6,8 83:25 84:5 85:6,17 86:21 87:2.2.5,15,16,18,24 89:3 sounds (2) 129:24 187:24 92:16 93:2.5,7,21 94:7 source [3] 102:3 191:23 95:18 96:7 97:19 98:25 192:1 99:9.24 111:13 112:8 Southern [1] 279:21 113:25 115:15 118:14,18 southwestern [1] 121:22 124:21 130:13.22 168:24 130:24 139:24 144:12 SP (3) 167:2,5 170:14 147:8 162:11 168:5 169:20.21 170:8,9,14 spark [1] 130:17 171:4 176:17 177:10 speak (5) 43:25 50:15 182:4 185:13,16,20 186:5 154:21 286:25 309:6 186:6-189:14,21,22,24 speakerphone [1] 91:19 190:6,9 192:3 207:2,3,21 207:24 208:1,5,11 209:6 spcaks[1] 314:8 215:13 226:15 227:16 special [13] 28:15 61:21 231:12,15,16 236:9 238:2 75:8 95:20.22 129:6 164:8 245:3,10,17 251:14 253:9 191:8 205:4 206:7 265:1 262:23 269:13 280:11.20 266:7.8 283:22 284:1,2,8 285:8 specialize [1] 188:2 285:11 288 10,15 290.22 specific (4) 49:5:131:15 291:5.11 292:8 294:8 131:18 281:3 297.7 298:15 310:14,19 specifically [1] 134:2 statement [15] 77:24 specify (1) 9:25 82:14 99:6 106:11 112:12 119:2 135:25 150:25 speculate [4] 168.9,12 154:8,9 158:8 166:18 230:17 305:23 191:22.254:17.268:17 spending [2] 189:11,13 statements [2] 160:5,8 spentin 37:15 states (9) 1:1 12:21 73:19 spirit [1] 297:25 73:23 80:16 86:18 142:24 spoke (4) 50:16-60:15 229:15 304:7 91:9 307:22 statey (c) 194:20 195:1,3 SQUATE [2] 291:13.24 stating (1) 181:16 squiggly m 132:24 stationed[1] 168:23 staff [13] 25:5 28:12 Status (2) 100-2,3 43:23 159:22 247:10 statutes (17 93;18 253:19 254:13,13 263:5 STD [11 306:20 295:9,11,13,14 stenographer [4] 9:22 stago ni 250:4 289:2.315:25.316:6 standard (1) 272:16 stenographic [1] 10:1 standards [4] 114:13 stcpping (11 85:22 250:18 251:4.22 still [10] 33:16:50:12 standing [21 218:1 299:4 149:22 157:20 233:11 stendpoint (2) 242:11. 235:11 237:4 273:24 242:12 284:6 320:3 Stanton [31] 83:8.11 stipulate (1) 317:17 99:16.21,22 111:19,23 stop [2] 63:12 144:10 113:8 114:10,12 115:1,24 129:25 136:23 137:11,23 stopped (H 133:3 137:24 167:6,10 170:16 straightened 121 155:13 170:17,24 171:3 191:1 160:19 192:11 194:14 196:18 strange_(1) 39:12 197:7 198:4,20,21 strangely [1] 57:13 Stanton's jaj 110:19 strect [4] 3:4,11 8:22 176:13,23 197:12 55:12 Star [1] 290:21

suspend[7] 32:10 75:19 136:3,9 254:25 255:5 315.6 suspending 131 75:24 swimming (5) 18:3,4,5 system [9] 172.5 179.23 172:3 173:4,20,24 176:15 -T-T'D m 4:1 taped [2] 87:10 159:6 tax [1] 2[4:4 tcach [2] 226:4,7 teams [5] 15:20 185:11 technical in 313:25 techniques (1) 14:11 telling [21] 46:12 62:14

HFK-5P-5885 1.4:12

SHREENTS COURT REPORTING

814 536 4011

P.18 throughout -whamot

122:17 169:12 172:4 204:15 234:23 241:22 248:16 249:21 259:11

throughout [2] 206:13 311:8

290:4 311:13

tight[1] 227:25 times [11] 14:2 39:25 120:8 202:18.23 237:25 238:5 242:18 253:10 293:18 313:2

tip (21 94:24 95:7 today [24] 12:2 17:3 36:23 37:3 38:8 56:1,16 76:6 88:25 89:13 90:2 108:13 120:4 135:3 152:10 174:13 198:10 203:4 216:19 227:19 249:15 259:13 296:9

321:21 Today's [1] 12:12 together [8] 84:16 179:13 186:22,25 261:4 278:21 287:13.14

Tom [13 179:10 tomorrow (e) 203:15.19 204:2 294:1

tonight[3] 203:13,22

too [4] 31:2 60:18 184:18 286:18

took (22) 22:13 30:14 40:22,25 41:5,6 48:21 139:13 147:12 154:11 158:24 166:12.14.16 167:19 193:21 269:4.8 270:1 287:25 309:2 311:21

top [9] 116:22 121:14,15 166:23 251:11,12,16,24 254:13

total [1] 205:2 totally (2) 196:20 239:24

touch [1] 10:4 towards (1) 302:14 traces (1) 243:13

tracking (2) 306:1,2 Trade (2) 229:14 285:7

trading (1) 127:3

training [4] 43:18 265:11 266:14 275:16

transcript (3) 1:23 86:9 132-7

transfer [5] 15:21 224:11 235:18 277:4 283:9

transferred [9] 45:7,9 151:9.11 220:20,24 234:10 278:22 293:25

transferring (3) 257:2 258:9 309:13

transport [2] 17:1 188:15 Transuc [12] 219:24

237:15,18 277:12,19,20 277:22,22 278:8,20 282:25 283:10

Transue's [1] 219:25 treated (2) 219:23,24

treatment [1] 220:14 tremendously [1] 14:19 **triai**[1] 175:11 tricd (1) 117:3

trip [1] 154:15 troop [6] 93:25 167:16,21 168:21,21 309:13

trooper [26] 18:19 19:4 21:9 69:21,22 93:12 99:21 111:22 115:4 117:17 127:15 128:4 131:15,18 139:18 167:6,10,13 168.20,25 170:8,9,18,24

171:3 269:15 troopers [10] 21:13 24:17 94:8 167:20 169:21,21 251:17.19 277:7 286:13

troops [1] 220:11 truc pg 63:3 171:11,12 188:17 211:13 294:6 295:5.11 299:6

trust (12) 45:19 65:12,14 65:17,19,23 66:5 81:10 81:13 97:18 161:16 195.21

trusted (1) 66:2 trustworthy (1) 66:7 truth: 121 62:15 178:5 try [12] 22:1 74:4 98:16 117:11 120:14 142:9.9

154:14.16 160:18 204:13 204:15 301:17 trying (ii) 17:20 108:7

144:3,6 154:12,15 169:25 170:7 174:4 258:2 314:1 turn (2) 159:21 191:17

turned pp. 159:20 171:8 Turnpike (1) 17:11

twelve pp 150:11 two 1631 6:8 21:7 43:10 47:8,9,10 51:5 52:9,13 53:16,16,17 66:11 113:12 114:20 116:12,14,15

122:23.127:23.128:12 129:2 133:6,6-134:8,10 136:14 138:7 140:15 141:9 148:24 151:25

152:1 182:10,23 183:2 185:11 189:19 190:2.4.15 190:23,23 194:21,23,25 195:4.6 196:24 221:23 222:24-225:13,14-227:5

256:25 267:17 286:4 289:14 294:7 295:20 300:13 309:18 313:19

type [7] 28:5 77:15 115:16 183:9,10 217:19 277:9

typewriter(2) 207:10 207:14

typewritten (1) 265:23

-U-

U.S 111 198:8 uncomfortablem 321:22

under (24) 12:6 68:14

71:1 74:3 162:17 164:14 167:14.17.23 196:23 211:6 217:15 242:14 247:8.14 253:25 267:23 267:25 290:13,13 298:24 301:3,14 316:16

Multi-Pago'"

undercover [7] 23 underlined (4) 313:9,14 319:2.7

underneath (s) 133:1 194:4,5 265:25 268:6undersigned [1] 2:6 understand 1341-14:6 25:9 34:9 41:20 49:15 50:13 75:15 93:4 117:8 123:20 137:5,7 164:2,5 170:2 192:2.16 197:5 234:2,3,5,7,24,235:11,12 235:13 268:19 270:2 271:8.15,18 294:4 303:22 **የ**ርዕደ-ተርዕ

<u>understandable (1)</u> -158:5

understood [3] 269:2 271:4 272:22

underway [1] 198:22 unfair(1) 314:1

unfairness [2] 22:8,9 unfounded in 47.8 69:15 127:13 128:2 139:16

unidentified (17 95:14 uniformity (2) 22:9 23:9

unilaterally in 47:18 United [6] 1:1 12:21 73:19.23 142:24 304:6

unjumble (1) 153:16 unlawful [3] 299:25 300:13 302:1

unless (13) 20:20 73:11 77:12 95:4 119:20 120:8 120:20.22 270:24 271:19 272:9,14-317:8

unpolitical [1] 96:17 unquote (1) 312:23 untrue [2] 298:22,23 unwritten [1]. 302:3

up [71] 36:14 44:24 45:2 46:22 55:12,18 61:14 66:1 77:5 78:17 83:16 82:12 83:14 84:9,10,11,19,19 85:3,11 102:5 128:22,22 131:23 136:21 137:21 148:19 149:15,19 154:15 160:11 161:4 162:23

163:1 167:18,25 169:4,11 172:1,4.178:18.181:12.23 182:5,6.11 188:13 203:18 213:20 219:4 226:24 231:18 249:7 250:17 257:8 258:2,18 269:10 276:5 280:25 283:22,25

284:2,7 288:7,21 292:16 293:7 302:18 312:12 314:22

upper[i] 51:14 ... ups-[5] 58:15 74:10 78:15 82:4-118:18

upset [12] 48:1 52:11.15 85:20 152:22,23 155:1 164:2 165:2 233:16 293:19 297:18

used [36] 17:8 18:12

45:17 51:25 59:24 61:12 52:7 82:23 97:21 120:7 130:19 133:23 135:23 146:17 148:10.11.15 157:21 158:13 184:16 185:17,21,25 186:7 187:4 205:4,9,15 205:7,10 253:1 .253:2,3 293:18 318:22 319.5

uses [2] 313:13 319:5 using (2) 181:4 189:24 usually [1] 162:4

-V-

vacancies [1] 238:14 Vacancy (1) 293:6 various (2) 292:9 293:18 vault [2] 26:20 27:5

vehicle [3] 185:16 205:6 206:9

190:6 205:13 206:21 verbiage [4] 168:6

293:19 313:5 321:3 verify [1] 24:12 **VCISS (II) 66:8**

version-(1) 317:18 versus [1] 12:24

vest [2] 49:25 78:25 vice 111 66:8

vicinity (1) 16:3 VIDEO [1] 200:5 VIDEOGRAPHER

[18] 4:12.15 8:3.12 10:19 75:21 76:7 136:1,6,15 200:3 255:3,14 289:17,21

315:11,15 322:9 videotape (1) 58:4 VIDEOTAPED 121 1:16 2:1

view [9]. 33:5 74:17,20.24 165:4 168:14 180:9 205:21 209:16

viewpoints [1] 230:19 violate [5] 25:25 26:3 72:23

violated 1291 71:20 72:2 72:25 105:3,5 109:9,11 174:14,18 175:17,18 180:6 212:4 297:6 312:24 313:14 314:4 317:3 318:9 319:2,7,29,21 320:3,10 320:20.24 321:2.4

violating [3] 85:21 93:21 105.2

violation (10) 109:4,4,5 109:7,19 174:22 175:21 197:13 261:25 297:11 virtue (1), 298:9

voice nr 213:20 voluntary [1]:68:14 vote [2] 87:16 223:14 votes (2) 87:22 127:3 VS [1] 1:7

-W-

w/David (1) 132:14 w/information [1] 123:10

wait (3) 63:23 268:4 276:1

waiting [1] 316:5 Walp 151 221:23.25 222:1 222-5 292-23

wanting [2] 28:11 251:3

Wants (2) 287:22 288:24 war (2) 320:16,18

watchouse [1] 188:12 warning [1] 134:25

Washington [19] 218:16 221:17 222:21 223:3 227:7 228:2,21.24 229:1 230:7 233:12 242:1.4.7 283:25 284:7,19,20

309-14 vehicles [5] 185:20 188:8 wasting [2] 11:4 182:3 water [1] 255:2

Wednesday (i) 2:13 wee ni 213:20

week [12] 67:10,11,14,19 67:21,25 68:9,9,12 210:ZF 212:21 225:8

weekly [3] 218:12 253:10 253:21

weeks (17) 47:8.9.10 52:9 52:13 53:16,17,17 57:23 58:23 127:23 128:12 204:10 225:13,14 227:5 309-18

weighth 120:17 welcome [2] 305:10

321:25 Wells [1] 190:12 Wertz [20] 127:6 158:13

159:4 160:18 180:2,25 181:8,19 182:21,22 184:1 184:10 210:15 277:3 278:1 279:22 280:7 282:24 283:4.8

Wescoft [33] 1:11 13:1 17:13 155:23 156:21 179:2,4,25.181:11,15.21 182,2,9,11 183:1 218:24 223:1 226:23 229:8,19 230:9,16 236:4 241:24 242:12.16.20 277:25 279:4 280:6 285:2,5 303:8 Wescott's [8] 181:1

230:10 231:20 232:1,4.6 241:14 281:20

west 121 15:20 280:7 western pp 16:21 111:18 112:2 115:12 170:18

9Vet(11 231:5 What'd [1] 105:19 whatnot m 120:18

Case 1:01-02-0008	4-CCC Document	63 Filed-05/20/200	2 Page 413 of 41	3
_	SHKPFM12 CI	UURI REPURTING		
whatsoeveriii 37:23	The same of the sa	A STATE OF THE	814 536 4011	
Where d [2] 133:24	Written [2] 19:18 163:2	Multi-Page 1M	924 336 4611	P.19
1 - 2 - 7 - 7	1 TANKE (14) 74.5 00 00		WDatso	ever - Zipinka
wherever [1] 28:2	108:22 117:12 121:16 145:24 158:19 170:5			
WILLCUCYCE (1) CO. 14	4/0:43 296:21 207:512			
WIID (1) 163-20	1 2 2 3 .44	.	į	
Whole 1 108:16,17 168:13 254:4	WTongdoing [2] 74:2		Ì	ļ.,
wide[1] 244:24	1 1121	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
Williams	WTOis [2] 209:11 318:4		1	
Williams [24] 82:21 85:2 102:4 127:5 158:8.12		1		
1 1274 1011 16 17 100 0 0 0 1	-X-	drygg		
181:8,12,18 182:22	A (2) 5:1 104:16.	1	+	ļ.
183:25 184:10 195:8,15 196:9 199:5 210:15		1		
1 220:24 305.5	-Y-	į		P
Wilt 11 273-14	11 104:17	į		
(WIE [2] 234·10·20	248:10 264:10:1.2			P
Wire [2] \$7:16 121:2	248:10 264:15 278:23,24 279:1	1		
Wise [4] 68:5 230:4 4 5	Cars is: 15:24 in a			P
1 W EQUIEN (31 722.10 ! /	'€9.0.1./9·10 (€ 10.1			₩ I
WILDOTTE THE TOTAL	1 491.1			l little l
	sterday [2] 67:3 285:1	1		E
[** 4 44 44 44 45 67 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	***** 104:17 722.10			
1 270.9	eld [3] 20:18 197:2			N
94:5 105:25 150 VO	ling [14] 22:15.20 24:4			F'
1 12/4 10/7/5 16 347 6 1 43		ŀ		
WATERS OF CALL I	4.5.M. 1 7 7 3 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		ļ .	T
1 40:21 ()1:77		}		\$
witnesses [2] 21:22 You 108	rseif [4] 10:9 39:15	1		 -
1 -1.2/	1] 238:19	ŀ		Ĺ
won [1] 238:19	-1 238.19			l ₁
Woodley 281:17	-Z-	1		Ď
	nka [16] 219:1	1		1,
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(7 //U·/ 770)	1		482
0 203.12	5.17.22.24.222.a F	1	Ì	l'
1 W UM (1 Pres 1 92 to a.c. 1 422.1.	.23 775.6 Den	}		
129:13 131:10 10 12:24	F.24	1		ľ
		1		
313:8,13,17 317:2,3 319:10	1.	<u> </u>		P .
Wording [1] 319:23	!	1		
1 01 46 771 76.0 52		1		₽
	}			
1 "VV-1G / U'A TAK. (4)		ſ		k 4
166:12,13 225:6 234:7.17 236:25 237:2 238:21				k
1 ~1(./.9 /) ?!?		· · /		Fr:
WOLKCOIN TONS		1	1	
WOLKSIEST DE SON CAS	1			. 67
world [3] 202:3 229:14		Į.		K .
WOTSO (1) 320:16		İ	}	1
1901th til 260'5		į		
WODIG Version		ĺ		1
1 = 7 · 10 ZZJ· / /		!		E.
WTangling 325		1		
1774145161 (05.10 to)	1	ļ		
		[["
Writing [4] 215:20 216:2		ł		
		1		
	_	ļ		